#### NATIONAL COMPACT STELLARATOR PROJECT **Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) COVER PAGE** (TO BE COMPLETED BY SYSTEMS ENGINEERING SUPPORT MANAGER) Date: July 7, 2005 **Originator: Phil Heitzenroeder** ECP No: 033 Rev 1 **ECP Title: MCWF Technical Requirements Revision Required Reviewers Required Reviewers for this ECP:** Dave Williamson, Wayne Reiersen, Brad Nelson, Ron Strykowsky, Judy Malsbury, Frank Malinowski, Jerry Levine, Larry Sutton, Bob Simmons ECP Approval Level No. Expedited ECP? Yes Change Level: 2 Federal Project Director **Approving Official:** 2 Federal Project Director Actions (1) Revise MCWF CSPEC (NCSX-CSPEC-141-03) by July 15th. (2) Revise MCWF SOW (NCSX-SOW-141-02) by July 15th. (3) Incorporate ECN-4994 changes by June 15, 2005 (completed under Rev 0 of this ECP) (4) Issue Approved RFD-14-003 by June 15, 2005 (completed under Rev 0 of this ECP) (5) Issue contract modification to EIO by July 15<sup>th</sup> specifying NCSX-CSPEC-141-03-Rev. 8 (6) Update cost and schedule baseline by July 30<sup>th</sup> **APPROVALS** (TO BE COMPLETED BY APPROVING OFFICIALS) **Change Level** Approval? Approving Signature Official 3 **NCSX Project** X Yes No Manager NCSX 3a Yes No (Expedited ECP) **Engineering** Manager 2 NCSX Federal Yes No **Project Director** 1 Associate Yes No **Director OFES Deputy Secretary** 0 Yes No of Energy

# NATIONAL COMPACT STELLARATOR PROJECT Engineering Change Proposal (ECP)

PART I	
, ,	ED BY ORIGINATOR)
Originator: Phil Heitzenroeder	Date: July 7, 2005
Overview of Change  Type of of ECP: EXPEDITED	⊠ STANDARD
Type of Change:	COST SCHEDULE EDITORIAL
(Check all that Apply)	
Reason for Change:	
revision of several drawings to reflect redest Deviation on material testing. This ECP was impact on the cost or schedule baselines. Ho	tents, clarification of delivery requirements in SOW, igned lead block, and approval of a Request for approved in mid-June as a Class 3 ECP since no owever, subsequent discussions with EIO revealed ordingly, this Revision 1 incorporates the results of
SOW include all the revisions):	
Impacted WBS Elements: WBS 141	
Impacts of Change (Briefly Describe):	
Revision 0 - This ECP dealt entirely with techniperformance, cost, or schedule.	ical changes to requirements that had no impact on
repair requirements. These changes ar EIO on clarifications.  (2) Cost impact to add the requirement of should not impact EIO delivery schedu develop the strength required. What is	associated with the change in surface finish and weld re more clarifications and agreements reached with f heat treating each MCWF casting is \$28.8K and ale. Heat treatment was already a requirement to added is a final, lower temperature stress relieving the residual stress in the weld repair area. It is this a cost increase.

Net impact is a required drawdown of contingency of \$37.9K from \$12,242K to \$12,204K

Assessment of Other Options: None

# NATIONAL COMPACT STELLARATOR PROJECT

# **Engineering Change Proposal (ECP)**

### PART I

### (TO BE COMPLETED BY ORIGINATOR)

Originator: Phil Heitzenroeder Date: July 7, 2005

List Attachments, Impacted Documents, etc.

- Budget reconciliation sheet (ECP-031 to ECP-033 and ECP-034)
- CSPEC (NCSX-CSPEC-141-03-08) Currently in signature cycle
- SOW (NCSX-SOW-141-02-04) approved
- ECN-4994 impacting drawings SE141-114, SE141-115, and SE141-116
- RFD-14-003

### **Detailed Description of Change:**

- Changes to CSPEC:
  - Added ASTM A751-01, "Standard Test Methods, Practices, and Terminology for Chemical Analysis of Steel Products," to Section 2.1.1. This ASTM Spec is used to define the casting chemistry determination method used by MetalTek (and standard industry practice).
  - Revised Section 3.1.1.1: Added note about alloy composition ranges this note cautioning that although the alloy is based on that developed for the prototype, it differs inasmuch as the range of chemistry is now specified. This was requested by EIO, presumably as a note to their personnel. Clarifies that Table 3-1 is for the casting chemistry. Table 3-2 was added which gives the chemical constituents of the weld wire.
  - O Table 3-1: The % Si was changed from 0.5% to 0.7% max. The previous requirement of 0.5% was shown to be too restrictive. PPPL's metallurgical consultant, Dick Reed, advised that there should be no problem with the higher Si (0.7%) spec. This was supported by actual mechanical tests performed on specimens (shims) made with the higher Si content. These specimens met both the mechanical properties and magnetic permeability requirements;
  - Revised Table 3-3: Changed yield from 34 ksi (234.4 MPa) to 30 ksi (206.8 Mpa). This refers to RT yield. Was 29ksi for for prototype and was subsequently increased. However, the higher 34 ksi value was found to be difficult to achieve consistently with actual attached specimens that received representative thermal processing;
  - Revised Section 3.1.1.4 to change surface finish requirements. Relaxed finish requirements to <250 microinch in non-critical areas. This is anticipated to possibly provide some schedule relief.
  - The visual examination requirements of Section 3.1.1.6.1 were revised to eliminate the need to do a higher level of visual examination in the foundry of areas which will then be machined in subsequent steps. This change removed unnecessarily restrictive language that could be misinterpreted – does not result in any change to the level of inspection required;
  - Revised Section 3.2.3.1 to clarify stress relieving processes. Stress relieving is now specified for all castings as a final operation after all weld "upgrades" have been completed. This will reduce the risk of distortions due to residual stresses in welds and the risk that machining rates will be impacted by non-uniformity of metal properties that could occur without stress relieving;
  - Revised Sections 3.2.3.2.2.2 and 3.2.3.2.2.3 concerning weld repair to address weld repairs due to machining errors – this was not foreseen in the original spec;

# NATIONAL COMPACT STELLARATOR PROJECT Engineering Change Proposal (ECP)

### PART I

## (TO BE COMPLETED BY ORIGINATOR)

Originator: Phil Heitzenroeder Date: July 7, 2005

### **Continuation Sheet:**

- Changes to CSPEC (continued):
  - o In Section 4.2.1, the requirements for chemical analysis was clarified; we want to know analysis of material for each ladle rather than a single average value, since we cannot be sure of how the material from the three ladles will mix. Consequently, we now clearly say analysis of the material for each ladle is required.
  - Revised Section 4.2.2: Deleted the requirement for both transverse and longitudinal test specimens. The need for performing testing on specimens from both the transverse and longitudinal directions was questioned from first a practical, and then a need basis. The practical aspect was that significantly larger attached specimens would be needed. However the need question was the determining consideration. Unlike a plate which can have directionality induced by the rolling process, castings do not have directionality to their grain structure. This lack of directionality in the casting is supported by our fracture results which showed no directional sensitivity. Consequently, this requirement has been deleted;
  - To make sure that it is absolutely clear that we expect yield strength, E, ultimate strength, elongation, and Charpy V-notch results from all three zones, the statement: "...for the three zones specified in Section 4.2.2 for each casting..." was added to Sections 4.2.2.1, 4.2.2.2, and 4.2.2.3. This was added for emphasis the vendor missed this in pouring C1 casting;
  - Section 4.2.2.4 has been revised to clarify the quantity requirements, their origin, and the need to engrave or stamp so that their location is preserved for the additional test material to be supplied with each casting and for each zone;.
  - Revised Section 4.2.2.5: Clarified wording for weld filler properties requirements;
  - Revised Table 6-1 and Table 6-2 to reflect latest approved models and drawings and approved RFDs.

#### Changes to SOW:

- Revision 3 Section 5.4.3 revised to clarify dimensional inspection file format and contents.
- Revision 4 Section 5.5 revised to clarify retention requirements of CAD/CAM files.

## • Changes to Drawings (per ECN-4994):

O Drawings SE141-114, SE141-115, and SE141-116 were revised due to the redesign of the lead blocks. As a result it was necessary to change the slot length from 6.38-in to 7.5-in and the slot width from 1.5-in to 1.5625-in. The location and dimensions of four tee base tapped holes w/ spotface were also changed to conform to the new design. The mounting pad dimensioning scheme was revised, resulting in a slight change in the pad height for the Type-A and –B winding forms.

#### • Approval of RFD-14-003

Section 4.2.2 required that material be tested in the transverse and longitudinal directions. This is performed when testing wrought materials, but is typically not performed in cast materials as it offers no significant information. The primary reason is that in cast materials, the grain structure is uniform and has no directionality. This deviation was approved and the commitment was made to delete this requirement from the CSPEC (Rev 8).