								Cost Impact (\$k)		Schedule Impac (mos)	
No.	lob	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Likelihood of Occurrence ^a	Consequences	Dick Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	I ow SI	High CI
NO.	JOB	Risk Description	Mitigation Flan	Occurrence	Consequences	RISK Class	Dasis of Estimate	LOW CI	rigii Ci	LOW SI	riigii Si
							Costs could more than				
		Additional trim coils may be required to	Analysis being performed to firm			_	double the present				
1	7503	suppress field errors from n>1 modes	up requirements	U	Marginal	Low	estimate	+ \$200	+ \$400	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
			Conductor for extra coil already procured. Ample float in								
		TF vendor produces a non-compliant coil	schedule to avoid critical path				Increase PPPL Title III				
2	1361	requiring fabrication of an additional coil	impact.	VU	Negligible	Low	by ~1 man-month	+ \$15	+ \$35	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
			Conductor for extra coil will be						· ·		
ł			procured in advance and								
			available to wind a new coil if								
			required. Float in schedule				5555 Till 111				
3	1252	PF vendor produces a non-compliant coil requiring fabrication of an additional coil	appears adequate to avoid critical path impact.	VU	Negligible	Low	Increase PPPL Title III by ~1 man-month	+ \$15	. ¢25	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
	1332	requiring fabrication of an additional coil	Chiicai patii impact.	VO	Negligible	LOW	Design of the MC	+ \$15	+ \$33	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
							interface is on the				
							critical path. Potential				
							impacts include [1]				
							additional design and				
							development (4				
							engineers for 1-2				
							months) plus \$100K				
							M&S and [2] a change in the cost of field				
			Task forces formed to expedite				period and final				
		Modular coil interface design needs to	resolution of feasibility issues.				assembly to a change				
		change significantly from the baseline for	Development activities are				in the design (+/-				
4	1421	,	underway.	VU	Critical	Moderate	\$300K).	(\$100)	+ \$600	+ 1.00	+ 2.00
<u></u>			Welding time estimates								
l			consistent with time								
			requirements for first R&D				Manada al contalla a C				
		As a regult of the development trials for wold	article which appeared to have				Nominal welding time				
		As a result of the development trials for weld distortion, the welding time increases	away at conclusion of ongoing				may double. Estimate based on \$300K/mo				
5	1421		weld R&D.	U	Significant	Moderate	for FPA activities.	+ \$0	+ \$600	+ 0.00	+ 2.00
	1				- 9		~\$35K in materials;	. 40	,,,,,		
			Continue to use same rigorous				~\$380K in labor. 7.5				
			process used for first 12 coils				months to do work with				
		Damage or loss of modular coil during VPI	during which there were no				the potential for a 2				
0	4.454	or testing requiring the conductor to be	fabrication mihaps requiring re-	l	Cinnificant	Madausti	month impact on the	. 0400	. 0450	. 0.00	
6	1451	stripped off and re-wound	winding a coil	U	Significant	Moderate	critical path.	+ \$400	+ \$450	+ 0.00	+ 2.00

	egistei		L Novelling and a f				Cost Im	Impact (\$k) Schedul		le Impact los)
Job	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Occurrence a	Consequences	Risk Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	Low SI	High SI
	(e.g., motor, gear box, etc.) resulting in	Use three remaining winding stations to continue MC fabrication while fourth station is being repaired	U	Negligible	Low	~\$10K for equipment plus repair costs	+ \$10	+ \$30	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
1810	stretching out the time required to complete	Additional support budgeted for Brown, Brooks, and Ellis providing "2 deep" back office support. Should be available to mitigate peak demands once training in key skills is completed.	VU	Significant	Low	Estimated impact is <2 months on the critical path. Cost impact covers up to 2 months of FPA/final assembly.	+ \$0	+ \$600	+ 0.00	+ 2.00
	Modular coil damaged during assembly	Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood	VU	Negligible	Low	Nominally repaired with a 2-man crew within 2 weeks	+ \$10	+ \$20	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
1810	VV surface component (coolant tube, flux loop, or TC) damaged during FPA requiring significant rework	Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood	VU	Negligible	Low	Nominally repaired with a 2-man crew within 2 weeks	+ \$10	+ \$20	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
		Likelihood of occurrence is very unlikely as a result of extensive welding R&D and careful monitoring during welding.	VU	Marginal	Low	Cut apart and re-weld two coils back together. Nominally a 2.5-man crew in 12 weeks.	+ \$25	+ \$35	+ 0.75	+ 1.25
	transport, or unloading from TFTR TC to	Extreme care will be taken when transporting a field period. Additional reviews including external reviewers will be performed.	NC	Crisis	Low	High impact-low probability event not covered by contingency				
		Welds will be leak checked during FPA when leaks can be addressed without significantly impacting the critical path. Likelihood of many leaks appearing during initial pumpdown is considered extremely unlikely with this				few leaks is covered in estimate uncertainty with present mitigation				
	1810 1810 1810 1810	assembly becomes a chronic bottleneck, stretching out the time required to complete assembly operations Modular coil damaged during assembly requiring significant rework to coil VV surface component (coolant tube, flux	Failure of major piece of winding equipment (e.g., motor, gear box, etc.) resulting in extended downtime in a winding station being repaired Additional support budgeted for Brown, Brooks, and Ellis providing "2 deep" back office support. Should be available to mitigate peak demands once training in key skills is completed. Bato operations Wodular coil damaged during assembly requiring significant rework to coil VV surface component (coolant tube, flux loop, or TC) damaged during FPA requiring significant rework Unacceptable distortion in a field period when welding modular coil shims requiring transport, or unloading from TFTR TC to NCSX TC Welds will be leak checked during FPA when leaks can be addressed without significantly impacting the critical path. Likelihood of many leaks appearing during initial winding stations to continue MC fabrication while fourth station is being repaired Additional support budgeted for Brown, Brooks, and Ellis providing "2 deep" back office support. Should be available to mitigate peak demands once training in key skills is completed. Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully constructed procedures to minimize likelihood Equipment will be handled during FPA using carefully con	Failure of major piece of winding equipment (e.g., motor, gear box, etc.) resulting in extended downtime in a winding station ### Table of the continue of th	Significant rework Consequences Use three remaining winding station Use three remaining winding Use three thre	Job Risk Description Mitigation Plan Occurrence	Job Risk Description Mitigation Plan Occurrence	Job Risk Description	Job Risk Description	Job Risk Description Militgation Plan Occurrence* Consequences Risk Class Basis of Estimate Low Cl High Cl Low Si

NUSA RISK REGISLEI								Cost Impact (\$k)		Schedule Impac (mos)	
				Likelihood of							
No.	Job	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Occurrence a	Consequences	Risk Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	Low SI	High SI
			Ist of each kind will be tested at cryogenic temperature at elevated (50% higher than								
			routine field tests) voltage for faults to ground. All coils will be tested at RT at elevated (50% higher than routine field tests)								
			voltage for faults to ground . Ring tests are performed to reveal low resistance turn-to-				Insulation fault in lead area is considered the most likely failure				
			turn shorts at RT. These tests will be performed as part of the mfg acceptance testing.				scenario. Repair in situ is assumed recovery scenario				
			In addition, routine field tests will be performed on each				taking 2-3 months. 1 month to warmup and cooldown the				
		Insulation on TF/PF coil fails during initial	assembly station to ensure that the electrical insulation was not compromised during assembly				stellarator core. 3 techs/1 engr for duration of active				
14	7503	cooldown and testing requiring in situ repair	operations.	VU	Marginal	Low	repair)1-2 months).	+ \$50	+ \$150	+ 1.00	+ 2.00
			Ist of each kind will be tested at cryogenic temperature at elevated (50% higher than routine field tests) voltage for faults to ground. All coils will be tested at RT at elevated (50% higher than routine field tests) voltage for faults to ground. Ring tests are performed to reveal low resistance turn-to-turn shorts at RT. These tests will be performed as part of the mfg acceptance testing.								
		Insulation on TF/PF coil fails during initial cooldown and testing requiring dismantling	In addition, routine field tests will be performed on each assembly station to ensure that the electrical insulation was not compromised during assembly				High impact-low probability event not covered by				
15	7503	stellarator core	operations.	NC	Crisis	Low	contingency				

NC3X K				Likelihood of		Cost Im	pact (\$k)		le Impact los)		
No.	Joh	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Occurrence a	Consequences	Risk Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	Low SI	High SI
		Insulation on modular coil fails during initial	C1 tested at full current at cryogenic temeprature. All modular coils will be tested at RT at elevated (50% higher) voltage for faults to ground. In addition, routine field tests will be performed on each assembly station to ensure that the electrical insulation was not compromised during assembly				Insulation fault in lead area is considered the most likely failure scenario. Repair in situ is assumed recovery scenario taking 2-3 months. 1 month to warmup and cooldown the stellarator core. 3 techs/1 engr for duration of active		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
16	7503	cooldown and testing requiring in situ repair	operations.	VU	Marginal	Low	repair)1-2 months).	+ \$50	+ \$150	+ 1.00	+ 2.00
17		Insulation on modular coil fails during initial cooldown and testing requiring stellarator core disassembly	C1 tested at full current at cryogenic temeprature. All modular coils will be tested at RT at elevated (50% higher) voltage for faults to ground. In addition, routine field tests will be performed on each assembly station to ensure that the electrical insulation was not compromised during assembly operations.	NC	Crisis	Low	High impact-low probability event not covered by contingency				
18		Unanticipated problems with cryostat penetrations (icing, excessive condensation). May require warming up the stellarator core to effect repair with consequent impacts to critical path activities. Loss or prolonged unavailability of certain key personnel from the project could substantially impact the schedule.	Rapid repair materials will be on hand. See mitigation plans for individuals listed below.	U	Marginal	Low	Nominally repaired with a 4-man crew in 1 week with 3 weeks for warmup/cooldown (if required)	+ \$15	+ \$30	+ 0.25	+ 1.00
	1901		Brad Nelson is been budgeted (15%) on the project. Should Cole become unavailable, Nelson would step in and handle Cole's responsibilities until a suitable longer term solution was implemented.	VU	Marginal	Low	Estimated impact is <0.5 months on the critical path. No impact on FPA cost because impacted personnel would be assigned to other activities.	+ \$0	+\$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50

								Cost Im	pact (\$k)		le Impac nos)
lo.	lah	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Likelihood of Occurrence ^a	Consequences	Dick Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	I ow SI	Lliah Cl
0.	300	Kisk Description		Occurrence	Consequences	NISK CIASS	Estimated impact is	LOW CI	nigii Ci	LOW 31	High Si
			Bob Ellis has been budgeted				<0.5 months on the				
			along with a designer to provide				critical path. No				
			support to Tom Brown in Design				impact on FPA cost				
			Integration during peak				because impacted				
			demands and pick up the slack				personnel would be				
			for Brown if he became				assigned to other				
	8203	Tom Brown (PPPL)	unavailable.	VU	Marginal	Low	activities.	+ \$0	+ \$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
		,					Estimated impact is				
			An EA/EM engineer has been				<0.5 months on the				
			budgeted to provide support to				critical path. No				
			Brooks in Systems Analysis and				impact on FPA cost				
			Technical Assurance during				because impacted				
			peak demands and pick up the				personnel would be				
			slack for Brooks should he				assigned to other				
	8204	Art Brooks (PPPL)	became unavailable.	VU	Marginal	Low	activities.	+ \$0	+ \$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
							Estimated impact is				
			An EA/EM engineer has been				<0.5 months on the				
			budgeted to provide support to				critical path. No				
			Ellis in Dimensional Control				impact on FPA cost				
			Coordination during peak				because impacted				
			demands and pick up the slack				personnel would be				
			for Ellis should he become				assigned to other				
	8205	Bob Ellis (PPPL)	unavailable.	VU	Marginal	Low	activities.	+ \$0	+ \$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
							Estimated impact is				
							<0.5 months on the				
							critical path. No				
							impact on FPA cost				
							because impacted				
			Viola and Perry will be cross-				personnel would be				
	1802		trained such that each could do				assigned to other	00		0.00	0.50
	7401	Erik Perry (PPPL)		VU	Marginal	Low	activities.	+ \$0	+ \$0	+ 0.00	+ 0.50
			Functionality of sled will be				Nominal cost impact is				
			determined first with concrete				1 man-month of				
		Accomply alad for final accomply is and	blocks and later with first FP.				engineering design				
	1000	Assembly sled for final assembly is not adequately stiff or does not provide	Ample time to make design modifications between arrival of				and up to half the				
20		repeatable motion	the first and third FPs.	U	Negligible	Low	fabrication cost of the sled	+ \$25	+ \$75	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
20	1303	repeatable motion	uie iiist aliu tiiiu FFS.	,	rvegilgible	LUW			- φι σ	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
			Copper sheet and spongy				Nominal cost impact is 2 man-months of				
			surface removed from TC floor.				engineering design				
			Fiducials will be placed.				and \$50-150K for local				
	l	TC floor is not adequately rigid for present	Concrete blocks will be placed				reinforcement of				
1											

		egister		Likelihood of	ibood of			Cost Impact (\$k)		Schedule Impact (mos)	
No.	Job	Risk Description	Mitigation Plan	Occurrence a	Consequences	Risk Class	Basis of Estimate	Low CI	High CI	Low SI	Hiah SI
22	1421	Modular coils are shorted across toroidal	Need very low impedence, multiple shorts to get into trouble	NC					J. J. J.		J. J.
23		GPP projects not completed in time to support project needs	The crane and the HVAC systems are the main GPP projects that would need to be completed. The GPP projects have strong Lab and DOE oversight. Ample float is provided in the schedule so project delays due to GPP delays are not considered credible (P<1%).	NC							
24	8501	Coils are hooked up with incorrect polarity	Test during ISTP and fix	U	Negligible	Low	Covered in estimate uncertainty with present mitigation plan				
25	8101	Escalation of Stainless Sheet and Inconel higher than base escalation rates	Funding limits preclude early procurements to avoid escalation impacts	VL	Marginal	Moderate	See separate sheet - assume 3% to 20% higher per year escalation rate	+ \$37	+ \$266	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
26	8101	Escalation of Copper higher than base escalation rates	Funding limits preclude early procurements to avoid escalation impacts	VL	Negligible	Low	See separate sheet - assume 5% to 20% higher per year escalation rate	+ \$11	+ \$81	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
27	8101	Labor rates may be significantly lower/higher than projected	·	L	Marginal	Moderate	Escalation rate may be anywhere in the range of 2-5% instead of the nominal rate of 3.4% for labor. Schedule impact is due to annual funding constraints.	(\$500)	+ \$500	(0.50)	+ 0.50
			Maintenance contract mitigates impact of metrology equipment.								
	1815	Metrology equipment and general purpose tooling/ lifting equipment (e.g.cranes) not	Additional \$200K budgeted for a 3rd laser tracker and/or spare metrology equipment. Should result in improved efficiency as				Up to 2 week impact on FPA and critical path. FPA cost impact assumed to be				
28	7503	available to support the schedule	well as failure mitigation.	U	Marginal	Low	\$300k/mo.	+ \$0	+ \$150	+ 0.00	+ 0.50

								Cost Impact (\$k)		Schedule Impa (mos)	
N.a	lab	Diele Decemention	Mitimation Plan	Likelihood of		Diels Class	Danie of Fatimate	J CI	Himb Ol	1 avv CI	III.ah CI
No.		Risk Description No suitable PF coil vendor submits bid. PF	PF is last major, special procurement. Sources sought received two qualified respondants. Capability to build at PPPL (and overseas) exists if needed. Plan developed to expedite PF procurement by 3 months. Plan		Consequences		Cost impact estimated to be up to \$300k (1/3 of fabrication costs) for potentially higher labor rates at PPPL. No impact on critical path		High CI		
29	1352	coils need to be built in-house.	is under project reivew.	U	Marginal	Low	expected.	+ \$0	+ \$300	+ 0.00	+ 0.00
30		Funding profile may not match assumptions which in turn could impact cost and schedule		U	Significant	Moderate	Cost impact derived from stretchout	+ \$0	+ \$0	(2.00)	+ 2.00
							Overhead rates are determined by institutional funding and are outside the project's control.				
31		Overhead rates may change signficiantly which in turn could impact cost and schedule		U	Significant	Moderate	+/- 2% on the rates are representative of variation in three-year institutional averages over the past 10 years.	(\$900)	+ \$0	(1.00)	+ 0.00

^a VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)