PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY PROCEDURE No. ENG-033 Rev 0 Attachment 3

PPPL Design Review CHIT Form Page 1 of 1

WP # (ENG-032)
PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT #
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM PEER
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER DATE OF REVIEW DDR
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)
☐ REQUIREMENTS ☐ HARDWARE ☐ SAFETY ☐ ANALYSIS ☐ CONFIGURATION ☐ COST/SCHEDULE ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY ☐ QUALITY
Translation of CAD models need to be verified as part of MIT/QA plan ORIGINATOR_Reverser
be verified as part of MIT/QA plan
ORIGINATOR_RELEISEU
NAME/ORGANIZATION
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, provide technical reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.) ACTION - VIOLA
O CONCUR O DISAGREE O OTHER CHAIRPERSON DATE: 7/8/03
Concur lenders to be not fied
Via Lany Sutton
SIGNATURE Mela Meta DATE: 7/8/03
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW APPROVE COG DISPOSITION O DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW APPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE DATE: 7/8/03
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.
SIGNATURE DATE:

PROCEDURE PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

No. ENG-033 Rev 0 Attachment 3

PPPL Design Review CHIT Form

Page 1 of 1

PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT # (ENG-032)
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM PEER
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEERDATE OF REVIEWDR
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)
□ REQUIREMENTS □ HARDWARE □ SAFETY □ ANALYSIS □ CONFIGURATION □ COST/SCHEDULE □ PERFORMANCE □ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY □ QUALITY
COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDATION
Models reed to be checked along with drawings. Should develop guidelines for checking originator Leversen hodels - what do we name/organization
with drawings. Thaties
quidelines for chell originator Leversen
hodels - what do we
look for!
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, provide technical reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)
· Action: Core
ODISAGREE - 4/-
0 OTHER CHAIRPERSON - DATE: 16/33
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:
Concur. Cole to develop ereteria
for checking and program with
ser that criteria ()// /orlo de/s
SIGNATURE DATE: DATE:
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW
O APPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE RELECTION DATE: 7/8/03
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.
SIGNATUREDATE:

PPPL Design Review CHIT Form	Page 1 of 1
	(ENG-032)
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM	☐ PEER☐ CDR☐ PDR☐ PDR☐ FDR
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)	J VZ PUK
☐ ANALYSIS ☐ CONFIGURATION ☐	SAFETY COST/SCHEDULE QUALITY
Deflections due to gravity load be calculated to verify that & acceptable (VVSA) and PVVS) in all orientations, NAME/ORGANIZATION	s should this they are leceisen
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not addressed and	opted, provide technical
DEONCUR 0 DISAGREE 0 OTHER COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION: WBS (2 should makeled to analyze in the October Parameter)	DATE: 7/9/03
SIGNATURE Melo Melo	DATE: 7/8/03
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW APPROVE COG DISPOSITION O DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE	DATE: 7/8/03
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.	
SIGNATURE	DATE:

PROCEDURE

PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

No. ENG-033 Rev 0 Attachment 3 PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY PROCEDURE No. ENG-033 Rev 0 Attachment 3

PPPL Design Review CHIT Form Page 1 of 1

PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT # 4	(ENG-032)
PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT #_4	
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM	☐ PEER
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEERDATE OF REVIEW	D PDR FDR
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)	
☐ REQUIREMENTS ☐ HARDWARE ☐ SAFET ☐ ANALYSIS ☐ CONFIGURATION ☐ COST. ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY ☐ QUALI	SCHEDULE
COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDATION Dimensional checks on the PVVS &	hould
be checked at the factory and at PF	
determine is any demensional che occur during supperf. ORIGINATOR_Reil	isen
occur acung suggesty.	
(Paisells remark.)	
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, pr reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)	ovide technical
Action. Diola	
CONCUR 0 DISAGREE 0 OTHER CHAIRPERSON DAT	E: 7/8/63
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:	′ ′
update job 1202 to add	
PUVS Receipt QA	
SIGNATURE MILE FLOW DATE	7/2/03
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW	2/0/-
APPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE	: 178/03
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.	İ
CIONATURE	

PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PROCEDURE PHYSICS LABORATORY

No. ENG-033 Rev 0 Attachment 3

Page 1 of 1

DATE:

DATE:

PPL Design Review CHIT Form [Fage]	1 01 1
WP# ()	ENO 220)
PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT # 5	ENG-032)
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM	□ PEER
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM	□ CDR
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEERDATE OF REVIEW	PDR FDR
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)	
☐ REQUIREMENTS ☐ HARDWARE ☐ SAFETY ☐ ANALYSIS ☐ CONFIGURATION ☐ COST/S ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY ☐ QUALITY	CHEDULE Y
comment/concern/recommendation Intervally, the project should dever the measurement capability for surf location and part orientation in the content of the used when the ORIGINATOR Levels PVVS arrives. NAME/ORGANIZATION	elap face time to
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, prov reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)	ide technical
Action - Paul Goranson	
OCONCUR 0 DISAGREE 0 OTHER CHAIRPERSON DATE:	7/8/07
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:	
all metrology development sque	- comes

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW

0 APPROVE COG DISPOSITION
0 DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION

COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT

Sign when action required by disposition is complete.