

PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT

WP # _____ (ENG-032)

CHIT # 3COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM STATIONS PEERCOGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER T BROWN DATE OF REVIEW 3/11/08 CDR PDR FDR**SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)** REQUIREMENTS HARDWARE SAFETY ANALYSIS CONFIGURATION COST/SCHEDULE PERFORMANCE RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY QUALITY**COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDATION**

① CONSIDER USING THREADED ROD INSTEAD OF JACK STANDS UNDER MODULAR COILS.

② ARE THERE ANY PARTS FROM THE MODULAR COILS (STATION) THAT CAN BE RECYCLED?

ORIGINATOR L. DUDEK

NAME/ORGANIZATION

REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION

(Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, provide technical reasons. Do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)

Action: Tom Brown to investigate possibility of recycling of components.

 CONCUR DISAGREE OTHERCHAIRPERSON J. ChynowethDATE: 3/11/08**COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:**

SIGNATURE _____ DATE: _____

RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW APPROVE COG DISPOSITION DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION

SIGNATURE _____ DATE: _____

COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT

Sign when action required by disposition is complete.

SIGNATURE _____ DATE: _____