National Compact Stellarator Experiment (NCSX) PROJECT EXECUTION PLAN (NCSX-PLAN-PEP-04) Revision 4 March 2008

Concurrences:

Dr. J. H. Harris NCSX Deputy Project Mgr., ORNL Dr. D. J. Rej NCSX Project Manager, PPPL

Prof. R. J. Goldston Director, PPPL J. Makiel NCSX Federal Project Director, DOE-PSO

B. Sullivan NCSX Program Manager, DOE-OFES Dr. R. J. Fonck Associate Director for Fusion Energy Sciences Office of Science

Approved:

Dr. R. L. Orbach Under Secretary for Science

| Revision | Date | Description of Changes | |
|----------|------------------|---|--|
| 0 | July, 2002 | Initial Issue. | |
| 1 | February, 2004 | Issued for CD-2, Performance Baseline Approval | |
| 2 | December 2004 | Updated for CD-3, Start of Fabrication – ONLY CHANGES ARE AT THE DOE LEVEL 2. Revise Level II Milestone Schedule and record accomplished milestones (Sect. 2.2.5) Revise WBS budgets (Sect. 7.2) Incorporate DOE and PPPL organization changes affecting NCSX (Sect. 4) Annual re-planning and ETC update (Sect. 9.3) Address critical spares for startup (Sect. 11) | |
| 3 | June 2005 | Updated for CD-3, Start of Fabrication to reflect DOE directed rebaselining as a result of revised funding guidance (flat profile) Revise funding profile (Sect.6.2), TEC, and projec completion (CD-4) date. Revise Level II Milestone Schedule and record accomplished milestones (Sect.2.2.5) Revise WBS budgets (Sect. 7.2) Revised Section 8.3 to include DOE directed changes. Incorporate DOE and PPPL organization changes affecting NCSX (Sect. 4) Changes in several places to clarify responsibilities and funds management related to use of DCMA services. Minor editorial changes throughout the PEP | |

Record of Revisions

| Revision | Date | Description of Changes |
|----------|---------------|---|
| 4 | March 2008 | Updated with 2008 Performance Baseline change. Updated Section 2.2.2 (Fabrication Project Performance at Completion) to reflect revised definition of project scope. Updated Section 2.2.4 (Fabrication Project Cost) to reflect rebaseline target. Updated Section 2.2.5 (Fabrication Project Schedule) to reflect rebaseline target and revised DOE funding profile. Updated Section 4.2.2.1 to clarify delegation of NCSX Project Manager responsibilities in absence of Project Manager. Added new Section 4.2.2.9 (Engineering Support Manager) to reflect staff support functions previously assigned to NCSX Engineering Manager. Updated Section 5 (Work Breakdown Structure) to reflect modifications. Updated Section 6.1 (NCSX Project Costs), Section 6.2 (Funding Profiles), and Section 6.3 (Life Cycle Costs) to reflect rebaseline. Updated Section 16 (Revisions to the PEP) to reflect organization changes. Updated Annex I (Project Scope) and Annex II (Contingency Guidelines) to reflect changes. |

Table of Contents

| 1 | INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF THIS DOCUMENT | 1 |
|---|---|----|
| | DOE-approved project documents: | 1 |
| 2 | MISSION NEED JUSTIFICATION/PROJECT OBJECTIVES | |
| | 2.1MISSION NEED2.1.1NCSX Mission in Support of Fusion Energy Goals2.1.2NCSX Mission in Support of Fusion Science Goals2.1.2PROJECT OBJECTIVES2.2.1Performance Baseline Parameters2.2.2Fabrication Project Performance at Project Completion2.3Fabrication Project Scope2.2.4Fabrication Project Cost2.2.5Fabrication Project Schedule | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | 4.1 NCSX PROJECT ORGANIZATION | |
| | 4.1.1 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) | |
| | 4.1.2 <i>Performing Organizations</i> | |
| | | |
| | \mathcal{O} | 1/ |
| | 4.1.2.4 Other Organizations4.2 NCSX MANAGEMENT TEAM | |
| | | |
| | 4.2.1 Senior Laboratory Managers 4.2.1.1 PPPL Director | |
| | 4.2.1.1 PPPE Director 4.2.1.2 NCSX Project Office | |
| | 4.2.1.2 NCSX Project Office | |
| | | |
| | 4.2.2.1 NCSX Laboratory Project Manager4.2.2.2 Deputy Project Manager | |
| | 4.1.2.3.1 Engineering Manager | |
| | 4.1.2.5.1 Englicering Wanager 4.2.2.4 Project Integration Manager | |
| | 4.2.2.4 Project Physics Head | |
| | 4.2.2.6 Responsible Line Managers | |
| | 4.2.2.7 WBS Managers | |
| | 4.2.2.8 NCSX Project Control Manager | |
| | 4.2.2.9 Engineering Support Team | |
| | 4.2.2.10 Quality Assurance (QA) and Environment, Safety & Health (I | |
| | | 20 |
| | 4.2.2.10.1.1 Quality Assurance | |
| | 4.2.2.10.2 ES&H Manager | |
| | 4.3 PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE | |
| | 4.4 INTEGRATED PROJECT TEAM | 21 |
| 5 | WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE (WBS) | 21 |

| 6 | R | ESOURCE PLAN | . 22 |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 6.1 | NCSX PROJECT COSTS | . 22 |
| | 6.2 | FUNDING PROFILES | |
| | 6.3 | LIFE CYCLE COSTS | |
| 7 | P] | ROJECT BASELINES | . 23 |
| | 7.1 | CONFIGURATION BASELINE | |
| | 7.2 | COST AND SCHEDULE BASELINES | |
| 8 | С | ONTROL OF PROJECT BASELINES | . 26 |
| | 8.1 | CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT APPROACH | |
| | 8.2 | CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS | |
| | 8.3 8.4 | CHANGE CONTROL LEVELS CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN | |
| | 8.4 8.5 | VALUE ENGINEERING | |
| 9 | | ROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEMS | |
| , | 9.1 | PROJECT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS APPROACH | |
| | 9.1 9.2 | PROJECT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS APPROACH | |
| | 9.3 | Cost and Schedule Reviews | |
| | 9.4 | Reporting | |
| | | | |
| 1(|) | FUNDS MANAGEMENT | . 32 |
| 1(|) 10.1 | | |
| 1(| - | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS | . 32 |
| 1(1) | 10.1 10.2 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS | . 32 . 33 |
| | 10.1 10.2 | Project Funding Mechanisms Management Reserve Funds | . 32 . 33 . 33 |
| 11 | 10.1 10.2 L | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 |
| 11 12 | 10.1 10.2 1 2 3 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 34 |
| 11 12 13 14 | 10.1 10.2 1 2 3 4 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 34 . 35 |
| 11 12 13 14 | 10.1 10.2 L 2 3 4 14.1 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 35 |
| 11 12 13 14 | 10.1 10.2 L 2 3 4 14.1 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 35 |
| 11 12 13 14 | 10.1 10.2 1 2 3 4 14.1 14.2 14.3 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 35 |
| 11 12 13 14 | 10.1 10.2 1 2 3 4 14.1 14.2 14.3 5 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT. ACQUISITION STRATEGY DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING QUALITY ASSURANCE NEPA DOCUMENTATION AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 10.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.1 10.2 10.1 10.2 10.1 10.2 10.2 | PROJECT FUNDING MECHANISMS MANAGEMENT RESERVE FUNDS RISK MANAGEMENT ACQUISITION STRATEGY DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING QUALITY ASSURANCE NEPA DOCUMENTATION AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT INTEGRATED SAFETY MANAGEMENT PLAN | . 32 . 33 . 33 . 33 . 34 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 . 35 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 3-1 NCSX Stellarator Core | 13 |
|--|----|
| Figure 4-1 NCSX National Organization | 14 |
| Figure 4-2 NCSX Project Organization Structure | 15 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2-1 NCSX Performance Criteria at Project Completion | |
|---|-----------|
| Table 2-3 NCSX DOE Milestones | |
| Table 6-1 Major Item of Equipment Funding Profile (TEC) | |
| Table 7-1 Budget Estimate by WBS | |
| Table 8-1 NCSX Project Change Classification Matrix | |
| Table Annex I -1 | Annex I-1 |

1 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF THIS DOCUMENT

The National Compact Stellarator Experiment (NCSX) is an experimental research facility funded by the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences (OFES) that is to be designed and constructed at the Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL). Its purpose is to develop the physics of compact stellarators, an innovative fusion confinement concept. The facility will include the stellarator device and ancillary support systems. The design and fabrication project will be led by PPPL, in partnership with the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL).

This Project Execution Plan (PEP) covers the NCSX Fabrication Project, from Title I design, through fabrication, to integrated system testing and producing the first plasma. The Department of Energy has identified the NCSX Project as a Major Item of Equipment (MIE) Project vs. as a Line Item construction project. The differentiating factor between capital equipment and line item construction designation is that the equipment can be installed with little or no significant construction activities required. The device will be sited within existing experimental facilities at PPPL. No major building additions are required to accommodate the device; while there may be some minor interior changes in configuration, these changes will not affect the structural integrity of the existing facility. In addition, the existing facility is currently served by most of the utilities necessary to support the NCSX device, with only minor additional ancillary equipment needed. As a result, the overall cost objective that encompasses all project work scope is measured in terms of the Total Estimated Cost (TEC).

Although a MIE Project, the same overall management concepts applicable to line item projects will be applied to the degree appropriate for a project the size and cost of the NCSX. DOE Order 413.3 will provide the basis for the overall management of the Project.

Key documents and plans that describe the NCSX Project and how it will be managed are listed below.

DOE-approved project documents:

• Acquisition Execution Plan (AEP)

DOE document that delineates the process by which DOE and the performing organizations (PPPL and ORNL) will acquire components and systems critical to completing and achieving the NCSX Project goals and mission. For the NCSX Project, the Acquisition Executive Officer will be the Associate Director for Fusion Energy Sciences, Office of Science.

• Project Execution Plan (PEP)

Primary agreement on project planning and objectives between OFES, the Federal Project Director, and PPPL/ORNL.

DOE certified institutional systems or plans:

• PPPL Project Control System Description (PCSD)– Approved 1996, Validated for NCSX, February, 2003.

Describes PPPL's system for planning, authorizing, and tracking project work.

• PPPL Integrated Safety Management Plan (ISMP)

Describes the structure and implementation of Integrated Safety Management at PPPL, consistent with DOE policy, requirements, and guidance.

NCSX Project approved documents:

• General Requirements Document (GRD)

Top-level (i.e., system-level) specification for the NCSX Project.

• Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP)

Describes systems engineering processes and management practices to be utilized by the NCSX Project.

• Data Management Plan (DMP)

Describes the processes to be utilized for document and drawing control.

• Document and Records Plan (DOC)

Describes the purpose, content, format, approval level, records retention requirements, and file/document naming convention for each controlled document for the NCSX Project.

• Configuration Management Plan (CMP)

Describes the processes for proposing, approving, and implementing changes to the configuration, cost, and schedule baselines and controlled documents.

• Interface Control Management Plan (ICMP)

Describes the processes for generating and administering technical interface agreements between two or more technical activities.

• Safe Start Up and Control Plan (SSU)

Describes the processes to transition from the design and fabrication activities to an operational experiment.

• Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability Plan (RAM)

Describes the processes for factoring reliability, availability, and maintainability considerations into the design. The General Requirements Document (GRD) provides the overall top level RAM requirements for the Project.

• NCSX Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)

Integrates the PPPL and ORNL FED Quality Assurance Plans and implementing documents with project specific plans and procedures to assure that an appropriate quality assurance program exists for NCSX, consistent with DOE and PPPL policy, requirements, and guidance.

• Risk Management Plan (RMP)

Describes the NCSX Project approach to identifying and mitigating risks (technical, cost, and schedule)

2 MISSION NEED JUSTIFICATION/PROJECT OBJECTIVES

2.1 Mission Need

The NCSX mission need (Critical Decision 0) was approved by the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences (OFES) in May 2001. Its mission is to acquire the physics knowledge needed to evaluate the compact stellarator as a fusion concept, and to advance the understanding of 3D plasma physics for fusion and basic science. As indicated in the Mission Need document, NCSX is an integral part of the Department's Office of Fusion Energy Sciences program. The mission of the NCSX supports the program's goals as documented in the Report of the Integrated Program Planning Activity, DOE/SC-0028, December, 2000, which OFES was using at is program planning document at the time of CD-0. The NCSX program addresses two of the high-level program goals in the IPPA report, namely:

- Goal 2:Resolve outstanding scientific issues and establish reduced-cost paths to more attractive fusion energy systems by investigating a broad range of innovative magnetic confinement configurations.
- Goal 1: Advance understanding of plasma, the fourth state of matter, and enhance predictive capabilities through comparison of well-diagnosed experiments, theory, and simulation.

Subsequent planning documents (e.g., the Fusion Strategic Plan in 2004 and the 2005 FESAC report, "Scientific Challenges, Opportunities, and Priorities for the U.S. Fusion Energy Sciences Program") continue to support the importance of NCSX in the program. Its dual mission to advance both the development of attractive fusion energy systems and the understanding of plasma science, remains valid and consistent with OFES program goals.

In 2007, the Office of Science asked FESAC to review the U.S. compact stellarator program and the role of NCSX, noting in its charge that the future of the NCSX Project was being reconsidered in light of projected cost and schedule increases. A sub-panel

was formed to address the charge. Its report, endorsed by FESAC, documented the critical scientific issues for the program and said:

"NCSX is designed to address most of the critical physics and technology issues discussed in this report, using a compact, quasi-axisymmetric configuration that is unique in the world stellarator program. The Committee finds that, assuming successful construction and testing phases, the NCSX device is likely to perform at a level sufficient to address its scientific and technical missions. Therefore the Committee expects the NCSX experimental program to have a profound impact on stellarator research worldwide."

In January, 2008, the Department directed the NCSX Project to submit a baseline change proposal (BCP) for decision in the second half of FY 2008.

2.1.1 NCSX Mission in Support of Fusion Energy Goals

The compact stellarator (CS) is one of the innovative magnetic confinement configurations being investigated by the Fusion Energy Sciences Program. The potential of the compact stellarator as an attractive concept lies in its possibility to eliminate disruptions and operate steady-state with minimal recirculating power and high density. In order to assess it quantitatively, however, the physics of compact stellarators must be further developed. A stellarator proof-of-principle (PoP) program consisting of theory, experiment, international collaboration, and design has been established for this purpose. The NCSX, as the PoP program's lead element, has the primary responsibility to test the physics understanding and develop the physics knowledge base needed to determine the concept's attractiveness. Accordingly, the NCSX concept development mission is to:

- Demonstrate conditions for high-beta disruption-free operation, compatible with bootstrap current and external transform in a compact stellarator configuration.
- Understand beta limits and limiting mechanisms in a low-aspect-ratio currentcarrying stellarator.
- Understand reduction of neoclassical transport by quasi-axisymmetric (QA) design.
- Understand confinement scaling and reduction of anomalous transport by flowshear control.
- Understand equilibrium islands and stabilization of neoclassical tearing-modes by choice of magnetic shear.
- Understand compatibility between power and particle exhaust methods and good core performance in a compact stellarator.

2.1.2 NCSX Mission in Support of Fusion Science Goals

The Fusion Energy Science program aims to advance understanding and predictive capability in fusion plasma physics, including turbulence and transport, macroscopic stability, wave-particle interactions, plasma-wall interactions, and general plasma PEP Revision 4 4

science. The NCSX science mission is to understand three-dimensional plasma effects important to toroidal magnetic configurations generally. Critical questions to be answered using the NCSX facility include:

- Can pulse-length-limiting instabilities, such as external kinks and neoclassical tearing modes, be stabilized by external transform and 3D shaping?
- How do externally-generated transform and 3D shaping affect disruptions and their occurrence?
- Can the collisionless orbit losses typically associated with 3D fields be reduced by designing the magnetic field to be quasi-axisymmetric? Is flow damping reduced?
- Do anomalous transport control and reduction mechanisms that work in tokamaks transfer to quasi-axisymmetric stellarators? How does the transport scale in a compact stellarator?
- How do stellarator field characteristics such as islands and stochasticity affect the boundary plasma and plasma-material interactions? Are 3D methods for controlling particle and power exhaust compatible with good core confinement?

A program of experimental research will be carried out to accomplish this mission. The critical physics issues to be addressed– stability at high beta, confinement at high temperature, and divertor operation– set minimum plasma performance requirements. These considerations define the scale and scope of facility that is needed. They set the requirements on plasma size, magnetic field strength, plasma control, plasma heating, diagnostic access, and flexibility that the facility must satisfy. In the fusion program's concept development hierarchy, NCSX is in a class of facilities called proof-of-principle (PoP) experiments. The NCSX design and fabrication project addressed by this plan will provide an operational facility that meets the physics requirements necessary to support the NCSX physics mission. The mission itself will be carried out in the Operations phase.

2.2 **Project Objectives**

The key technical objective of the NCSX Project is the fabrication and assembly of the NCSX experimental facility. The facility will be capable of producing magnetized plasmas with a well-defined set of configuration properties, such as size, shape, magnetic field strength, and pressure, which in turn determine its physics properties. The NCSX will provide the flexibility to vary the configuration parameters over a range.

The plasmas to be studied are three-dimensional toroids, that is, doughnut-shaped plasmas whose cross sectional shape varies depending on where it is sliced. The magnetic field coils, which control the plasma shape, must be accurately constructed to precise shape specifications. The NCSX will provide the initial set of equipment necessary to achieve the CD-4 First Plasma milestone defined herein and to begin the research program. It will be able to accommodate later upgrades, to meet the needs of the research program.

2.2.1 <u>Performance Baseline Parameters</u>

The NCSX Project's Performance Baseline is defined by key performance, scope, cost, and schedule parameters:

- Performance The system performance levels to be demonstrated at project completion (First Plasma). These include quantitative metrics such as plasma parameters, coil and power supply currents, as well as certain subsystem functional tests.
- Scope A quantitative description of the equipment to be provided.
- Cost The total estimated cost of the project.
- Schedule The estimated project completion date.

The project's cost and schedule baseline are supported by bottom-up estimates of costs, task durations, and risk-based contingencies, whose technical basis is consistent with the performance and scope parameters. The implementation of changes in the baseline are made in accordance with the change control procedures and approval thresholds specified in this Project Execution Plan.

2.2.2 <u>Fabrication Project Performance at Project Completion</u>

The NCSX facility will initially support First Plasma operation with a magnetic field strength of 0.5T and a plasma current of 25 kA, and field-line mapping operation with a magnetic field strength of 0.1 T and no plasma. Refurbishment and testing of equipment for 1.5 MW of Neutral Beam Injection (NBI) heating will be done as part of the NCSX MIE project.

The equipment will be designed to meet performance requirements and to accommodate a range of possible future upgrades for later phases of the research program, as documented in the General Requirements Document. The implementation plan will evolve as the needs of the research program as a function of time are defined in more detail.

The milestone marking the transition from a fabrication project to an operating facility is the DOE Critical Decision 4 (CD-4) milestone also known as "First Plasma". The operations phase will begin upon completion of the First Plasma milestone. The First Plasma milestone will demonstrate a level of system performance sufficient for the start of research operations. The performance criteria at Project Completion are tabulated at the end of this sub-section in Table 2-1. It is important to note that the system design targets a level of performance that exceeds these criteria (e.g., 2 T *vs.* 1.6 T magnetic field, 5×10^{-8} torr *vs.* 8×10^{-8} torr base pressure). This provides valuable additional physics capability if the target performance can be achieved as well as additional margin to ensure that the project completion criteria (Table 2-1) will be achieved.

As required by DOE, a Project Completion Report will be prepared and submitted to the Federal Project Director within six months of completion of the Project. This report will provide the following information:

- Scope, cost and schedule baseline accomplishments;
- Financial closeout, including a final cost report;
- The technical performance of the systems at project completion; and
- Itemized changes in cost, schedule, and technical parameters as compared to the initial baseline (baseline change control log); and
- Subcontract closeout status.

| Parameter | Completion Objective at CD-4 | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| First Plasma | An Ohmically heated stellarator discharge will be produced with: | | |
| | • major radius 1.4 m. | | |
| | • magnetic field of $\geq 0.5 \text{ T}$ | | |
| | • plasma current of ≥ 25 kA | | |
| | • at least 50% of the rotational transform provided by stellarator fields. | | |
| | The three-dimensional stellarator geometry will be confirmed by taking video | | |
| | images of the plasma. | | |
| Coils and Power Supply | The coils will be operated at cryogenic temperature and energized with the | | |
| Performance. | baseline power supplies (except as noted) to the following currents: | | |
| | • Modular coils: 12 kA | | |
| | • TF Coils: 2 kA | | |
| | Central Solenoid Coils: 12 kA | | |
| | • PF4 Coils: 3 kA | | |
| | • PF5-6 Coils: 2 kA | | |
| | • Trim Coils: 1 kA. (w/ temp. power supplies). | | |
| Magnet System Rating | It will be demonstrated on the basis of component design verification data that the stellarator magnet system of modular coils, TF coils, and PF Ring coils are rated for operation at cryogenic temperatures to support plasma conditions | | |
| | with: | | |
| | • high beta (4%) | | |
| | • magnetic field up to $1.6T (0.2 s)$ or $1.2 T (1 s)$ | | |
| | Ohmic current drive up to 250 kA | | |
| M | flexibility per the General Requirements Document | | |
| Magnet System Accuracy | It will be demonstrated on the basis of design verification data, including electron-beam flux-surface mapping with the coils at room temperature, that the stellarator magnet system of modular coils, TF coils, and PF coils | | |
| | produces vacuum magnetic surfaces. | | |
| Vacuum Vessel System Rating | It will be demonstrated on the basis of component design verification data that the vacuum vessel system is rated for high-vacuum performance with: | | |
| | • base pressure less than or equal to 8×10^{-8} torr @293K | | |
| | • global leak rate less than or equal to 5×10^{-5} torr-l/s @293K | | |
| | • bakeable at 150 C. | | |
| Vacuum Pressure | A base pressure of 4×10^{-7} torr will be achieved. | | |
| Vacuum Pumping | A pumping speed of 1,300 l/s at the torus will be achieved. | | |
| Controls | Integrated subsystem tests, to the level required for First Plasma, will be | | |
| | completed for the following systems: | | |
| | • Safety interlocks. | | |
| | Timing and synchronization. | | |
| | • Power supply real time control. | | |
| 27 | Data acquisition. | | |
| Neutral beams | For one neutral beam injector: | | |
| | • Beamline operating vacuum shall have been achieved. | | |
| | • Beamline cryopanels shall be leak-checked. | | |
| | A source shall be leak-checked | | |

2.2.3 Fabrication Project Scope

The NCSX fabrication project scope includes all the equipment required at the start of operations (First Plasma and initial field mapping) with coil operation at cryogenic temperatures, and refurbishment and testing of equipment for 1.5 MW of neutral beam heating power. The scope includes Title I through Title III engineering, physics analyses in support of the design, manufacturing development for certain components, fabrication, assembly and installation, integrated systems testing, and project management associated with producing the in-scope equipment. It includes achievement of First Plasma. See Annex I for detailed scope by WBS.

The NCSX will be designed so that anticipated equipment upgrades can be accommodated when needed. Specifically, the system is designed to accommodate the following upgrades: a total of 6 MW of neutral beam injection (NBI) heating power, 6 MW of ion cyclotron radiofrequency (ICRF) heating power, 3 MW of electron cyclotron heating (ECH) power, a pellet injector, additional trim coils, power supplies for increased magnetic field strength and flexibility, additional plasma facing components and internal pumps for divertor operation, alternate first-wall materials, additional wall conditioning systems, and additional diagnostics) can be accommodated when needed. (See Annex I). The NCSX MIE Project scope does not include the actual implementation of these upgrades, which will be funded out of research program budgets, depending on program needs.

Activities to support NCSX research planning and preparation that will proceed in parallel with NCSX fabrication are not included in the NCSX MIE Fabrication Project scope.

2.2.4 Fabrication Project Cost

As indicated in Section 1 of this PEP, the NCSX Project has been designated as a Major Item of Equipment (MIE) by the Department of Energy and will be built using Capital Equipment Funds. At CD-1 approval, a baseline total estimated cost (TEC) range of \$69M - \$83M for the MIE fabrication project was established. As part of the CD-2 approval process, a baseline TEC objective was established as \$86.3M. In 2005 at the start of construction, per DOE direction the project was been rebaselined consistent with revised funding guidance to a TEC of \$92.4 M and first plasma planned for July 2009. In 2008, the project re-evaluated the work to go based on experience to date. A new TEC baseline of \$160.6M, including \$22.4M of contingency, was established. An additional \$9.6M of costs (incurred for planning and conceptual design completed prior to CD-1 and project start) are not included in the TEC but are included in the Total Project Cost of \$170.2M.

2.2.5 <u>Fabrication Project Schedule</u>

The project's new baseline schedule objective, established in 2008, is to complete the project with the Achievement of First Plasma by August 2013. The DOE level schedule milestone (Level 1 and 2) definitions and their criteria for completion are included in the NCSX Project Milestone Dictionary. These DOE level milestones are summarized in Table 2-2

| Milestone | Level | Date | √= Completed |
|--|-------|---------|-----------------|
| | | | |
| Complete Physics Validation Review | 2 | Mar. 01 | N |
| Complete CD-0 Milestone | 1 | May 01 | |
| Select Conceptual Design Configuration | 2 | Dec. 01 | \checkmark |
| Submit NEPA Preliminary Hazards Analyses | 2 | Apr. 02 | |
| Complete Conceptual Design Review | 2 | May 02 | |
| Receive FONSI | 2 | Oct. 02 | |
| Complete CD-1 Milestone | 1 | Nov. 02 | \checkmark |
| Award Prototype Contracts for Modular Coil Winding Forms | 2 | Mar. 03 | |
| Award Prototype Contracts for Vacuum Vessel | 2 | Apr. 03 | \checkmark |
| Start Preliminary Design (Title I) | 2 | Apr. 03 | \checkmark |
| Complete Project Preliminary Design Review for Vacuum Vessel and Modular Coils | 2 | Oct. 03 | \checkmark |
| Complete External Independent Review and DOE Performance Baseline Review | 2 | Nov. 03 | \checkmark |
| Authorize Prototype Fabrication of MCC and Vacuum Vessel | 2 | Dec. 03 | \checkmark |
| Complete CD-2 Milestones | 1 | Feb. 04 | \checkmark |
| Initiate Modular Coils Winding Process on a 3D Surface | 2 | Mar. 04 | |
| Produce First Prototype Modular Coil Winding Form Casting for Machining | 2 | June 04 | \checkmark |
| Complete Final Design Review for Modular Coils Winding Forms | 2 | Jul. 04 | \checkmark |
| Complete Final Design Review for the Vacuum Vessel | 2 | Jul. 04 | \checkmark |
| Complete Prerequisites for the CD-3 Milestone for Procurement and Fabrication of Components | 2 | Sep. 04 | \checkmark |
| Award Conductor Contract | 2 | Dec. 04 | \checkmark |
| Complete CD-3 Milestone | 1 | Sep. 04 | \checkmark |
| Award Production Contract for Modular Coils Winding Forms | 2 | Oct. 04 | \checkmark |
| Award Production Contract for Vacuum Vessel | 2 | Oct. 04 | \checkmark |
| First Modular Coil Winding Forms Delivered | 2 | Jul. 05 | \checkmark |

Table 2-2 NCSX DOE Milestones

| | | | √= |
|--|-------|---------|--------------|
| Milestone | Level | Date | Completed |
| Begin fabrication activities for TF Coils | 2 | Jul. 05 | |
| Complete First Modular Coil Fabrication | 2 | Mar. 06 | \checkmark |
| Vacuum Vessel Sectors Delivered | 2 | Sep. 06 | \checkmark |
| Last Modular Coil Winding Form Delivered | 2 | Sep. 07 | \checkmark |
| Begin Assembly of First Field Period | 2 | Jul. 07 | \checkmark |
| MC Interface Overall FDR (excl C-C) | 2 | Nov. 07 | \checkmark |
| Deliver TF Coils for FPA #1 assy (4) | 2 | Aug. 08 | \checkmark |
| Shims required for 1st MCHP Assy. (Sta. 2) available | 2 | Feb. 08 | \checkmark |
| PF Coil PDR | 2 | Dec. 07 | |
| Trim Coil PDR | 2 | | |
| Trim Coil + Structure FDR | 2 | | Jun. 08 |
| Base Support Structure FDR | 2 | | Jul. 08 |
| PF Coils Awarded | 2 | | Aug. 08 |
| Award Trim Coil Procurement | 2 | | Sep. 08 |
| Complete VPI of 18 th Mod Coil | 2 | | Nov. 08 |
| Power system - PDR | 2 | | Dec. 08 |
| All TF Coils Delivered | 2 | | Jan. 09 |
| Award Coil Support Structure | 2 | | Feb. 09 |
| Station 6 Specification & Assy Drawings Complete | 2 | | Mar. 09 |
| Complete 1st MCHP Assy (Sta 2) | 2 | | May 09 |
| Trim Coils for FPA #1 Delivered | 2 | | Jun. 09 |
| Cryostat- CDR | 2 | | Jul. 09 |
| Complete 3rd MCHP Assy (Sta.2) | 2 | | Oct. 09 |
| Deliver Coil Structure components | 2 | | Oct. 09 |
| PF 5&6 Lower Delivered | 2 | | Dec. 09 |
| Complete 1st MC-VV Assy (Sta 3) | 2 | | Jan. 10 |
| Complete 1st Field Period Assy (Sat. 5) | 2 | | May 10 |
| Power systems C-Site - FDR | 2 | | Aug. 10 |
| Complete 2nd MC-VV Assy (Sta 3) | 2 | | Sep. 10 |
| Cryostat- FDR | 2 | | Oct. 10 |
| Complete 3rd MC-VV Assy (Sta 3) | 2 | | Dec. 10 |
| Complete Base Support Structure Assembly | 2 | | Feb. 11 |
| Complete 2nd Field Period Assy. (Sta.5) | 2 | | Mar. 11 |
| FPA-3 Installed on sleds | 2 | | Jun. 11 |

| Milestone | Level | Date | √= Completed |
|--|-------|------|-----------------|
| C-site DC Systems Installed | 2 | | Jun. 11 |
| E-beam mapping apparatus ready for Installation | 2 | | Oct. 11 |
| Move FPA's & spacers together/chk fitup complete | 2 | | Nov. 11 |
| Compl Central Safety&Interlock Sys Pre-ops Tests | 2 | | Feb. 12 |
| Vacuum Vessel Welding complete (3 FP's) | 2 | | Jul. 12 |
| Begin Vac Vsl Pumpdown | 2 | | Oct. 12 |
| ALL PF Coils Installed | 2 | | Dec. 12 |
| Begin Cryostat Installation | 2 | | Feb. 13 |
| PSO Operational Readiness Assessment | 2 | | Apr. 13 |
| Begin Start-up Testing | 2 | | May 13 |
| Cooldown of Machine | 2 | | May 13 |
| NCSX Startup Complete / CD-4 | 1 | | Aug. 13 |

3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The NCSX Project involves the design and fabrication of the NCSX facility. At the heart of the facility is the plasma confinement device, or stellarator core. This will be an assembly of several magnet systems that surround a highly-shaped plasma. Coils provide the magnetic field for plasma shape control, inductive current drive, and field error compensation. The vacuum vessel and plasma facing components produce a high vacuum plasma environment with access for heating, pumping, diagnostics, and maintenance. The entire system is surrounded by a cryostat to permit cooling of the magnets at cryogenic temperature. Figure 3-1 shows a cutaway view of the stellarator core assembly.



Figure 3-1 NCSX Stellarator Core

The NCSX core will be assembled in the C-Site test cell at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL), formerly the site of the Princeton Beta Experiment (PBX) and the Princeton Large Torus (PLT). It will be equipped with neutral-beam heating systems, pumps, fueling systems, diagnostics, control systems, data acquisition systems, cryogenic systems and utility services, some of which will be provided as future upgrades. The PBX/PLT computer and control rooms, which are contiguous to the test cell, will be refurbished and utilized. Power supplies located at C-site will be used for the initial experimental phases. Upgrades will involve the use of D-Site power supplies.

The design of the stellarator core and facility re-configuration is accomplished by Laboratory (PPPL and ORNL) researchers and engineers. Development and manufacture of the major stellarator core components such as the coils and vacuum vessel is done by industry, under contract to PPPL, or by a combination of industry and Laboratory efforts. Laboratory personnel assemble the device. Ancillary systems are acquired from a combination of new and existing equipment. Major site credits to be used are the PBX-M neutral beams, D-site magnet power supplies originally used on the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), some C-site power supplies, the PBX-M vacuum pumping and gas injection systems, the test cell and associated infrastructure, and the adjacent control and computer rooms. As part of the project, the facilities and equipment to be re-used will be reconfigured or refurbished as needed to meet NCSX requirements. In the final stage of the project, an integrated testing program will be carried out and a plasma ("first plasma") will be produced in the device to make it ready for experimental operations.

4 MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

4.1 NCSX Project Organization

The NCSX Project is led by the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) with the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) providing major leadership and support as a partner. The partners have formed an integrated team to carry out the NCSX Project, where engineers and scientists from PPPL and ORNL work together to bring the necessary expertise to the project. This means that PPPL engineers and scientists support areas in which ORNL has the lead and similarly, ORNL engineers and scientists support areas in which PPPL has the lead. Management responsibilities are clearly assigned to one partner or the other, and PPPL has overall responsibility for the project. Figure 4-1 depicts the NCSX National Organization and Figure 4-2 depicts the national NCSX Project organization, including the DOE reporting structure and depicts the details of the NCSX Project organization structure and the key management responsibilities of the partner institutionsd



Figure 4-1 NCSX National Organization



Figure 4-2 NCSX Project Organization Structure

The following subsections describe the relationships between the elements of the organization and their responsibilities.

4.1.1 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Within the DOE, the responsibility for the NCSX Program resides in the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences (OFES). OFES will also maintain executive level awareness of project progress, and an OFES NCSX Program Manager has been assigned. The management responsibility, authority, and accountability for the day-to-day execution of the NCSX Project within the DOE are the responsibility of the Manager of the Princeton Site Office (PSO), who has designated a DOE Federal Project Director for NCSX.

The Associate Director for Fusion Energy Sciences has been designated as the Acquisition Executive (AE) for the NCSX Project.

The OFES NCSX Program Manager (DOE-OFES) is:

- Responsible for programmatic guidance, including defining project objectives, scope, schedule and cost.
- Responsible for allocating project funding.

- Responsible for coordinating the organization and implementation of major project reviews (e.g. Physics Validation Review, Conceptual Design Review, etc.)
- Responsible for project oversight at an executive level.

The NCSX Federal Project Director (DOE-PSO) is responsible and accountable to the Acquisition Executive/Program Secretarial Office for executing the project. The Federal Project Director is responsible for:

- Planning and implementing, and completing the project using a systems engineering approach.
- Organizing and directing the Integrated Project Team (IPT) that is comprised of both DOE and NCSX Project team personnel to implement and achieve the overall project objectives and goals.
- Overseeing implementation of the project objectives, scope, schedule and cost, including:
 - Overseeing the design, fabrication, environmental, safety, and health efforts, including risk management, performed by the PPPL and ORNL team and their subcontractors, and other functions enumerated in the Project Execution Plan, in accordance with public law, regulations, and Executive orders.
 - Serving as the point of contact between federal and contractor staff for all matters relating to the NCSX Project and its execution.
 - Performing all required project status reporting to DOE HQ organizations and database management systems.
 - Evaluates and verifies reported progress; makes projections of progress and indentifies trends.
 - Serving as the Contracting Officer's technical representative.
- Responsible to add additional DOE-PSO personnel, including assigning a Deputy Federal Project Director, as appropriate to ensure the project's success.

4.1.2 <u>Performing Organizations</u>

4.1.2.1 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)

PPPL has overall responsibility for NCSX Project execution, reporting to DOE through the Laboratory Director. The NCSX Project reports directly to the Director's Office. Project support in the areas of Quality Assurance and Environment, Safety and Health (ES&H) are provided by PPPL. Major hardware procurements will be placed through PPPL's procurement organization.

4.1.2.2 Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

ORNL is a partner in the NCSX Project with key management responsibilities. ORNL led the design of the stellarator core (WBS 1) through conceptual design and the modular coils, vacuum vessel, and coil services through final design. The ORNL stellarator core design team continues to play an essential role in the assembly phase of the project, both in responding to field changes and in performing risk mitigation activities. ORNL has continuing responsibility for Title III design, analysis, design integration, and consultation on stellarator core systems, and risk management support. The Deputy Project Manager is from ORNL. Within the ORNL organizational structure, the NCSX Project activities are accomplished within the Fusion Energy Division.

4.1.2.3 Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA)

DCMA is a U.S. Government Agency that provides on-site QC inspection in support of federally funded fabrication contracts. The DCMA agency provides supplemental support to the PPPL QA/QC organization by performing these inspections. DCMA support will be requested by the PPPL QA/QC organization on select contracts with written reports provided to PPPL.

4.1.2.4 Other Organizations

All other participants (i.e., industrial or university organizations) are subcontractors to either PPPL or ORNL.

4.2 **NCSX Management Team**

Key project positions and responsibilities are as follows:

4.2.1 Senior Laboratory Managers

4.2.1.1 PPPL Director

The PPPL Director has overall responsibility to DOE for the execution of the NCSX Project. The PPPL Director is supported by the Deputy Director.

4.2.1.2 NCSX Project Office

The NCSX Project Office is headed by the NCSX Laboratory Project manager, who reports to the PPPL Director.

4.2.2 NCSX Project Management Team

4.2.2.1 NCSX Laboratory Project Manager

The NCSX Project Manager is responsible for the day-to-day execution of the NCSX Project in a safe and cost-effective manner, in accordance with requirements, procedures and standards, as set forth in the PPPL contract with DOE. This includes executing the technical, cost, schedule, project control, risk management, ES&H, and quality assurance aspects of the project within approved cost, schedule, and scope baselines, as defined in the Project Execution Plan and the contract. The Project Manager will instill a culture of personal accountability within the project team, focusing on driving schedule, without

compromising safety and quality. The Project Manager is the project's primary point of contact with DOE and with the Program Advisory Committee. The Project Manager reports to the PPPL Director.

4.2.2.2 Deputy Project Manager

The NCSX Deputy Project Manager (DPM) is located at ORNL and is responsible for execution of ORNL work scope. The DPM reports to the Project Manager.

4.1.2.3.1 Engineering Manager

The NCSX Project Engineering Manager is responsible for carrying out the NCSX engineering design and fabrication to meet project requirements. NCSX Project Engineering Manager reports to the Project Manager.

4.2.2.4 Project Integration Manager

The Project Integration Manager (PIM) provides leadership to ensure successful integration of the NCSX components into a completed system that meets physics requirements, and a successful transition from the construction phase to post-construction phases of the NCSX Project. The Project Integration Manager reports to the project manager.

Responsibilities:

- Risk management: oversee the identification of risks, development and implementation of mitigation plans, and tracking of risks to retirement. Emphasis on long-range risk identification and planning.
- Physics-engineering integration: ensure physics requirement are appropriately implemented by the engineering team, consistent with research needs and project cost and schedule objectives.
- Transition to operations: ensure that there is an effective transition from the construction phase to the operations phase, including the planning of future engineering activities such as upgrades and facility operations.
- Project-program interface- ensure effective coordination between the project and the research team, and support research planning and preparations.

4.2.2.5 NCSX Project Physics Head

The NCSX Project Physics Head is responsible for the physics requirements and supporting physics analyses as necessary. The NCSX Project Physics Head reports to the Project Manager,

4.2.2.6 Responsible Line Managers

The Responsible Line Managers (RLMs) are responsible for carrying out NCSX engineering design and fabrication activities in their respective disciplines. There are three RLMs: for Stellarator Core Design and Procurement, for Construction (the Construction Manager), and for Electrical Systems. The Responsible Line Managers (RLMs) report to the Project Manager.

4.2.2.7 WBS Managers

The project engineering work organization is structured according to the work breakdown structure (WBS). A WBS Manager is assigned at the optimal WBS level according to a risk based graded approach. In some instances, this "optimal" level may be at WBS Level 2 and sometimes at a lower level (job managers or cost account managers). A WBS Manager is responsible for the execution of the work scope consistent with technical, cost, and schedule objectives. The WBS managers report to Responsible Line Managers.

4.2.2.8 NCSX Project Control Manager

The Project Control Manager reports to the NCSX Project Manager and is responsible for all project control and administrative functions necessary to support NCSX Project activities.

The NCSX Project Control Manager's responsibilities include:

- Coordinating the development of project plans and administering the centralized Work Authorization system;
- Maintaining up-to-date NCSX cost and schedule baselines that are consistent with the technical baseline;
- Coordinating the preparation of statements of work, sole source justifications (as appropriate), the processing of requisitions, and tracking of procurements and subcontracts supporting the project;
- Establishing, maintaining, and monitoring project budgets and schedules to ensure consistency with project control milestones and funding;
- Operating the PPPL Project Control System (PCS) as the Project Control System for the NCSX Project.
- Assisting the Project Engineering Manager in administering the operation of the NCSX documentation, configuration management, requirements definition, and design description systems;
- Serving as the primary point-of-contact to the PPPL Business Operations Department; and
- Performing administrative functions such as space planning, facility maintenance coordination, travel approvals and vouchers, and overall personnel planning.

4.2.2.9 Engineering Support Team

The Engineering Support Team reports to the NCSX Engineering Manager and is responsible for engineering support activities, including:

- Systems Engineering;
- Design Integration;

- Systems Analyses and Technical Assurance; and
- Metrology Coordination.

4.2.2.10 Quality Assurance (QA) and Environment, Safety & Health (ES&H)

4.2.2.10.1.1 Quality Assurance

A NCSX QA Engineer and a NCSX ES&H Engineer are assigned to support the NCSX Project Manager. The NCSX QA Engineer, with the support of the entire QA Division, will assist the project in meeting quality assurance/control objectives. Support tasks include:

- Preparing a project QA plan;
- Assisting in the development of project procedures, policies, and other plans, as requested by project management;
- Providing quality related services such as inspections and support of procurements; and
- Performing both compliance-based and performance-based audits of the project and its associated plans and procedures.
- Coordinate DCMA inspections on project industrial subcontracts. Provide assurance to DOE-PSO of DCMA activity and deliverables.

4.2.2.10.2 ES&H Manager

ES&H Engineer support responsibilities - The NCSX ES&H Engineer will assist the project in meeting ES&H objectives. These include safe execution of the project and producing a facility that will be safe to operate. The NCSX ES&H Engineer will assist in implementing PPPL ES&H policies and procedures. The NCSX ES&H Engineer will prepare any required National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation and a Safety Assessment Document (SAD).

While their normal reporting relationship is to the NCSX Project Manager, both individuals have a direct line of reporting to the PPPL Head of ES&H and Infrastructure for items involving overall QA and ES&H impact.

ES&H Engineer support responsibilities - The NCSX ES&H Engineer will assist the project in meeting ES&H objectives. These include safe execution of the project and producing a facility that will be safe to operate. He will assist in implementing PPPL ES&H policies and procedures. The NCSX ES&H Engineer will prepare any required National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation and a Safety Assessment Document (SAD).

While their normal reporting relationship is to the NCSX Project Manager, both individuals have a direct line of reporting to the PPPL's Director's Office for CD-3 activities for items involving overall QA and ES&H impact.

4.3 **Program Advisory Committee**

Advice by the U.S. and world fusion community on the NCSX Project scientific and technical issues is being obtained through the NCSX Program Advisory Committee (PAC). The NCSX PAC is composed of a broad spectrum of technical experts of the U.S. and world fusion community. The PAC provides this advice to the PPPL Director. It addresses key technical issues identified by the NCSX Project. It meets periodically at the request of the PPPL Director.

4.4 Integrated Project Team

The NCSX Integrated Project Team (IPT) is made up of key DOE and NCSX Project Team personnel. The IPT is led by the NCSX Federal Project Director. While the makeup of the IPT will evolve as the project matures, the initial makeup of this cross-functional team for CD-3 activities includes the following personnel:

- The NCSX Federal Project Director;
- The NCSX Deputy Federal Project Director
- The OFES NCSX Program Manager;
- The OFES Stellarator Program Manager;
- The NCSX Laboratory Project Manager;
- The NCSX Deputy Project Manager (ORNL);
- The PPPL Procurement Manager;
- The NCSX Quality Assurance Manager;
- The NCSX ES&H Manager;
- The NCSX Project Control Manager; and
- The NCSX Project Integration Manager.

Other DOE and NCSX Project Team and PPPL/ORNL personnel may be added as the need arises in order to accomplish the NCSX Project objectives. For example, as the NCSX Project nears operation, personnel with operational experience will be added to the team. Additionally, as the need arises, DOE or PPPL/ORNL personnel with expertise, fiscal, technical, and legal areas may be included in the IPT.

5 WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE (WBS)

The WBS organizes the NCSX Project work scope and provides the logical structure that will be used to control the project. The WBS is composed of a few levels as required for work definition and control. All the WBS elements are expanded and completely defined in a series of separately issued and approved set of WBS dictionaries. The WBS Dictionary for each WBS element contains a brief description of the work scope for each

element and, as appropriate, the design work necessary to assure that required future upgrades can be accommodated. A summary-level Work Breakdown Structure listing is provided in Table 7-1, while the full WBS listing and WBS dictionary can be found on the NCSX Engineering Web page:

http://www.ncsx.pppl.gov/SystemsEngineering/WBS/index_WBS.htm.

6 **RESOURCE PLAN**

6.1 NCSX Project Costs

As indicated in Section 1 of this PEP, the NCSX Project has been designated by the Department of Energy as a Major Item of Equipment (MIE) and will be funded entirely with Capital Equipment Funds. As a result of this decision, the overall cost objective that encompasses all project work scope as defined in Section 0 is measured in terms of the Total Estimated Cost (TEC). These TEC cost activities will be used to measure the performance of the NCSX Project against its technical, cost, and schedule baselines. Section 2.2.4 previously identified the cost objective for this project.

6.2 Funding Profiles

Table 6-1 provides the NCSX Budget Authority (BA) funding profiles according to current project planning.

During the NCSX fabrication period, a parallel research preparation activity, funded separately from the MIE project, is carried out. The goals are to maintain an up-to-date research program plan and prepare the analytical and hardware tools that will be needed beyond project completion (CD-4 as measured by first plasma) and the flux-surface mapping phases of the research program. These research planning and preparations activities are not included in the NCSX Project scope. They are funded with OPEX funds separate from the TEC. Of necessity, this work proceeds in parallel with the design and fabrication of the NCSX device in order to be fully prepared to conduct the research program. This approach closely mirrors that used on NSTX.

Table 6-1 Major Item of Equipment Funding Profile (TEC)

| Year | BA (M\$) |
|-------|-----------------|
| 2003 | 7.9 |
| 2004 | 15.9 |
| 2005 | 17.5 |
| 2006 | 17.0 |
| 2007 | 15.9 |
| 2008 | 15.9 |
| 2009 | 19.6 |
| 2010 | 20.1 |
| 2011 | 22.1 |
| 2012 | 8.7 |
| 2013 | _ |
| Total | 160.6 |

6.3 Life Cycle Costs

The elements of the NCSX life-cycle cost are as follows:

- Planning, including conceptual design (included in the Total Project Cost or TPC, but not in the TEC) as specified in Section 2.2.4.
- Major Item of Equipment fabrication: the TEC as specified in Section 2.2.4
- Research and Operations: \$0.7M/year during fabrication preparation, increasing to \$40-50M/year during the Research Operations phase.
- Decommissioning and disposal: \$2M.

Note on Research Operations: Annual research operations costs are for a typical year, and consist of facility operations, equipment upgrades, and research. PPPL will be responsible for facility operations. PPPL and ORNL will be responsible for facility upgrades. Responsibilities for research and diagnostics will be multi-institutional. The national estimate given here is based on operating cost data from the PPPL-operated National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX), a facility comparable to NCSX in size, scope, and collaborative aspects. Fusion experiments like NCSX are expected to operate for at least 10 years, but some have operated as long as 25 years, undergoing major reconfigurations in the process. In the absence of a well-defined timeline, the annual operating budget estimates are quoted in constant FY-2008 dollars.

Note on Decommissioning and Disposal: At the end of NCSX's operating life, the remaining equipment will be removed and it is expected that these activities should be routine and relatively inexpensive, although a small amount of radioactive activation and/or contamination of the structures is expected. The decommissioning and disposal cost estimate is based on the actual costs of removing the Princeton Large Torus (PLT) and Princeton Beta Experiment-Modified (PBX-M) devices in recent years.

7 **PROJECT BASELINES**

The initial NCSX Project configuration, schedule, and cost baselines were developed in the conceptual design phase of the project. The initial performance baseline was established with the approval of CD-2 in 2004. A directed baseline change was approved in 2005 to accommodate a change in funding profile, however it was subsequently determined that the project could not be completed within the approved cost and schedule objectives. A new baseline was approved in 2008 following a bottom-up re-estimate of the cost and schedule and a DOE decision to complete the project. Section 7.1, which follows, addresses the management and control of the configuration (sometimes also called the technical) baseline. The project baselines come under the configuration control processes that are outlined later in this PEP.

7.1 Configuration Baseline

The configuration or technical baseline is the configuration/technical documentation formally designated at a specific time during the Project. Configuration baselines, plus **PEP Revision 4** 23

approved changes to those baselines, constitute the current configuration documentation. Establishment of configuration baselines will follow the industry standard for systems engineering, EIA/IS-632 *Systems Engineering*. There are three formally designated configuration baselines, namely the functional, allocated, and product baselines.

The functional baseline is the initially approved documentation describing the system's functional, performance, and interface requirements and the verification required to demonstrate the achievement of those requirements. The functional baseline is established when the system (top-level) specification, the General Requirements Document, is approved.

Lower level development or "design-to" specifications (sometimes called Systems Requirements Documents or SRDs) will be developed from requirements allocated from the system specification. The allocated baseline is the initially approved documentation describing subsystem functional, performance, and interface requirements that are allocated for those of the system or higher level subsystem; interface requirements with interfacing subsystems; design constraints; derived requirements; and verification requirements and methods to demonstrate the achievement of those requirements and constraints. Generally, there is an allocated baseline for each subsystem to be developed.

The product baseline is the initially approved documentation describing all of the necessary functional, performance, and physical requirements of the subsystem; and the functional and physical requirements designated for production acceptance testing. Product or "build to" specifications (CSPECS and PRLs) and engineering drawings are part of the product baseline. Generally, there is a product baseline for each subsystem, component, and part. The product baseline is typically established late in final design or early in the fabrication phase with the validation of the product specification and supporting documentation.

7.2 Cost and Schedule Baselines

The DOE schedule baseline is documented in this PEP as indicated in Section 2.2.5, (,

DOE Milestones.

The DOE cost baseline consists of the TEC and the included contingency, as indicated in Section 2.2.5. The supporting budget estimates by WBS are tabulated in Table 7-1 (Cost by WBS).

Table 7-1 Budget Estimate by WBS

D 4 0

| | BAC |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| WBS Work Package | (\$M) |
| 1 Stellarator Core | 89.7 |
| 12 Vacuum vessel | 11.2 |
| 13 Conventional Coils | 8.1 |
| 14 Modular Coils | 40.7 |
| 15 Coil Structures | 2.1 |
| 16 Coil Services | 1.1 |
| 17 Cryostat & Base Structure | 2.0 |
| 18 Field Period Assembly | 20.0 |
| 19 Stellarator Core Mgt. & Int. | 4.6 |
| 2 Auxiliary Systems | 1.4 |
| 3 Diagnostics | 1.9 |
| 4 Electrical Power Systems | 3.3 |
| 5 Central I&C/Data Aq. | 2.1 |
| 6 Facility Systems | 2.4 |
| 7 Test Cell Prep & Machine Assy. | 9.3 |
| 8 Project Mgt. & Integration | 27.9 |
| 81 Project management | 8.8 |
| 82 Engineering Mgt. & Integration | 14.1 |
| 84 Project Physics | 0.5 |
| 85 Integrated System Testing | 0.8 |
| 89 Allocations | 3.7 |
| DCMA | 0.1 |
| Total Work | 138.2 |
| Contingency | 22.4 |
| Total Estimated Cost (TEC) | 160.6 |
| Pre-CD1 Planning & Conc. Design | 9.6 |
| Total Project Cost (TPC) | 170.2 |

The NCSX Project resource-loaded schedule provides the schedule and cost details for the Project's performance measurement baseline. The Primavera Project Planner (P3) commercial scheduling module will be the standard software used for the NCSX Project. There will be a minimum of four levels of detail starting with the Project Summary Schedule (Level 1). This summary level schedule will identify significant DOE and project milestones and summary logic for the entire project.

The other three levels of schedule are as follows and provide increasingly greater level of detail:

- Level II or Intermediate Schedules will show major milestones and key tasks summarized by WBS, including key interrelationships.
- Level III or Job Level Schedules are the detailed schedules prepared by the job manager. This schedule is established as part of the Work Authorization process and will span at least the current fiscal year. Since this schedule is the basis for each approved job or task, it is the heart of the cost and schedule baseline. These schedules will be resource loaded at the activity level and will form the basis for the NCSX Project Control System described in Section 10.0 of this PEP. Progress against established technical, cost, and schedule targets will be measured and evaluated monthly using the information contained in the Level III schedules. The activity detail that provides basis for these resource loaded schedules are

documented in a separate Cost and Schedule Document. Subsystem-specific contingencies are included and detailed resource-loaded schedules are available. These schedules clearly demonstrate the critical path activities, major milestones at both the summary and detailed levels.

• Level IV or Working Level Schedules – depending on the needs of the project, detailed working level schedules are prepared as needed. As critical tasks occur (e.g., complex hardware procurement, fabrication and installation tasks, etc.), activities that are covered in the Level III job schedules may be broken down into additional detail to allow for coordination of work by the responsible manager. Level IV schedules may also be developed by cognizant job managers to aid in the performance and control of their jobs. This level of schedule detail is normally not controlled at the same rigor as higher level schedules, but efforts are made to ensure continuity to established project milestones and Level III schedules.

8 Control of Project Baselines

8.1 Configuration Management Approach

Changes to the NCSX configuration, cost, and schedule baselines are controlled using a disciplined, yet flexible configuration management approach. Changes to the baseline are carefully considered and evaluated for impact before proceeding. Processes for effecting changes to the configuration, cost, and schedule baselines are described in the Configuration Management Plan (NCSX-PLAN-CMP).

8.2 Change Control Process

The NCSX change control process ensures that changes to the NCSX design and requirements are properly identified, screened, evaluated, implemented, and documented. A formal procedure has been established to implement the process of change classification and submittal of supporting documentation.

Once an Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) has been prepared and the impacts fully documented, the ECP will come before a project Change Control Board (CCB) that is comprised of senior members of the NCSX management team. The NCSX Project Manager or his designee will chair the CCB. The NCSX Systems Engineering Support Manager will serve as the CCB Secretary. Other members of the CCB will be assigned as appropriate, but may include the following:

- NCSX Project Control Manager
- NCSX Engineering Manager
- NCSX Physics Head
- WBS Managers
- ES&H representative

- QA representative
- Other cognizant job managers impacted by the proposed change

The chairperson shall have the ultimate authority to recommend changes for the final approval; other board members act solely as advisors.

Once a proposed change is approved, the project will implement the change in a timely manner. An updated list of approved, disapproved, and pending changes will be maintained electronically by Project Engineering on the NCSX Engineering web site.

8.3 Change Control Levels

Changes to the NCSX configuration, cost, or schedule baselines will be classified according to their impact on the project. The change approval levels are established consistent with the technical, cost, and schedule risk and are intended to feed into the higher level DOE configuration change system. Program directed changes will be approved at an appropriate level as determined within the Office of Science. For project baseline deviations, Table 8-1 summarizes the performance baseline change authority for the Deputy Secretary of Energy (Level 0), the Associate Director for Fusion Energy Sciences - Office of Science (Level 1), the NCSX Federal Project Director (Level 2), and the NCSX Laboratory Project Manager (Level 3).

| Chang e Level | Approval Level | Technical Scope | Schedule | Cost |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | |
| 0 | Deputy Secretary of Energy | Any change in scope and/or performance that affects mission need requirements or is not in conformance with the current approved OMB- 300. | 6 month or greater increase (cumulative) in the orginal project completion date. | Increase in excess of 25% (cumulative) of the orginal cost baseline. |
| 1 | Associate Director | Changes to technical requirements and | Less than a 6 month increase (cumulative) | Increase of the original cost baseline. |

in the orginal project

completion date.

<3 month increase

(cumulative) in the

completion date **OR** Change in DOE level

orginal project

2 milestone.

changes to the

performance

measurement

schedules not

requiring DOE approval.

All other

baseline

Changes requiring the

use of contingency

All other changes

baseline costs not

requiring DOE approval.

performance

measurement

funds

to the

parameters that affect

safety basis and operation

function, but do not affect mission need objectives. Changes with ES&H

impacts significant enough

NEPA/EA documentation.

to affect the approved

Changes not requiring

DOE approval.

Table 8-1 NCSX Project Change Classification Matrix

8.4 **Contingency Management Plan**

The amount of contingency is established at the beginning of the project based on a risk assessment performed as part of the cost estimating process. A formal risk-assessment methodology that considers technical, cost, and schedule risks at the subsystem level, is performed, using a high-medium-low risk classification. This methodology is outlined in Annex II to this plan. The initial project contingency level will be approved by the Associate Director for Fusion Energy Sciences as the Acquisition Executive for NCSX at CD-2 as part of establishing the overall cost and schedule baselines.

Based on experience with similar projects, changes in scope of work and schedule, requiring the application of contingency, typically arise as a project proceeds. Changes involving the application of contingency must be approved by the NCSX DOE Federal Project Manager via the configuration control process. Cost and schedule baselines and remaining contingency will be adjusted upon approval of change proposals.

2

3

for FES

NCSX

Federal

Project

Director

NCSX

Project

Manager or

NCSX

Engineerin

g Manager

Each fiscal year, not later than the middle of the year, the NCSX Project Manager will assess the status of authorized work, achieved milestones, and current and future risks, to determine how to apply remaining management reserve (See Section 10.2) funds under the Project Manager's control. They can be used to authorize as yet un-funded work planned for the current or future years, to fund approved changes, or a combination of these. This decision will occur early enough in the fiscal year to permit effective use of these funds, and will be presented as part of the annual mid-year project review meeting with DOE.

8.5 Value Engineering

Value Engineering (VE) is the systematic application of recognized techniques by a multi-disciplinary team to identify the function of a product or service, establish a worth for that function, generate alternatives through the use of creative thinking, and provide the needed functions to accomplish the original purpose of the project at the lowest life-cycle cost without sacrificing safety, necessary quality, and or environmental attributes of the project. The NCSX Project will apply VE methodologies following a tailored approach to the formal elements of VE. The NCSX approach has included:

- Using a multi-disciplinary team to identify and assess alternates;
- Following a systematic job plan;
- Identifying and evaluating function, cost and worth;
- Developing and evaluating new alternatives for required functions; and
- Developing and implementing recommendations.

The NCSX Project has applied value engineering methods from early in the design process, starting with the pre-conceptual design phase. Numerous design studies have been conducted that have significantly shaped and guided the development of the current design.

• Prior to CD-2, a dedicated value engineering task force reviewed and assessed numerous design and installation alternatives with each WBS Manager during Preliminary Design Review preparation. Several value improvements were identified and exercised.

The project's Value Engineering program in the fabrication phase has been to continue to seek lower-cost alternatives for all phases of subsystem implementation and to follow up on open items documented in the VE studies. Implementation is accomplished via the project's regular work planning and tracking process and the design planning and review process.

9 **Project Management and Control Systems**

9.1 **Project Management Systems Approach**

The NCSX Project Manager will ensure that all project activities are properly controlled using PPPL's Project Control System (PCS). This system will be used as a management aid in planning and executing the project work scope and evaluation of schedule and budget performance. The status of progress and variance in the WBS elements will be reported monthly to the NCSX Project Manager.

The NCSX Project Manager will work to ensure early detection of technical, schedule or cost problems through regular meetings of the Systems Integration Team (SIT). The functions of the SIT are described in the Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP).

9.2 **Project Control System Overview**

The NCSX Project will use the existing PPPL Project Control System (PCS) as described in the PPPL Project Control System Description. This document was reviewed and approved by DOE in 1996. The PPPL PCS satisfies the principles of project management and control systems outlined in this PEP and DOE Order 413.3A ("Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets"). ORNL and suppliers will utilize the PPPL PCS to ensure that the entire project cost and schedule performance is measured. The PCS provides a centralized work authorization system that the project will use. The specific NCSX Project PCS was reviewed and approved by an external DOE review team in February of 2003.

The PCS is an integrated earned value management control and reporting system that establishes the documentation, data requirements, information flow, and system disciplines necessary to operate and maintain a system for control of the NCSX Project work, costs, and schedules. The overall objective of the PCS is to provide PPPL and DOE with timely and auditable cost and schedule performance information that can be used to monitor, control and manage Project progress. To accomplish this objective, the PCS provides a formal process for:

- Organizing the project work scope via the WBS;
- Planning and estimating the work scope via the project resource loaded schedule;
- Authorizing work and forecasting resource requirements via the Work Authorization Form (WAF);
- Controlling management reserve and authorized allocated contingency via the change control process;

- Monitoring progress relative to schedule status and completion estimates and reporting cost and schedule performance against established cost and schedule baselines using the Level III schedules;
- Documenting approved changes to the performance measurement technical, cost, and schedule baselines via the change control process; and
- Analyzing variances to the cost and schedule baselines, including critical path analyses resulting from status results of the Level III schedules.

The key planning and measurement tool for the project is the Level III schedule, but the PPPL work authorization process forms the basis for development of these schedules. Through the work authorization process, details of work scope, schedule, budget, and responsibility will be integrated, documented, reviewed, and agreed to by both project management and the performing organization. The cognizant job manager will be responsible and accountable for accomplishing the scope of the work, as defined, with established Level IV schedulemilestones and cost targets. The vehicle for documenting and authorizing work is the Work Approval Form (WAF). The WAF formally documents the work scope to be performed, establishes a schedule, provides a cost estimate, identifies a responsible person for accomplishing the work, and provides time phased cost and manpower profiles.

9.3 Cost and Schedule Reviews

Nominally the Project will schedule quarterly reviews of Project status with the NCSX Federal Project Director and the OFES Management. These reviews will focus on cost and schedule aspects of the Project. At these meetings the project will report the status of the project in general and the cost variances that potentially impact the level of contingency in particular. Progress on detailed planning will also be reported. Based on these inputs the project will recommend to DOE changes to the Project Baseline. This recommendation will be documented in the form of a formal change. In addition, as needed to support Critical Decision milestones or as requested by the NCSX Acquisition Executive, these reviews may be expanded to include external reviewers organized by the Office of Science Office of Construction Management Support (the "Lehman" Review).

Every 6 months a bottoms-up estimate at completion (EAC)) will be performed for either the entire project, or for selected WBS elements of concern as determined by the NCSX Project Manager or the NCSX Federal Project Director. This may involve the rescheduling of work previously scheduled but not completed. This is done in order to ensure that the current performance measurement baseline remains up-to-date and consistent with the approved performance baseline parameters (TEC, completion date, etc.), and remains a reliable basis for budgeting, resource planning, and measurement of future performance. The elapsed time from the start of the re-planning activity until approval of an ECP establishing the new performance measurement baseline may be several weeks. During the time when work is in the process of being re-scheduled, the reporting of scheduled work (incremental BCWS) may be based on estimates which will require later revision.

9.4 Reporting

Quarterly project reports to OFES will be prepared by the NCSX Federal Project Director. All monthly PCS status will be provided to the NCSX Federal Project Director. The data should include, but not limited to, the following:

- Actual cost of work performed (ACWP) cumulative and incremental for overall project and lower tier WBS work packages
- Budgeted cost of work performed (BCWP) cumulative and incremental for overall project and lower tier WBS work packages
- Budgeted cost of work scheduled (BCWS) cumulative and incremental for overall project and lower tier WBS work packages
- Schedule variance (SV) and cost variance (CV) with explanation and planned corrective action
- Cost performance indices (CPI) and schedule performance indices (SPI) (cumulative and incremental) for overall project and lower tier 3 active WBS work packages.
- Cost and schedule contingency analysis reconciled against CV and SV with an updated risk registry changes
- Critical path analysis and near term 'look ahead'
- Status of near term Level 2 milestones

Additionally, the NCSX Federal Project Director, and other members of DOE-PSO, will participate in scheduled status meetings as well as design reviews

The DOE NCSX Federal Project Director is responsible for entering monthly performance data and narrative into the DOE Project Assessment and Reporting System (PARS) database.

10 Funds Management

10.1 Project Funding Mechanisms

PPPL and ORNL will each be funded directly via DOE Budget and Reporting (B&R) line. The exact split between PPPL and ORNL will be negotiated each year using the resource-loaded schedule as the guide. DCMA activity will be funded via interagency agreement between DOE-PSO and the DCMA. Funds will be transferred from PPPL's B&R line to DCMA to be utilized in the execution of subcontract site inspections requested by the NCSX Project. Participation of other organizations other than other DOE National Laboratories will be funded by either PPPL or ORNL through subcontracts. Transfer of funds from PPPL to ORNL or vice versa will be accomplished

by Financial Plan transfer requests to DOE. All project work and expenditure of project funds will be centrally authorized and controlled by the project office via the PCS. The annual NCSX funding requirements will be updated each year by PPPL and ORNL through their respective DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) processes.

10.2 Management Reserve Funds

All funds authorized for the Project by the DOE Financial Plan will be disbursed to the Project (PPPL and ORNL). Management reserve funds are a portion of each year's approved funding allowance that are set aside at the beginning of each fiscal year instead of being immediately used to authorize work. Management reserve funds will be held in a unique management reserve account controlled by the NCSX Project Manager. As needs arise, the Project Manager will authorize disbursement of the management reserve funds to authorize as yet un-funded work scheduled for the current year or future years, or resolve approved changes arising within the current year's authorized scope of work. Changes requiring the application of contingency, will be handled via the change control process defined in Chapter 8 and will, as stated there, require DOE Federal Project Director or higher DOE approval. As part of the reporting process, the NCSX Project Manager will report on management reserve disbursements regularly, and as part of the cost and schedule project review meetings, including the IPT meetings, with DOE.

11 RISK MANAGEMENT

The NCSX Project will manage risks, where "risk" refers to factors within the Project's control that both threaten and provide opportunities to improve project cost and schedule performance and the achievement of project technical objectives. During all phases of the NCSX Project, priority is placed on identifying and mitigating risks. Risk mitigation activities are incorporated into the project's cost and schedule baselines, as appropriate. Contingency is used to address realized risks. A quantitative, probabilistic analysis of outstanding risks and estimating uncertainties is used to estimate the amount of contingency required.

Control of the environment, safety, and health hazards, while part of risk management in a broader sense, are not unique to the NCSX Project and are enveloped by the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) Integrated Safety Management (ISM) program that is applicable to all PPPL projects and operations. The PPPL ISM clearly indicates that risk management is everybody's business and will be factored into every project decision throughout the life of the NCSX Project.

While any member of the NCSX Project Team is expected to identify risks that become apparent, the responsibility for risk management for the NCSX Project rests with the NCSX line management. The NCSX Risk Management Plan (NCSX-PLAN-RMP) provides details of the risk management processes followed on this Project. The Risk Management Plan will be managed as a living document that is tracked and updated periodically. As such, it will come under a formal document control and approval process for periodic updates. The project will also use a Risk Register, which is consistent with but has a finer degree of granularity than the Risk Management Plan, for week-to-week tracking and management of risks by the SIT

12 ACQUISITION STRATEGY

The NCSX Acquisition Execution Plan (AEP) was issued and approved as part of the CD-1 approval process. Since its approval by the Under Secretary of Energy, Science, and Environment, the NCSX Project has followed the strategies and processes outlined in the AEP. The key feature of the NCSX acquisition strategy and planning is the procurement of critical components that comprise the stellarator core. Procured components will be assembled by Laboratory labor into the completed stellarator core assembly. The modular coils are wound by PPPL. Although the design of the stellarator core systems will be led by ORNL, all major procurements will be placed by the PPPL Procurement Department.

The vacuum vessel and the modular coil winding forms are the highest risk components. During the conceptual design, manufacturing studies by industrial participants were conducted to obtain feedback on planned manufacturing processes, input on feasibility issues and technical risks, and suggested manufacturing development activities to mitigate risks. This was followed up by the selection of several vendors to carry out manufacturing development activities (small scale or full size prototypes) for the design and manufacturing of the vacuum vessel and modular coil forms. This activity is ongoing and will be used to down-select a vendor or vendors to fabricate the production units.

Since the majority of the other systems will primarily be upgrades and/or modifications to existing PPPL systems and structures, it is anticipated that simple build-to-print of fixed price procurements based on firm specifications are feasible.

During Final Design, the project, in conjunction with the PPPL Procurement organization, will develop a formal NCSX procurement plan that identifies all planned procurements by type, dollar amount, key dates, and special requirements such as incentive, or shared savings, provisions.

13 DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A system for controlling documents and drawings, adapted from existing PPPL document and drawing control systems using hard copy and electronic media, will be developed to ensure the organized and consistent treatment and format of NCSX documents including procedures, plans, memos, drawings, calculations, requirement documents, design documents, and procurement documents. This system will utilize web-based file servers for rapid review, authorization, updating, and retrieval of documents and drawings. The majority of project documents (other than drawings) can presently be retrieved from the NCSX web page located at http://www.ncsx.pppl.gov. Drawings in electronic format can be accessed via the Pro/INTRALINK database. Legacy drawings only in hard copy can be obtained from the PPPL Drafting Center. The NCSX Project has developed a separate Document and Records Plan (NCSX-PLAN-DOC) that identifies documents to be controlled on the project, including the document's purpose, approval level, format, naming convention, and records retention requirements. The Data Management Plan (NCSX-PLAN-DMP) describes the processes to be used for document and drawing control. Processes for effecting changes to controlled documents are described in the Configuration Management Plan (NCSX-PLAN-CMP). All participants are encouraged

to use the project standards for documents of either the MAC or PC versions of Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, or Microsoft PowerPoint.

14 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT

14.1 Systems Engineering

The Engineering Support organization has responsibility for implementing a systems engineering program on NCSX. The systems engineering program includes the development and allocation of requirements; system design and verification; risk management; value engineering; configuration management; interface management; data management; and technical reviews. The systems engineering program is described in the Systems Engineering Management Plan (NCSX-PLAN-SEMP).

14.2 Quality Assurance

The NCSX Project QA Plan (NCSX-PLAN-QAP) will demonstrate how the existing PPPL and ORNL-FED Quality Assurance Plans and implementing policies and procedures, in conjunction with additional NCSX specific plans, policies, and procedures will satisfy the requirements of the DOE Order on Quality Assurance, 414.1A, and provide an appropriate level of quality on the project. The Defense Management Contract Agency (DCMA) will augment the PPPL QA organization by providing written audit reports to PPPL staff on subcontract site visits.

14.3 NEPA Documentation And Safety Assessment

Input to the NEPA Documentation, the Environmental Evaluation Notification Form (EENF) and the Preliminary Hazards Analysis (PHA) was submitted to DOE and it was determined by DOE that an Environmental Assessment (EA), similar to that done for NSTX, is the appropriate NEPA documentation for NCSX. The EA (DOE/EA-1437)has been prepared and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by the DOE-CH Manager on October 25, 2002.. The Safety Assessment Document (SAD) will be prepared and approved by PPPL prior to the start of operations.

15 INTEGRATED SAFETY MANAGEMENT PLAN

PPPL follows the institutional Integrated Safety Management Plan (ISM) that has been approved by DOE. The NCSX Project intends to follow that ISM and to adopt this plan as its own for the conduct of NCSX work performed at PPPL which includes the portion of design work performed at ORNL in support of construction activities at PPPL... However, ORNL and subcontractors/vendors are responsible for on-site safety at their respective sites.

ISM at PPPL is comprised of:

• The governing policy that safety be integrated into work management and work practices at all levels.

• The distinct policies, programs, procedures, and cultural beliefs that PPPL has developed as the structure that PPPL workers utilize in fulfilling PPPL's environmental, safety, and health responsibilities.

The NCSX Project will incorporate ISM into its management approach as follows:

- By accepting responsibility for safety as a line management responsibility. The NCSX Project Manager is responsible for safe execution of the project.
- By following PPPL procedures for work planning (e.g., ENG-032, etc.), where applicable. These procedures incorporate the ISM core functions of folding safety into the work planning, establishing appropriate controls, operating within established parameters, feedback. The "core functions" of ISM include the following 5 step process:
- Defining the scope of work;
- Analyzing the hazard;
- Developing and implement hazard controls;
- Performing the work within these controls; and
- Providing feedback and continuous improvement to this process.

Where project-specific procedures must be developed, ISM principles will be incorporated into them.

16 REVISIONS TO THE PROJECT EXECUTION PLAN

This plan, when adopted and approved following completion of the 2008 rebaseline, will remain in effect until the completion of the NCSX fabrication project. Revision and/or changes to this document will require approval in accordance with the project's change approval levels.

ANNEX I – NCSX Scope

The NCSX Performance Baseline Scope objectives are listed, by WBS, in the first column of Table Annex I -1. The second column lists the upgrades that the system is designed to accommodate, without regard to their implementation schedule

| | Objective Scope | J | Future Upgrades Accommodated By Design |
|------------------|--|-------|---|
| | (Performance Baseline) | | |
| • • • • | BS 1. Stellarator Core Vacuum vessel system including all ports and port covers. Stellarator magnet system, consisting of modular coils, toroidal field coils, and poloidal field coils. Trim coils. Coil services (electrical and coolant feeds) Support base and structures. Cryostat | • | Plasma-facing component upgrades for: power handling up to 12 MW pumped divertor alternate materials (e.g., C, Li, W). Additional trim coils |
| | BS 21, 22, 23. Fueling, Vacuum Pumping and | | |
| • | all Conditioning Systems Torus vacuum pumping system based on two of the PBX-M turbomolecular pumps mounted on a single duct. Residual gas analyzer. Gas injection system based on 3 injectors @50 torr-l/s each for H, D, and He. | • | Two additional turbomolecular pumps on a second duct. Wall conditioning systems: - GDC, boronization, lithiumization. Pellet injector. |
| W | BS 25 Neutral Beam Injection Systems | | |
| • | Refurbishment and testing of equipment for up to 1.5 MW of neutral beam heating based on PBX-M neutral beam legacy equipment. | • • • | Installation and integrated testing of systems for 6 MW of NBI based on PBX-M legacy equipment. 6 MW of ICRF heating 3 MW of ECH. |
| W | BS 3. Diagnostics | | |
| • | Ex-vessel magnetic sensors installed; eight fully operational. Fast visible camera on simple mount. Electron-beam field mapping apparatus BS 4. Power Systems | • • • | In-vessel magnetic sensors. All magnetic diagnostics fully operational. Permanent installation of fast visible camera. Physics diagnostic systems, per GRD. |
| • | Coil power systems for First Plasma, initial | • | Coil power upgrades of the PPPL D-Site |
| • | field mapping operation, and CD-4 coil tests per Table 2-1. Associated AC, DC, control, and protection systems. | • | equipment for high-beta plasma operation up to 2 T (short pulse), 1.2 T (long pulse), , and full flexibility with coils cooled to cryogenic temperatures, consisting of 8 circuits (total). Trim coil power supplies. Associated AC, DC, control, and protection systems. |

| Table A | nnex I -1 |
|---------|-----------|
|---------|-----------|

| Objective Scope (Performance Baseline) | Future Upgrades Accommodated By Design |
|---|---|
| WBS 5. Central I&C and Data Acquisition | |
| System | |
| Computer system consistent with control and data | Expansions consistent with requirements of |
| acquisition requirements of in-scope equipment: | upgrades in other systems. |
| • Computer network infrastructure. | |
| Central instrumentation and control system | |
| • Data acquisition and facility computing. | |
| • Facility timing and synchronization. | |
| • Real-time power supply control. | |
| • Central safety interlocks. | |
| Control room facility. | |
| WBS 6. Facility Systems | |
| • Water cooling equipment for vacuum pumps and neutral beams. | Water cooling for diagnostics 350 C VV/PFC Bakeout system. |
| • Cryogenic supply and transfer equipment. | |
| • 150 C Vacuum Vessel Bakeout equipment. | |
| • Utility equipment (venting, GN2, compressed air) | |
| • C-Site water system re-commissioning. | |
| WBS 7. Test Cell Preparation and Machine | |
| Assembly | |
| Control Room Refurbishment | N/A |
| Access Platform. | |
| • Machine assembly. | |
| WBS 8 Project Management and Integration | |
| Project Management | N/A |
| Project Control | |
| System Engineering Management | |
| • System analysis | |
| • Design integration. | |
| • Environment, Safety, and Health | |
| Project physics | |
| Integrated System Tests | |

ANNEX II – NCSX Contingency Approach

Contingencies are quantified based on a bottom-up assessment of uncertainties and risks at the job level. A probabilistic analysis is used to estimate the cost and schedule contingencies required to successfully manage the uncertainties and risks in the remaining project work. The contingency estimating process is briefly summarized here, while further details are documented in the project's Risk Management Plan.

Estimate uncertainties are a function of the complexity and maturity of a job. Jobs are ranked on a high-medium-low scale for both parameters. The rankings are documented in the job Work Authorization Forms (WAFs). An uncertainty range is assigned based on the rankings: from -5% / +10% to -30% / +60%.

Risks are identified at the job level and higher levels, and documented in a project-wide risk registry. The risk registry provides a management tool that the project uses to track risks and mitigation activities so as to actively minimize their consequences. For purposes of estimating contingency, the likelihood of each risk occurring and its cost and schedule impacts were estimated.

The probabilistic analysis uses Monte Carlo simulations of cost and schedule outcomes based on the job uncertainty ranges and risk likelihoods and impacts. The contingencies are set at a high percentile level (e.g., 90%) to provide an acceptable level of confidence in successfully completing the project.