

# Engineering/Design of the Welded Inner Leg Interfaces for the NCSX Modular Coils A-A, A-B, B-C PDR

Presented by T Brown, M Cole, K Freudenberg, D Williamson, and M Viola October 18, 2007



- Are the requirements defined? What is the proposed design?
- What is the status of welding trials?
- Is the analysis consistent with proposed design?
- What is the plan to complete final design?
- Have prior design review chits been addressed?
- Have all technical, cost, schedule, and safety risks been addressed?

Scope



#### • This review-AA/AB/BC interface:

SE140-046, SHIM LAYOUT SE140-052, AB INBOARD SHIMS SE140-053, BC INBOARD SHIMS SE140-054, AA INBOARD SHIMS

• Upcoming reviews:

AA/AB/BC Interface FDR 11/22/07

CC Interface PDR 8/7/07 Complete FDR 1/7/08





Requirements are derived from the Modular Coil Asm Specification (NCSX-CSPEC-14-05-01) and the Station-2 Asm Specification (in progress).

#### Electrical

- Partial Toroidal electrical breaks shall be provided between adjacent modular coils within a field period (AA, AB, BC).
- Electrical breaks are required between adjacent modular coils in adjacent field periods (CC). [Ref. GRD Section 3.2.1.5.2b to be revised]
- Toroidal electrical breaks must be able to withstand an applied voltage of 150 V (ref. GRD Section 3.2.1.5.3.6).

#### Structural

• Carry compressive and shear loads

#### Assembly

• Position the coil fiducials to +/- .020 inch at the half period assembly



### **A-B FLANGE**

AB	Shim Length	No Bolt
Hole #	Hole to Bottom	Shim
1	5.00	
2	5.00	
3	3.75	
4	3.75	
5		2.75
6		2.75
7	3.75	
8	3.75	
9	3.75	
10	3.75	
11	3.75	
12	5.00	
13		5.00
14	5.00	
15	5.00	
16	5.00	
17	5.00	
18		5.00
19	5.00	
20	5.00	
21		5.00
22	5.00	
23	5.00	
24		5.00
25	5.00	
26	5.00	
27	5.00	
28	5.00	
29	5.00	
30	5.00	
31		5.00
32		5.00
33	2.75	
34	2.75	





AB Inboard Welded Shims







#### NCSY National Compact Stellarator Exc TYP .50 1 TYP-AT FINAL ASSY .50 V TYP-AT FINAL ASSY .50 V TYP-AT FINAL ASSY TYP .50 D TYP .50 V B COIL SHOWN IN PLACE AFTER ASSY SECTION C-C B COIL SHOWN IN PLACE AFTER ASSY SECTION B-B A COIL SHOWN IN PLACE AFTER ASSY SECTION A-A O Ċ C Q (6) $\cap$ B B 0 Ć Ō A

#### AB Inboard Welded Shims



#### AB Inboard Welded Shims (SE140-052)

### AB Inboard Welded Shims (SE140-052)





#### Interface B-C



Туре-В



#### **B-C FLANGE**

BC	Shim Length	No Bolt
Hole #	Hole to Bottom	Shim
1	5.00	
2	5.00	
3	5.00	
4		5.00
5	5.00	
6	5.00	
7	3.75	
8	3.75	
9	3.75	
10	5.00	
11	5.00	
12	5.00	
13	5.00	
14	5.00	
15	5.00	
16	3.75	
17	3.75	
18	5.00	
19		5.00
20	5.00	
21		5.00
22	5.00	
23	3.75	
24	3.75	
25	3.75	
26	5.00	
27	5.00	
28	5.00	
29	5.00	
30		3.75
31	3.75	
32	2.75	
33	2.75	



**BC Inboard Welded Shims** 













#### BC Inboard Welded Shims

# Interface A-A NCSY National Compact Stellarator Exp Ø1.885 ±.003 THRU ∟IØ3.00 SPOTFACE BACKSIDE MINIMUM TO CLEAN UP ⊕.06 M A D -∅I.375-6UNC THRU OR ∅I.375-6UNC X I.5 MIN FOR FLANGE THK >I.5 ⊕ .06 M A D • 20 tapped holes ..... Туре-А Туре-А

#### A-A FLANGE

AA	Shim Length	No Bolt
Hole #	Hole to Bottom	Shim
1	2.75	
2	5.00	
3	5.00	
4	5.00	
5		5.00
6		5.00
7		5.00
8	5.00	
9	5.00	
10	5.00	
11	5.00	
12	5.00	
13		5.00
14	5.00	
15	5.00	
16		5.00
17	5.00	
18	5.00	
19	5.00	
20	5.00	
21	5.00	
22		5.00
23		5.00
24		5.00
25	5.00	
26	5.00	
27	5.00	
28	2.75	







AA Inboard Welded Shims

#### NCS TYP .50 V 00 TYP- AT FINAL ASSY 50 V TYP TYP-AT FINAL ASSY .50 .50 -AI COIL SHOWN IN PLACE AFTER ASSY -A2 COIL SHOWN IN PLACE AFTER ASSY SECTION G-G SECTION F-F F O 0 6 ō 1 G 0 G O ~ 4

AA Inboard Welded Shims

## For Reference only Interface C-C



Type-C

Type-C

CC	Shim Length	No Bolt
Hole #	Hole to Bottom	Shim
1	2.75	
2	2.75	
3	2.75	
4	2.75	
5	2.75	
6	2.75	
7		2.75
8	2.75	
9	2.75	
10	2.75	
11	2.75	
12	2.75	
13	5.00	
14	5.00	
15	3.75	
16	3,75	
17	5.00	
18	0.00	5.00
19	3 75	0.00
20	3.75	
20	3.75	
21	3.75	
22	3.75	
23	3.75	2.75
24	F 00	3.75
25	5.00	
20	5.00	0.75
27	2.75	3.75
28	3.75	
29	3.75	
30	3.75	
31	3.75	
32	3.75	<b>F</b> 63
33		5.00
34	5.00	
35	3.75	
36	3.75	
37	5.00	
38	5.00	
39	2.75	
40	2.75	
41	2.75	
42	2.75	
43	2.75	
44		2.75
45	2.75	
46	2.75	
47	2.75	
48	2.75	
49	2.75	
50	2.75	

#### C-C FLANGE

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

For Reference only Interface C-C

For Reference only Interface C-C

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

NCS

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### CONCEPT USING WELDED CHAIR WITH NUT-PLATE

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### NOTES:

NCS

- Setup will vary for each wing and each chair weld on each wing
- Support plates can be fabricated to be ground to shape as necessary to match during installation
- This example shows only 3 specific areas for depiction only
- Bolt length will vary

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Bolt Tensile Stress Analysis**

Total Bolt Load	10,000	
Nominal Bolt Diameter	0.625	in
Number of Threads per inch	11	threads / in
Bolt Tensile Stress Area	0.2260022	in^2
Bolt Tensile Stress	44,247	lb / in^2
Allowable Tensile Stress	45,000	lb / in^2

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Nut Plate Weld Analysis

Total Load	10,000	lbs
Weld Length	8	in
Weld Size	0.1875	in
Effective Weld Throat	0.1326	in
Nut Plate Shear Stress	9,428	lb / in^2
Allowable Shear Stress	14,800	lb / in^2

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Chair Weld Analysis - 2" Straight - Shear Stress

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Bending Stress** 

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Modular coil asm design basis is defined by 5 analysis reports:

HM Fan, Nonlinear Analysis of Coil and Shell Structure, NCSX-CALC-14-001, APPROVED HM Fan, Analysis of Integrated Structure, NCSX-CALC-14-003, APPROVED K Freudenberg, Modular Coil Thermal Analysis, NCSX-CALC-14-002, DRAFT K Freudenberg, Nonlinear Modular Coil Analysis, NCSX-CALC-14-004, DRAFT D Williamson, Modular Coil Failure Modes Analysis, NCSX-FMEA-14-002, DRAFT

• Additional analysis reports are planned before Design Closeout:

K Freudenberg, Outboard Bolted Joint Analysis, NCSX-CALC-14-006, DRAFT
 K Freudenberg, Modular Coil Inboard Shims Analysis, IN PROGRESS
 D Williamson, Modular Coil Leads Structural Analysis, PLANNED

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Sm = 2/3 Sy at temp or 1/3 Sult for all materials
- Sy = 93.2 ksi for Stelalloy but weld since Sult is 157.5 -> Sm = 52.5 based on weld wire.
- Knockdown factor of .6 applied for visual inspected welds. → 31.5 ksi. Which is our max stress intensity we can incur statically.
- Shim and pucks will be constructed of 316 LN stainless steel.
  - Sy = 131 ksi at 77K \*
  - Sult = 205 ksi at 77K \*
  - ► Sm = 68 ksi (1/3 Sult)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **New Global Model for welds**

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Weld Elements are placed in the model on the each flange of interest in turn. (AA, AB, BC)
- Bolt holes and bolts taken out of all flanges except the one being studied (bolts may be added latter).
- This method locks up the outboard side of the global model with bonded contact but has the inboard compression pucks run with frictionless sliding (Keyopt 12 = 0). The weld takes all of the shear from the interface.
- Material Properties of weld match that of shim and castings.
- The analysis has only examined the 2T high beta case. (supposedly the worst EM load case.)
- A detailed sub-model of the in-board leg, including proper segmentation and filet weld shape may then be constructed on flanges where stress is deemed an issue.

# **Quick check FEA models**

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

Geometry
gap between shims
shim width (W)
shear shim thickness (t)
compressive puck thickness (pt)
compressive puck diameter (pd)
Hole diameter(d)
Shim Length (L)
weld size

#### Applied average shear force = 4.2 Kips/in

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **Global Model mesh of weld interface (AB)**

OCT 3 2007 14:06:08

#### **Strategy**

- Orange welds connect to B casting and shim only
- Green welds connect to A casting and shim only
- Blue pucks carry compressive loads via frictionless interface on puck to B flange (blue pucks are glued to the A flange)
- Pucks are not connected to the shim.
- Shim in weld area will only connect to the A flange using frictionless contact and not be connected to the B flange at all (no compression carried by shim)

# **AB Deformation and puck check**

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

and the shim is not connected to either flange in the welded region.

# **Stress Intensity on welds and pucks**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

NES

# Weld and Puck Stress- (After removal of stress discontinuity elements)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Stress in Shims**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How does compare to previous results?

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **BC Connection**

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **BC Analysis (same procedure as AB)**

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Green welds attach to the C casting and shim only
- Pink welds attach to the B casting and shim
- Blue pucks connect are bonded to the C flange and slide on the B flange.
- Blue pucks do not interact with the red shim.
- The red shim does not
  interact with the casting surfaces in the region of the weld.
- The red shim is bonded to the flanges (bolt/friction) approx on the outboard areas away form the weld.

# **BC** Deformation and puck check

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

Pucks are stuck on C flange

Pucks slide on B flange (0.217 mm)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **BC Stress Intensities**

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

Pucks

# **Stress Check**

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

**ANSYS 10.0A1** AVG ELEMENT STEP=1 SUB =1 TIME=1 SI\_PSI (AVG) DMX =.001609 SMN =4.412 SMX = 36747 4.412 4087 8169 12252 16334 20417 24500 28582 32665 36747

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

# AA Model (special case)

Recall that the ends of the half period have anti-cyclic symmetry conditions applied to them.

This requires constraint equations between nodes and the mesh must be identical above and below the midplane.

The pucks are reflected and are only connected in the y direction to their mating pair below the midplane. Thus, they are free to move in the plane of the interface and they still take the compressive load.

The welds are reflected and appropriate contact interfaces are made between the shim and flanges.

The shims are not connected to the AA flange in the region of the weld. (shear only)

## **AA shim layouts**

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

PROE model showing half shim before transfer to ANSYS and symmetry flipping of shim and welds.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Constraint equations**

AN

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

This model was used to model the bolt loads using tie elements, but procedure is the same for the welded models

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Global Deflection results (meters)**

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

ANSYS 11.0 NODAL SOLUTION STEP=1 SUB =1 TIME=1 USUM (AVG) RSYS=0 PowerGraphics EFACET=1 AVRES=Mat DMX = .001872SMN = .430E - 04SMX =.001872 .430E-04 .246E-03 .450E-03 .653E-03 .856E-03 .001059 .001263 .001466 .001669 .001872 Units = meters

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Stress Intensity (psi)**

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

The first two pucks closest to the midplane are seeing the most compression.

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Weld Stresses (psi)

# Shim Stresses (psi)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

Small localized stress which can go to 1.5\*Sm where Sm is 68 ksi for 316LN.

#### NCS Valignak Compact Stellarator Experiment

## Shear check on AA

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Normal Stress on Pucks (psi)**

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

Current Solution method allows the pucks on the AA interface to carry Tension!

# Amoeba Plug – An attempt to distribute the compressive load.

Elongated compressive plug

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Compressive path**

- The red Line indicates the flange to flange direct interaction zone for the pucks
- The navy blue surface is the flange surface, the red surfaces are the casting surfaces behind the flange.
- Anything to the left of the red line is on the flange and not under the shell. Most places this has little impact
- Moving the nearest midplane pucks toward the center may help distribute the compressive load.

# Weld Analysis Summary Table

Flange	Peak weld stress Intensity (edges) (ksi)	Average weld stress Intensity eyeball range (ksi)	Peak Shim Stress Intensity (ksi)	Peak Puck Compressive stress (ksi)
AA	32	12-15	38	61
AB	61* (26)	15-18	42	24
BC	27	15-18	36	37

# **Preliminary Analysis Comments**

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Peak weld stress of 61 ksi on AB is anomalous as there will be additional weld material immediately near it. It is extremely peaky and likely due to sharp geometric cornering.
- Average stresses across weld when not considering those regions are approximately 15-20 ksi.
- Global Fea Model is currently non-conservative in that it does not include weld segmentation of 4" in with 0.25" gaps. Currently, all welds are continuous. (Small effect anticipated as seen with previous sub-models of older NCSX designs)
- Global Fea Model is currently non-conservative in that it does not model the weld as a fillet weld and instead uses the easier to construct square type welds. MIG welds actually resemble more square shape than triangular anyway. (Small effect anticipated as seen with previous sub-models of older NCSX designs)
- AA weld analysis is conservative in that the pucks in the model currently carry tension. Peak compressive stress will be lower than shown.
- The global Model has shown that a 0.5" fillet welds (0.35" throat) are adequate as modeled to support the shear loads on the AA, AB and BC flanges.
- Quick check FEA model verifies a small effect on the smaller weld size [0.44" (0.31" throat)] and shape.

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Peer review of inboard welded shims conducted on May-18
- No chits submitted, but weld distortion identified as main risk
- Actions planned at that time:
  - Shim layout
  - Structural analysis of welds
  - Access for welding
  - Development of weld procedure
  - Material selection
  - Deformation control options

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

Task	Description	Proposed	Scheduled
INTRF-040	Analysis of tensile loads (ORNL)	18-Oct-07	15-Aug-07
INTRF-064	PDR	18-Oct-07	18-Oct-07
INTRF-054	FDR prep AB/BC/AA inboard Interface	19-Oct-07	4-Sep-07
INTRF-055	AB/BC/AA inboard interface - FDR	22-Nov-07	4-Sep-07
1421-3138	Resolve issues, release assembly spec&drawings	23-Nov-07	11-Sep-07
* 1429-3069X	Inboard Shims Available for 1st 3 pack MC assy	11-Dec-07	

 Note: Task 1429-3069X - We will initiate a risk release after the PDR to start fabrication of the inboard shims. Material for fabricating the shims is in the process of being ordered.

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Are the requirements defined? What is the proposed design? Requirements are defined. Design is documented and drawings have been developed.
- What is the status of welding trials?

Welding trial samples are have been completed. The type C prototype longitudinal weld test is underway. Welding procedure and welder qualifications will be formalized prior to performing assembly welds.

- Is the analysis consistent with proposed design? Analysis updated- AA, AB, BC welds are adequate
- Have prior design review chits been addressed? Peer review identified development activities- underway
- Have all technical, cost, schedule, and safety risks been addressed?