	NCSX Work Approval Form (WA	<u>F)</u>
Job Number Job Title: Sy	System Analysis and Technical Assurance	
Description:		
	Responsibilities include:  • Establishing structural and cryogenic design criteria. Counciderations. Completed  • Analyzing field errors and managing field error budge tolerance conditions, eddy currents, and magnetic nonconformance reports (NCRs).  • Providing analysis support to the metrology a troubleshooting problems as well as production activities  • Analyzing options for optimally aligning modular compassurements  • Performing global analyses which are outside the scopinclude electromagnetic analyses to determine coil induction modeling to determine overall structural behavior, medimits. (Global seismic analyses will be performed as pain WBS 15.)	ets for as-designed conditions, out-of- ets for as-designed conditions, out-of- e materials. Includes dispositioning and dimensional control efforts for oils based on physical and magnetic one of individual subsystems. Analyses etances, fields, forces; global structural chanical interface loads, and operating
Schedule:	See Attachment	
Approvals:		
	Job Manager	Date
	Responsible Line Manager	Date
	Project Manager	Date
	Engineering Department Head	 Date

# NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE I - Design Labor

WBS Number: 824

WBS Title: System Analysis and Technical Assurance

Job Number: 8204

Job Title: Systems Analysis and Technical Assurance

Job Manager: Art Brooks

	VBS Function	Resource Requirements	Basis of Estimate
8204	System Analysis and Technical Assurance (Br	ooks)	
	24 - System Analysis and Technical Assuranc	e	
	Field error analysis and	680 hours for Brooks	This LOE is consistent with project experience.
	management	340 hours EA/EM engineer through 1st Plasma	
	Analysis and troubleshooting	1700 hours for Brooks	This LOE is consistent with recent experience.
	support for metrology and	680 hours EA/EM engineer	Substantial uncertainties exist for future demands
	dimensional control	through 1st Plasma	as dimensional control plans have not yet been completed and metrology procedures developed and exercised.
	Modular coil alignment studies	680 hours for Brooks	Initial studies have been completed. Optimal
		340 hours for EA/EM engineer	alignment studies not yet performed.
		through final assembly	
	Global modeling and analysis	320 hours for Fan through completion of coil structures and base support structure design (May Sep 2007)	This LOE is consistent with recent experience and is expected to continue until the design of stellarator core components. Most of this work has already been completed but global structural models need to be updated as the design of the coil structures (WBS 15) and base support support structure (WBS 17) are completed.
	Technical assurance	1340 hours for Fan from the start of FY08 through 1st Plasma.	Tasks are authorized by the Engineering Manager to resolve critical issues when they arise. LOE is consistent with project experience.

### NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE I - Materials and Subcontracts

			1	
WBS Number: 824				
WBS Title: System Analysis and Techn	nical Assurance			
Job Number: 8204				
Job Title: Systems Analysis and Techn Job Manager: Art Brooks	ical Assurance			
Job Manager: Art Brooks				
Description:	None			

#### NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE III - Fabrication and Assembly

Fabricati	on and Assembly	None				
						·

## NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 824

WBS Title: System Analysis and Technical Assurance

Job Number: 8204

Job Title: Systems Analysis and Technical Assurance

Job Manager: Art Brooks

#### Uncertainty of the Estimate

**Design Maturity** 

<u>High</u>	<u>Medium</u>	Low	Uncertainty Range (%)	Comments/Other Considerations
	X		-15%/+25%	

Design Complexity X

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX.

Residual Impa	<u>cts</u>	Likelihood of			Cost In	npact	Schedule I	mpact
Job	Risk Description	Occurring	Mitigation Plan	Basis of estimate	Low	High	Low	High
personne	orolonged unavailability of certain key el (Brooks) from the project could ially impact the schedule.	VU	An EA/EM engineer has been budgeted to provide support to Brooks in Systems Analysis and Technical Assurance during peak demands and pick up the slack for Brooks should he became unavailable.	No impact on FPA cost because impacted personnel would be assigned to other	+ \$0	+ \$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50

#### Notes:

- [1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur.

  High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occur
- [2] Cost impacts should be entered as loaded costs
  Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impact
- [3] The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path. If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.
- [4] Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e.

  VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)

Activity	MILE-	Activity	Duration	Baseline	Baseline	Shifts	Total	%	Proposed		ı							
ID	stones (level 2		(work days	Start	Finish		Float	cmplt	Budgeted	FY07	FY08		FY09	F	FY10	F	FY11	F
	& 3)		uays															
Job: 8204 -	Systems	s Analysis-BROOKS	<u> </u>															
		<b>-</b>																
8204FY07		Systems Analysis FY07 Analysis for structure dsn	106*	01MAY07	28SEP07		1,249	LOE	55,753.60		fan=320h	ırs						
8204FY07 8204FY08		Systems Analysis FY07 Analysis for structure dsn Systems Analysis, studies and tech assurance	106* 932*	01MAY07 01MAY07*	28SEP07 31JAN11			LOE					V				Brooks=	
				-	1				55,753.60 1,098,242.39					T 10			Brooks= Fan =13 EA//EM=	40 hrs