| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|--------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|
| NCSX Cons | truction Feasibili | ity Review, Oct. 31 – Nov. 1, 2007 | | | |
| 200710-1a | | The committee recommends that pro- ject management review and acquire adequate effort from these key indi- viduals [Reiersen, Nelson, Freuden- berg] in support of component assem- bly through first field period assembly, Station-5. | 81. Anderson, Lyon | Action as stated. | These individuals have time commitments to NCSX and ITER which evolve with the needs of the projects. NCSX draws on a large and diverse pool of capable PPPL and ORNL engineers, so is not overly dependent on a few key people. Reiersen's and Nelson's former manag- ment responsibilities have been taken over by new leaders, though we have enough of their time to get the benefit of their unique knowledge and insights. Freuden- berg continues to have a central role in stellarator core design. Closed |
| 200710-1b | | We recommend that project manage- ment insure adequate engineering staff- ing of this unique resource ["back of- fice"] is planned for in the project scope and available at PPPL. | 82. Heitzen- roeder | Action as stated. | Staffing changes including new hires have been made to strengthen system engineer- ing, which includes the "back office" functions. These were documented in a letter to the F.B.D on Nov. 29, 2007. Closed |
| 200710-2 | | The committee supports the effort to find a dedicated project integration of-ficer. | 81. Anderson | Action as stated | A Project Integration Manager has been appointed. Closed |
| 200710-3 | | The work that Art Brooks does to adjust final positions based on measured coil positions is critical to the success of the final assembly accuracy. Mr. Brooks' calculations should be carefully re- viewed and cross checked. | 8. Zarnstorff 82. Heitzen- roeder | Action as stated. | This is the plan. An engineer (Mark Smith) has been assigned to review and check Art Brooks' calculations Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|----------|----------------|---|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| 200710-4 | | The committee suggested that the coil deformations under electromagnetic and dead weight loading be included in the calculations of the final modular coil positions, and needed shim dimen- sions. The present dimensional adjust- ments are effectively for zero field. It was suggested that an average opera- tional loading be used for this calcula- tion. | 82. Brooks | Consider | We have considered this but decided not to include these effects when we specify coil positions. The gravity effects are small and the EM effects vary with oper- ating conditions. Closed |
| 200710-5 | | The issue of disruptions was answered for vessel response, and was quickly estimated at the review, for the modular coil forms. The estimate is that the ef- fect is low, but these calculations should be finalized and documented. | 82. Brooks | Action as stated | A simplified SPARK model was run to estimate the added shear forces across the MCWF joint from a plasma disruption. A 350 KA center plasma instantaneous dis- ruption was considered (ie inductive solu- tion) without the shielding effects of the VV. The maximum eddy currents are ~50 KA. The fields were assumed to be ~0.5 T based on trim coil calculations. If the cur- rents are on either side of the flange and in opposite directions, a shear force of 25 KN/m (143 lb/in) or ~ 570 lb per bolt. This compares to the design load of 15,000 lb shear per bolt. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|----------|----------------|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| 200710-6 | | The anisotropic elastic properties of the casting need to be quantified and fac- tored into the analyses of the modular coil forms. Requirements for two direc- tional testing were part of the original casting contracts, but were relaxed. It is believed that this was for reasonable cause, but given the potential effect on the elastic motions under load, a better understanding of this issue is needed. The project should review literature and correspondence and should inventory the available test samples from the NCSX castings. Tests on actual cast shell samples would be best. The available spare casting is a candidate for metallurgical evaluation. This spare casting has not gone through a heat treatment used on the production cast- ings. The possibility of heat treating a sample taken from the spare casting should be investigated | 82. Heitzen- roeder | Review of literature and analysis appropri- ate to NCSX materials and conditions. Consider testing. | NCSX is reviewing ITER R&D report on 316 castings. There are some obvious differences in the material - the ITER casting used chromate sand for rapid cool- ing, which may have resulted in non- uniform cooling rate and the chemistry is close but not exactly the same. NCSX has performed a modulus sensitivity study. ANSYS was run with the E of all castings reduced from 145,000to 100,-000 psi . The max. displacement would increase from ~2.8 to 3.7 mm – a value that the trim coils could compensate for, even in this extreme case. In parallel, a cube of Stellalloy is being triaxially tested to de- termine its # in all three axes. Closed . |
| 200710-7 | | While correction coils are being de- signed, the possibility of future addi- tions of correction coils outside the cryostat should be evaluated. These will require more amp-turns, but can be "low tech" and can be easily added at a later date. | 82. Brooks | Assess performance and risk mitigation benefits of such coils. | Was performed in previous studies. Trim coils outside the cryostat are possible but not attractive because of high power and limited functionality. It is more important to provide adequate capability, with mar- gin, on the machine-mounted trim coil system. Closed. |
| 200710-8 | | Have load cells on support posts – especially where the support is redundant. i.e. multiple posts instead of three. | 17. Dahlgren | Consider | We have considered this but decided not to incorporate load cells. Although we have more than 3 support posts, there is no redundancy because the machine is not a rigid structure. We do not see any bene- fit to measuring the loads in operation. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 200710-9 | | In station 6, the final machine assem- bly, vertical support is transferred from the assembly carts to six stainless steel support posts. This load transfer may introduce new displacements that need to be qualified by an additional metrol- ogy step, and adds a small risk of diffi- culties at a time when the full invest- ment in the stellarator assembly has been made. | 82. Brown | Analyze | We agree that this must be analyzed and addressed in the design. It is in our Sta- tion 6 design plans. Closed. |
| 200710-10 | | The committee recommends finite ele- ment analysis (FEA) analytic support at each step of the assembly process. The analytic models should be benchmarked at the vertical to horizontal reposition- ing step for each of the modular coil forms. | 82. Brown | Action as stated | We agree, and this is in our plans. Some analyses have already been done (ex: single MCWFs and "3-packs"). Analyses of the torus assembly with various support conditions are planned. Closed. |
| 200710-11 | | The committee expressed concern that the modular castings vary in thickness and weight, and this may affect the structural response. The project re- sponded with an explanation that the electromagnetic deformations were predominantly caused by the flexibility of the machined parts, but the effect of non-uniform shell thickness should be addressed in the FEA benchmarks. | 82. Heitzen- roeder | Analyze and docu- ment. | Weight information on the winding form castings was provided to the committee. However, since the max. deflections are due to primarily to deformations of the T sections which are machined to a uniform thickness, we do not feel that this is an issue. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 200710-12 | | A long term test of the preload retention of the bolting should be made at the actual design configuration used; ther- mal cycling should be included. In- service, operational monitoring of pre- loads of highly loaded bolts is strongly recommended. It was noted that initial torque tests on modular coil flange con- nection bolts was only 50% for those studs located in threaded blind holes; this was done to prevent deformation of the base material threads. We recom- mend that a test on a spare modular coil with 100% of the required torque be applied to these blind hole studs to un- derstand the impact of having to possi- bly disassemble a completed flange connection. | 82. Dudek | Follow through on planned tests. | A summary of planned bolt tests, includ- ing cycling and long-term bolt tension stability, was presented to the committee. The tests for the stud tensioning were started on 11/15. The studs were ten- sioned to 85 kip and then rechecked on 11/19. They were found to have lost about 6% of their tension (as was ex- pected) and were re-tensioned to 85 kip. The have held steady since that time. The next test will be to chill the connections down to 77K using LN2 and rechecking the tension. At the completion of these tension tests we will check the threads after the 100% load. |
| 200710-13 | | The difficulty in accessing some of the modular coil form bolts has been mocked-up. The mock-up will be useful during assembly and operation. It would be wise to retain these for future use in servicing. | 8. Anderson, Lyon | Retain mock-up as recommended. | We will retain the mock-up. Closed. |
| 200710-14 | | In-service, operational laser tracking of ample fiducials on the modular coil during operation is highly recom- mended. | 82. Raftopou- los | Evaluate | We will perform a study of fiducial loca- tions and provision for sightlines to pro- vide this capability. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 200710-15 | | Measurements of the alumina-to-steel friction coefficients should be made with more statistical rigor. The data scatter of the values presented raises the concern that the 2/3 allowable may not be sufficient to cover the uncertainties in assembled behavior. For design and construction the measured minimum values should be used to sufficiently account for uncertainties during shim production and assembly of modular coil flange connections. | 8. Heitzen- roeder | Set allowable more conservatively | The friction allowable is now based on the lower bound of the test data. Closed |
| 200710-16 | | The use for aluminum for TF and PF structural supports should be considered only after the effects of thermal differ- ential contraction are analyzed. Alumi- num components inside the cryostat have had to be removed from C-Mod. Difficulties with the use of aluminum and stainless steel for Wendelstein's bus bar supports also support this rec- ommendation. | 15. Dahlgren | Evaluate | The project has reconsidered its material choice in light of these comments from C- Mod and W-7X. Indeed the potential for the two materials to cool down at different rates is an uncertainty which exacerbates the risk of fasteners coming loose over repeated cooldown cycles. We have therefore decided to change the support structure material from aluminum to stain- less steel. Closed. |
| 200710-17 | | The committee suggests that power lead routing, coolant line routing, etc. be determined as soon as possible to avoid downstream interferences. | 82. Cole | Action as stated | These activities are currently under way; ORNL and PPPL keep in close contact on these routing activities. A typical inte- grated model was presented to the com- mittee. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|---|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| NCSX SC P | Project Review, A | ugust 15-17, 2007 | · · · | | • |
| 200708-1 | 3.1 Magnets | Accelerate specific engineering efforts such as: PF coil design and trim coil design | 8. Anderson | Provide adequate staff- ing to ensure schedules are met, allowing for normal problems. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | Staffing changes including new hires have been made to support the design and pro- curement schedules for PF coils, trim coils, coil support structures, and base support structure. These were documented in a letter to the F.B.D on Nov. 29, 2007. We cannot credibly commit to accelerat- ing these schedules at this time, though it may become possible if there are minimal problems. |
| 200708-2 | 3.1 Magnets | Perform a cost/benefit analysis of installing additional trim coils | 8. Zarnstorff, Neilson | Action as stated. | Project has completed the evaluation and determined that the benefits (field error control) justify the additional cost, so we have decided to include trim coils in the new baseline. Concept design was pre- sented at Construction Feasibility Review on Oct 31, 2007. Closed |
| 200708-3 | 3.2 Ancillary Systems- WBS 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 | Start early conceptual design modeling with preliminary design reviews to im- mediately follow to catch any technical issues early in the design process. | 8. Anderson | Assess impact of ac- celerating design. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | We cannot credibly commit to accelerat- ing schedules of non-critical scope at this time, though it may become possible with an accelerated funding profile. |
| 200708-4 | 3.2 Ancillary Systems- WBS 5 | The Safety Interlocks System should interface, as early as possible, with the ES&H group to resolve any life safety and equipment protection issues or re- quirements. | 5. Sichta | Contact ES&H to re- view usage of and qualification for a Safety PLC, prior to conducting the PDR. | This is in the WBS 5 plan. Closed |
| 200708-5 | 3.2 Ancillary Systems- WBS 6 | Further study the cryogenic system, GN2 system design for control and regulation of gas through multiple par- allel paths. | 16. Goranson | This will be studied as part of the preliminary design of this system. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | Study in progress. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| 200708-6 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | Scrub the Resource Loaded Schedule, Work Approval Forms, and Basis of Estimate documentation for accuracy traceability and completeness. | 18. Viola, 7. Perry | Action as stated. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | Basis of estimates are being expanded. An internal pre-EIR audit (with the job man- agers) will be performed by the project control office. We will perform an up- dated bottom-up estimate for the EIR. In progress. |
| 200708-7 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | Consider accelerating schedule of Pre- liminary Design Reviews and Final Design Reviews to encourage early design activity and solidify estimates. | 8. Anderson | Action as stated. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | We cannot credibly commit to accelerat- ing schedules of PF coil, trim coil, and coil structure designs at this time, though it may become possible if there are mini- mal problems. It may be possible to accel- erate other design work, depending on funding profiles. |
| 200708-8 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | Include objective experts external to the project on design review teams. | 8. Heitzen- roeder | Action as stated. | We will do this from now on. Outside experts were included in welded MC in- terface PDR (10/18) and FDR (11/27) and the Construction Feasibility Review (10/31-11/1), and are planned for future reviews. Closed |
| 200708-9 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | All integration issues associated with related assembly tasks should be in- cluded in design reviews. | 8. Heitzen- roeder | Action as stated. | Physical interfaces are addressed by De- sign Integration. Design review partici- pants include QA, ES&H, construction managers, and representatives of affected systems. Closed |
| 200708-10 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 7 | Add vacuum vessel welding (in Sta- tion 6, Final Assembly) to the Risk Registry and develop appropriate miti- gation activities. | 7. Perry | Consider. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | Under review. |
| 200708-11 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 7 | Continue to include dry-run fit-ups of the Final Assembly of Modular Coils in the Resource Loaded Schedule, and plan to perform these tasks even if me- trology results appear to "close" prop- erly. | 7. Perry | Action as stated. | This is what exists in current plan. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 200708-12 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | Continue to look for opportunities to validate assembly design concepts early in the design process. | 18. Viola 7. Perry | Ongoing effort. | Every opportunity is utilized as appropri- ate. For example, weld trials have spawned several parallel opportunities for evaluation of assembly techniques. We have prepared for MC half-period assem- bly off the critical path by completing some production tasks including modular coil punch list items and coil pre- measurements, and becoming proficient in critical assembly operations (e.g., posi- tioning, metrology, bolting, bushing in- stallation, welding). We will continue to do this. Closed |
| 200708-13 | 3.3 Assembly- WBS 18 & 7 | Ensure that engineering integration across WBS tasks occurs at a high level including interface design consistency, definition and scheduling of design reviews, and engineering resource man- agement. This will require the focus of the responsible engineer as his/her pri- mary activity. | 8. Anderson, Heitzenroeder | Action as stated. | Project organization has been revised to ensure adequate integration, as docu- mented in a detailed white paper (J. L. Anderson, 6 Sept. 2007) The NCSX Engi- neering Manager is responsible for system integration. System engineering functions have been strengthened as documented in a letter to the F.B.D on Nov. 29, 2007. Responsible Line Managers are responsi- ble for integration of their activities. Closed. |
| 200708-14 | 3.4 Research Scope | Investigate the cost and benefit of in- clusion of four additional trim coils into the upgrade plans. Present plans will augment the two coils in the MIE with two additional coils, so that n=1 and n=2 fields can be applied. The addition of two more would provide for control over natural (n=3) islands. | 8. Zarnstorff | Evaluate options for adding trim coils to MIE and benefits, e.g., flexibility, tolerance relaxation. | See 200708-2. Closed. |
| 200708-15 | 3.4 Research Scope | Coordinate cost and schedule planning between NCSX and NSTX. | 8. Anderson | Action as stated. Work with NSTX and Lab management to agree on funding profiles. | Planning discussions with NSTX, Labora- tory management, and OFES are on- going. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|---|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 200708-16 | 4.0 Cost Esti- mate | Institutionalize the new cost and sched- ule methods and tools (ongoing) | 8. Anderson, Williams | Action as stated. | J. Anderson to follow-up with Engineer- ing management. |
| 200708-17 | 4.0 Cost Esti- mate 5.0 Schedule and Funding | Improve the documentation and trace- ability of the basis of estimate (well before the External Independent Re- view). | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated. Modify BCP as appropriate. | In progress. See 200708-6 |
| 200708-18 | 4.0 Cost Esti- mate | Ensure the machine capabilities in the FY 2005 baseline and the new proposed baseline are consistent. | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | Scope will be added to the baseline to restore capabilities as requested. Scope restoration plan has been developed and reviewed with PSO. Estimates are being developed and will be included in the new baseline. |
| 200708-19 | 5.0 Schedule and Funding | Improve the quality of bases of esti- mates (well before the EIR) | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated. Modify BCP as ap- propriate. | In progress. See 200708-6 |
| 200708-20 | 6.0 Manage- ment | Evaluate and quantify the cost and schedule benefits of optimizing the re- maining BA profile by September 15, 2007. | 8. Neilson, Strykowsky | Action as stated. | Analyses were completed and submitted to PSO and OFES in early November. Closed. |
| 200708-21 | 6.0 Manage- ment | Provide strong leadership in the sys- tems engineering and integration area as soon as possible. | 8. Anderson, Heitzenroeder | Action as stated. | See 200708-13. In addition, a Project In- tegration Manager has been appointed. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| NCSX SC P | Project Review, D | ecember 19, 2006 | | | |
| 200612-00 | Executive Summary | Prepare a bottoms-up estimate-to-com- plete and update the resource-loaded schedule after more data on field period assembly is collected (i.e., Summer, 2007) Re-baseline the project as appropriate, based on the above information, in or- der to include adequate contingency for achieving Critical Decision (CD) 4, Approve Start of Operations. | 8. Anderson | Revise project plans for Q2-3 of FY07. Done Develop new ETC, including con- tractor reviews. done Post review mate- rials for DOE review. DOE on-site re- views. | A new ETC has been developed as the basis for a baseline change proposal to DOE. It will be presented at the August, 2007, SC Project Review. The assembly estimates have been updated based on recent modular coil half-period assembly development trials, a more detailed as- sembly sequence plan, and experience with past NCSX fabrication jobs. The ETC incorporates improved approaches to job estimating, risk management, and risk- based contingency analysis. Job estimates were reviewed and approved by the PPPL Engineering Dept. Two external reviews, sponsored by Princeton University, guided the development of the new estimate. DOE reviews will be conducted in the August-September timeframe. Closed |
| 200612-01 | Technical (Mod Coils- 1) | Restrict the use of overtime to only when necessary for continuity of work. | 14./18. Dudek | Continue to carefully manage overtime. | Overtime has been eliminated except for special situations, for example during VPI. Second shift work has also been discontinued to apply more resources on the FPA work. Closed |
| 200612-02 | Technical (Mod Coils- 2) | Work to optimize the limited amount of repetition available in production to realize efficiency through learning, re- duced tooling changeovers, etc. | 14. Dudek | Continue to seek and implement process improvements that benefit schedule and cost efficiency. | Opportunities for process improvement continue to be investigated, however the winding process is now fairly mature and most of the significant cost and schedule improvements have been implemented. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| 200612-03 | Technical (Mod Coils- 3) | Review staffing plan. Verify that new staff is adequately trained, staffing lev- els are not excessive, work is consis- tently planned, etc. | 14. Dudek | Continue to carefully manage staffing. | Staffing of modular coil winding opera- tions has leveled off and been stable for almost a year. A detailed day-by-day work plan is issued every week. Training is conducted when new operations are intro- duced, recent examples being lead termi- nation and thermocouple installation. As the FPA work is ramping up excess labor from the coil winding area are being reas- signed to support FPA. Closed |
| 200612-04 | Technical (TF Coils- 1) | Continue to provide direct oversight throughout the [TF coil] contract to ensure that Everson is aware of and supporting all technical and schedule requirements. | 13. Kalish | Action as stated. | Close oversight has been maintained through site visits on at least a weekly basis. PPPL keeps a close watch to ensure that technical issues are resolved in a manner consistent with technical require- ments. The supplier is kept aware of schedule and quality requirements through constant reinforcement by PPPL. Despite delays, the TF coils remain far off the critical path. With TF Coil Assembly manufacturing well along the updated schedule is now based on experience. Closed |
| 200612-05 | Technical (PF Coils- 1) | Complete the investigation of a com- mercial [PF coil] vendor in time to build capability in house if necessary without adversely affecting the overall program schedule. | 13. Kalish | Establish PF coil ac- quisition plan. | Interested vendors have submitted budg- etary quotes. We are expediting comple- tion of the PF design in order to solicit proposals as soon as possible. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| 200612-06 | Technical (FPA- 1) | Validate all proposed technical changes [in Field Period Assembly] off critical path. | 8. Heitzen- roeder | Build validation activi- ties into the schedule. | Validation of proposed technical changes and refinement of procedures and tooling for Field Period Assembly has been a strong area of focus in the last few months. During this period, interface de- tails were refined and a robust assembly configuration featuring welded joints be- tween the inner legs of the modular coils intra-field periods, high friction alumina coated shims, and reliable stud tensioning techniques were tested and are well on their way to being fully valuated and adopted. In addition, alignment trials were undertaken which are focusing on improv- ing the methods of achieving the required dimensional accuracy and reducing the time required. Future development activi- ties are built into the schedule in Job 1810. Closed |
| 200612-07 | Technical (FPA- 2) | Develop integrated daily manpower assignments including all workstations to smooth out manpower requirements to an achievable level and to ensure that labor will be available when needed. | 18. Dudek | Continue to carefully manage staffing. Update staffing projec- tions as part of on bot- toms-up estimate. | Field Period Assembly tasks and staffing are integrated in the new schedule, which is resource-leveled. A daily FPA coordi- nation meeting has been instituted to plan tasks and work assignments on a day-to- day basis. The Engineering Dept. manages labor availability to meet the project's needs. Closed |
| 200612-08 | Technical (Final Assy 1) | Validate all proposed technical changes [e.g., in structures design] off critical path. | 8. Heitzen- roeder | Build validation activi- ties into the schedule. | Validation of proposed changes off the critical path has been implemented For example, an option for a simplified TF and PF support structure (WBS 15) using a much less expensive aluminum alloy instead of Inconel was validated in a PDR that was held on July 20. The remaining work is scheduled well off the critical path. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 200612-09 | Cost Estimate | Develop a bottoms-up estimate of the project's work to complete that is inte- grated into the project schedule and identifies required contingency by the next review. | 8. Anderson | See 200612-00 | See 200612-00. Closed |
| 200612-10 | Schedule & Funding | Develop an updated resource-loaded schedule to complete the project as part of any Level 0 re-baselining proposal. It needs to be consistent with the amount of additional cost contingency being proposed. | 8. Anderson / Strykowsky | See 200612-00 | See 200612-00. Closed |
| 200612-11 | Management | Make a final decision regarding the cryogenic testing of the modular coils. | 8. Makiel | Further consultation with technical experts by DOE. | After further consultation, DOE accepts the project's position as documented in the white paper presented at the December Lehman review. Closed |
| 200612-12 | Management | Refine the estimated cost to complete including a rational contingency esti- mate in preparation for a re-baseline in the summer. | 8. Anderson | See 200612-00 | See 200612-00. Closed |
| NCSX SC P | roject Review, M | lay 9-10, 2006 | • | · | |
| 200605-01 | Technical (Mod Coils-1) | The project should continue its aggres- sive behavior to track and control in- house costs and work to improve wind- ing speed without sacrificing quality. | 14. Dudek | Track coil winding and field period assembly costs. Review at weekly meetings. Use the data to support decisions on staffing, tooling, overtime, etc. | Cost trends continue to be tracked and reviewed with DOE. Cost performance metrics improved after the May, 2006 review. Updates were presented at PSO interim review (Sept., 2006) and the Dec., 2006 SC Project Review. Closed. |
| 200605-02 | Technical (Mod Coils-2) | Assess whether an additional station is necessary for post-potting activities based upon realized delivery rates of the modular coil winding forms. | 8. Neilson | Perform cost-benefit analysis. | The project decided to acquire an addi- tional winding station. It went into opera- tion in January, 2007. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| 200605-03 | Technical (Mod Coils-3) | Perform a cost/risk analysis to deter- mine whether there is any advantage or risk mitigation gained by cold-testing a coil of the "B" and "A" types in addi- tion to the planned testing of "C1". | 8. Reiersen | Re-visit cold testing plans after C1 test re- sults are analyzed | Cost / risk analysis was completed with the conclusion to do no further cold test- ing. Decision was documented in a white paper dated Oct. 12. Excerpt: Given 1) the success in testing the C1 coil; 2) the de- sign similarities between all coil types; 3) the fact that even at full current, a sin- gle coil only experiences half of its peak stress compared to operation in the com- plete modular coil assembly; and 4) we would still want to measure the structural behavior of the complete modular coil assembly, the expected benefits of addi- tional cold testing on individual coils ap- pear to be limited. Closed |
| 200605-04 | Technical (Assy/Test-1) | PPPL should expand the verification matrix as described above [Sect. 2.4.1] and present the expanded matrix at the next review. | 8. Reiersen | Develop a data base to facilitate tracking of flowdown and verifica- tion of requirements | A requirements database is being devel- oped. |
| 200605-05 | Technical (Assy/Test-2) | Every effort should be made to perform those tests that are considered conven- tional for wound copper magnets. | 14. Reiersen | Investigate test equip- ment that use transient techniques for testing turn-to-turn insulation. | Tests that are considered conventional for wound copper magnets are being per- formed on the modular and TF coils. Coil resistance, insulation resistance, polariza- tion index, inductance, and capacitance are measured on the modular coils. On the TF coils, coil resistance, insulation resistance, inductance, and Q values are measured. In addition, a ring test is per- formed to reveal turn-to-turn shorts. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| 200605-06 | Technical (Assy/Test-3) | PPPL should also consider the benefits of structural analysis modeling verifica- tion during the cold-test despite the fact that the loads are different than in the final system configuration. Any verifi- cation of the structural model adds va- lidity to the model and the design proc- ess overall. | 14. Nelson | Agreed. Analysis re- sults will be compared to strain and displace- ment measurements from testing. | Structural model verification is one of the key objectives of the cold testing. The Type C coil has been analyzed under test conditions for comparison with strain gauge data when available. Closed |
| 200605-07 | Cost -1 | Analyze and report on the status of the contingency risks and opportunities identified currently and at the next review. | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated | Risks and opportunities were documented in the interim report to DOE-PSO on 9/19/06. Closed |
| 200605-08 | Cost - 2 | Present at the next DOE review an up- date of demonstrated project productiv- ity improvements, cost savings, fabrica- tion activities, and other efficiencies. | 1. Dudek | Continue detailed cost tracking for coil wind- ing and extend to field period assembly. | Cost trends continue to be tracked and reviewed with DOE. Cost performance metrics improved after the May, 2006 review. Updates were presented at PSO interim review (Sept., 2006) and the Dec., 2006 SC Project Review. Closed |
| 200605-09 | Cost - 2 | Explore the possibility of reducing the overhead rate applied to NCSX if it could be justified through a causal-/beneficial analysis. | 1. Winkler | Review opportunities to modify PPPL's overhead rate structure in order to reduce the indirect costs allocated to the NCSX MIE pro- ject. | PPPL was able, on a causal-beneficial basis, to exempt the NCSX MIE project from being assessed the Laboratory's new LDRD overhead rate (will save the NCSX MIE project approximately \$400K). Closed |
| 200605-10 | Schedule - 1 | Conduct an external peer review of the field period and machine assembly process. Present at the next DOE re- view the detailed schedule and plans for carrying out field period and machine assembly. | 18. Reiersen | Focus on one station at a time and invite ex- perts from outside the project to participate, as appropriate. Present the detailed schedule and plans (including plans for design re- views) at the next Lehman Review. | Review was conducted Oct. 11-12, with participants from W-7X (Germany), HSX (U. Wisc.), and SNS (ORNL). The review resulted in 85 chits containing very valu- able input. The project is folding it into the plans. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|----------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| 200605-11 | ES&H – 1 | Provide a plan for proper safety precau- tions for the coil and personnel protec- tion during the initial operation and first plasma prior to approval of the project change proposal, which would mod- ify/simplify these systems. | 8. Gentile | Document safe startup plan as stated. | Safe startup plan was drafted and approved, incorporating DOE comments. Closed. |
| 200605-12 | Mgt. – 1 | Determine the best procurement approach for the poloidal coils. | 13. Kalish | Explore collaboration with ASIPP. Update procurement plan during FY-07 as part of PF design activ- ity. | Collaboration with ASIPP was discussed but does not appear to be an option at this time. Baseline is to fabricate in industry, with award scheduled for FY-08. Closed. |
| 200605-13 | Mgt 2 | Remain vigilant in controlling project cost and continue value engineering. | 1. Neilson | Cost control emphasis on coil winding and field period assembly. Pursue value engineer- ing in in-house and outside fabrication activities. | Cost control measures implemented: Continue to track & manage coil winding and FPA costs. Address FPA Stage 1 process development issues ("learning") with minimum staff. Acquire additional winding fixture to improve efficiency. New outside source for chill plates eliminates re-work. Outside source reduces cost of autoclave components cleanup. Cost performance metrics improved after the May, 2006 review. Updates were presented at PSO interim review (Sept., 2006) and the Dec., 2006 SC Project Review. Closed |
| 200605-14 | Mgt 3 | Present a draft transition to operations plan by next DOE review. | 8. Reiersen, Strykowsky | Incorporate tasks and milestones from TEP and SSP into the Per- formance Measure- ment Baseline. | Tasks and Milestones are documented in the NCSX Test and Evaluation Plan (TEP). Safe Startup Plan (SSP) documents engineered safety controls. Coordination of NCSX and PPPL infrastructure sched- ules was presented at Dec., 2006 SC Pro- ject Review. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| NCSX SC P | NCSX SC Project Interim Assessment, February 22, 2006 | | | | | | | | |
| 200602-01 | Technical (TF Coils) | Contact Mike Zisman of LBNL at 510- 486-5765 on lessons learned regarding procurements from China. | 8. Neilson | Action as stated. | Telecon with Zisman on 2/22/06, resulted in valuable suggestions which the project will follow up on if a contract is awarded to ASIPP. Closed | | | | |
| 200602-02 | Management | Provide a critical assessment of project status at the May Lehman review | 8. Neilson | Prepare for the review so as to support this objective. | The project presentations and documenta- tion for the May 9-10 SC review support this objective. Closed. | | | | |
| NCSX SC P | roject Review, N | ovember 2-3, 2005 | • | · | • | | | | |
| 200511-01 | Technical (Mod Coils-1) | Continue to place high priority on MC winding activities with the goal to minimizing fabrication time while maintaining high quality. | 14. Dudek | Follow through on process improvement plans as presented. | Numerous examples were presented in the interim report to DOE on Feb. 22, 2006. Trends in cost and schedule metrics will be presented at the May 9-10 SC Project review. Closed | | | | |
| 200511-02 | Technical (Mod Coils-2) | Continue to work proactively with EIO for delivery of the MCWFs to the most recently developed schedule. | 14. Heitzen- roeder | Action as stated. | The project, working with EIO and its subcontractors, implemented a new MCWF production plan, that is techni- cally sound and meets the project's schedule requirements. The contract and project baseline (ECP-043) were modified based on this new plan. Closed. | | | | |
| 200511-03 | Technical (Mod Coils-3) | Closely re-examine the schedule and costing for the modular coil systems after the fabrication of the first three articles and provide an interim report to DoE on these results and progress with all aspects of the modular coil fabrica- tion. | 14. Dudek | Keep detailed cost and schedule records ver- sus estimates. Update at FY06 1Q quarterly and at SC Lehman review. | Interim report of coil fabrication cost and schedule was presented on Feb. 22, 2006. Cost and schedule metrics are being tracked and reviewed by management weekly. They will be presented at the May 9-10 SC Project review. Closed | | | | |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 200511-04 | Technical (Conv. Coils-1) | Pursue the option of having all TF and PF coils fabricated in China leading to a decision by second quarter 2006. Based on the uncertainty with the wind- ing time required for the MCs, the Committee did not recommend that additional PF winding be undertaken as an in-house activity. | 8. Neilson | Action as stated | An NCSX team visit to ASIPP in December, 2005 led to a positive assessment of their capabilities. While the TF contract was awarded to another supplier, the option of having the PF coils fabricated in China is still being pursued. Closed. |
| 200511-05 | Technical (Conv. Coils-2) | Investigate further the feasibility to have the TF and PF coils fabricated in industry with high quality if sufficient oversight personnel can be provided at the necessary level. | 8. Neilson | Action as stated. (Re- garding the PF, fabri- cation in industry is the baseline.) | The project re-visited its make-or-buy analysis for the TF coils and concluded that procuring the coil assemblies could reduce cost risks without increasing qual- ity risks. A procurement action was initi- ated and a contract was awarded to indus- try. The project budget was adjusted to increase engineering oversight. Closed. |
| 200511-06 | Technical (Conv. Coils-3) | Apply further effort to reducing the cost of the TF wedge castings, so procure- ment can proceed without schedule impact. | 13. Kalish | Action as stated | The project modified its specification to allow a wider range of fabrication meth- ods and materials for the wedge pieces, and included them in the SOW for the TF procurement. The project budget has been adjusted to reflect the fixed price of the TF contract just awarded, so the cost risk associated with the wedges is retired. Closed. |
| 200511-07 | Technical (Conv. Coils-4) | Analyze the modified design of the correction coils to insure adequate per- formance for plasma quality and con- firm the cost reduction credit. | 8. Zarnstorff 13. Kalish | 8. Analyze performance.13. Analyze cost | A configuration consisting of only two identical coils can target the 1/2 reso- nance, providing important functionality. The coils could be wound in place with flexible conductor at very low cost. Engi- neering design is scheduled for FY-07. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| 200511-08 | Technical (Field Period Assy1) | Pursue further the development and refinement of the assembly procedures using the crane method for installation of the MC over the VV sectors. Pay particular attention to safety in develop- ing these procedures due to the heavy lifts and critical nature of the procedure. | 18. Viola | Action as stated. | Ongoing effort. Progress in Field Period Assembly procedures and tooling devel- opment were presented at the Oct. 11-12, 2006 peer review. See Item 200605-10. Closed. |
| 200511-09 | Cost-1 | The project should analyze and report on the status of the contingency risks and opportunities identified in this re- view at the next review. | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated | Will report at the May 9-10 SC Project Review. Closed. |
| 200511-10 | Schedule and Funding-1 | The project should re-evaluate the schedule uncertainties after more per- formance history is gained with the MCWF vender and the Modular Coil winding operation and present results at the next scheduled DOE Review. | 8. Strykowsky | Action as stated | See 200511-01, -02, and -03. Interim report to DOE was presented on Feb. 22, 2006. Full report will be presented at the May 9-10 SC Project Review. Closed. |
| 200511-11 | Management-1 | Continue ongoing efforts to outsource TF and PF coils (decision by 2nd Qtr 2006). | 8. Neilson | Action as stated | A contract to fabricate the TF coils has been placed. Procuring the PF coils re- mains the baseline. Closed. |
| 200511-12 | Management-2 | Assure continuity of engineering lead- ership and effective oversight in the face of internal project demands (multi- shift strategies) and external project influences (ITER) | 8. Neilson Dudek | Action as stated | Oversight of in-house manufacturing op- erations and transition plans of key design engineers with newly-acquired ITER re- sponsibilities will be reported at the May 9-10 SC Project Review. Closed |
| NCSX Mini | -Review, Decemb | per 7, 2004 | | | |
| 200412-1 | 2. Recommendation | Test the proposed coil cooling system [modular coil chill plates] as quickly as possible in the twisted racetrack coil to ascertain its effectiveness. | 1. Nelson | Ensure that this issue is addressed in the test program for the twisted racetrack coil. | The external chill plate method of cooling was previously tested in two R&D tests (the UT coil and the flat racetrack coil). Now the twisted racetrack coil has also been tested, including measurements of the coil temperature vs time, coil resis- tance vs time, and coolant flow rate. The cooling scheme performed as expected. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|----------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 200412-2 | 2. Recommendation | As the Toroidal Field (TF) coils cannot be replaced without disassembly of the machine, the Committee urged the pro- ject to investigate ways to reduce risk/mitigate failure of the TF coils. | 13. Kalish | Address via the design process. Strict attention to performer qualifica- tions as well as QA and QC during coil fabrication will be the first line of defense. | Preliminary design was completed. The design was modified eliminating the ne- cessity to cut through the insulation to achieve the wedged configuration making the design both easier to manufacture and decreasing the probability of a manufac- turing defect. A make-or-buy analysis in conjunction with the PDR led to a deci- sion to have PPPL wind the TF coils. The main reason is to reduce quality control risks that could lead to a coil failure. The tooling has been prepared and adequate fabrication and oversight labor is budg- eted in the FY-06 work plans. Closed. |
| 200412-3 | 3. Recom- mendation | Update the ETC semi-annually. | 81. Strykowsky | Action as stated. ETC will be updated in conjunction with semi- annual SC project re- views. | ETC for all jobs were updated in March and September, 2005, in preparation for Lehman reviews and planning for the new fiscal year. The results are used to make budgeting decisions and plan resource needs. The project will continue to follow this practice. Closed. |
| 200412-4 | 4. Recommendation | The Office of Fusion Energy Sciences is still encouraged to accelerate the funding profile to allow for contingency to be used in the years when the project will need it the most. | 81. Neilson / Strykowsky | Follow-up with OFES on an on-going basis. | Increased funding was provided in FY-05, improving the project's contingency posi- tion for that year. If additional BA were provided in FY-06, benefits would be: additional contingency margin, accelerate tasks postponed to FY-07 reducing sched- ule risks (as recommended in 200409-4) and speeding up issue resolution. The pro- ject will continue to balance planned work with reasonable contingency set-asides within the baselined BA profile. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 200412-5 | 5. Recom- mendation | The project needs to have a more spe- cific plan on staffing for the remainder of the project, especially the technical craft labor that will be needed in future years. | 81. Strykowsky | Address as part of FY-07 FWP process. Reduce the technician "spike" in the last year of the project. | Manpower loading is leveled on a rolling wave basis. A more detailed and realistic staffing plan has been developed as part of the 2005 re-baselining. Additional term and subcontract personnel continue to be hired to supplement PPPL staff. Closed |
| NCSX Mini | -Review for CD-3 | 3, September 1, 2004 | | | |
| 200409-1 | 2. Comment | The project needs to insure that the C- site power supplies can achieve condi- tions needed for mapping. Specifically configurations that are sensitive to er- rors may require introduction of certain rotational transform values. | 82. Reiersen Physics Fredrickson | 82: Document that GRD field mapping scenario is satisfied. Physics: Develop field mapping plans consis- tent with C-site power supplies. | The field mapping scenario requirements are enveloped by the first plasma scenario. Closed |
| 200409-2 | 3. Comment | To ensure that these fabrications stay within budget and to maintain the cost, controlling the changes to the MCWF and VVSA is essential. | 1. Nelson | Ongoing attention. | To date, there have been only minimal project-directed changes, e.g. a require- ment for final heat treat of the MCWF before machining. The majority of changes have been made in response to supplier requests, or to reduce cost and schedule risks. Closed. |
| 200409-3 | 4. Comment | Contingency funding is backend loaded thus the project lacks flexi- bility with moving activities around. | Project and PPPL Manage- ment | Addressed in 200412-4 | Addressed in 200412-4. Closed. |
| 200409-4 | 4. Comment | The project needs to ensure that activi- ties that will be delayed (i.e., PF/TF coils) are not pushed back too far that they become critical path items or inter- fere with assembly of the components. | 81. Strykowsky | Ongoing attention. | This is managed by the Project Control office on an ongoing basis. Closed |
| 200409-5 | 4. Comment | Finally, the committee identified that there may still be some optimization possible with the schedule. | 81. Neilson | Follow-up with com- mittee. | Discussed with committee member David Anderson. It was concluded that the pos- sibility identified by the committee was precluded by the design. Closed. |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| 200409-6 | 5. Comment | The current funding profile is not opti- mized. There is inadequate BA in early years which limits the rate at which work can be completed and thus has direct impacts on the cost and schedule. | 81. Neilson | Addressed in 200412-4 | Addressed in 200412-4. Closed |
| SC Indepen | dent Project Rev | iew (IPR), June 8-9, 2004 | | | |
| 200406-1 | 2.2 Comment | The NCSX project is especially encour- aged to follow through on its plans for R&D on the field weld joint that will be used to join the three 120 degree VVSA sectors. | 12. Dudek | Action as stated | The project placed high priority on com- pleting the weld R&D tests early so that if the results had indicated a need for design changes, they could be more readily ac- commodated. Small-scale tests of the weld prep and procedures for making the final assembly joint were completed. These tests successfully demonstrated a solution for making up the joint as de- signed, and the R&D activity is now com- plete. Closed |
| 200406-2 | 2.2 Comment | Fracture mechanics/fatigue analysis issue identified during FDR must also be investigated and resolved | 14 Williamson Heitzenroeder | Bias the gating design to promote high cast- ing quality in critical areas; specify higher level of inspection in those areas. Test alloy samples to determine fracture properties. Additional analysis and design to reduce stresses. | We initially determined that fast fracture is not an issue for this material. Fracture mechanics evaluations were made from specimens cut from the shell of a proto- type winding form. The results of these evaluations indicate that the winding form is capable of withstanding four times the number of full power pulses required by NCSX's Design Criteria at 215 MPa, which is the peak stress in the winding form, with initial flaws as large as 2 x 6 mm. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|----------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 200406-3 | 2.2 Comment | Procurement action [for MCWF] should continue with the understanding that the project team and the DOE contracting officer will assess adequacy of vendor progress and results prior to final award action. | 8 Templon Heitzenroeder | Project will keep FPD informed of progress and issues throughout the proposal evaluation and selection process. | The adequacy of the vendors has received significant attention during the procure- ment process. Both the DOE Contracting Officer and OFES have accepted the pro- ject's assessment that the proposals are technically sound. Closed |
| 200406-4 | 2.3 Recom- mendation 1 | Consider offering an incentive fee for on-schedule delivery of the VVSA. | 12 Templon Viola | Consider during VVSA procurement. | Incentive fee was considered during pro- posal evaluation and rejected due to con- straints on project funds, Closed |
| 200406-5 | 2.3 Recom- mendation 2 | Develop a split-order options strategy for the MCWF procurement prior to receipt of bids. Consideration should be given to possible impact on cost and funding profile | 14 Templon Heitzenroeder | Consider during MCWF procurement. | A split-order was considered during nego- tiations and judged to be too expensive. Closed |
| 200406-6 | 3.2 Comment | Cost estimates and contingency for the remainder of the project may need to be updated after the proposals for MCWF and VVSA are submitted, especially if the costs are dramatically different from what was planned. | 8 Strykowsky | Evaluate based on proposal contents. | The contingency and costs for other work scope have been updated as part of the project re-planning that was done follow- ing receipt of MCWF and VVSA propos- als. The new plan provides adequate con- tingency. Closed |
| 200406-7 | 3.2 Comment | The project has other high-risk activi- ties besides the MCWF and VVSA pro- curements. As a result, ensuring ade- quate contingency is important for suc- cessful completion of the project. | 8 Neilson | The project will, on a continuing basis, iden- tify work efficiencies and value improve- ments in order to con- serve contingencies needed for high-risk scope. | The contingency has been updated as part of the project re-planning that was done following receipt of MCWF and VVSA proposals. The new plan provides ade- quate contingency. Closed |
| 200406-8 | 3.2 Comment | The project needs to pay close attention to adequacy and availability of funding during FY05 and FY06 since most of the high risk work described above will be performed during this timeframe. | 8 Neilson | Will discuss with DOE. | Funding adequacy was addressed in re- planning the project. Various measures were taken to ensure adequate BA in FY-05 to fund the MCWF and VVSA contracts. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 200406-9 | 3.2 Comment | The project is evaluating options such as moving non-critical items activities for later work, rearranging the order of work to be performed, and finding work efficiencies to minimize the impact of the funding limitations in FY 2005- 2006. The Committee felt that the pro- ject should continue to evaluate these methods. | 8 Reiersen | Will continue to pursue cost savings. Sched- ules can be re-arranged to some degree if nec- essary in order to man- age within the funding constraints. | Schedule re-arrangements were made as part of the re-planning to address cash flow issues and delayed delivery of the MCWF. Closed. |
| 200406-10 | 3.2 Comment | The project should consider evaluating the limitations of current schedule ac- celeration plan [for the modular coil windings, i.e. second shift and over- time] and reexamine the cost impacts of various options available. | 14 Chrzanowski | Will consider as part of future MC planning. | In re-planning the project it was found to be advantageous to add a third winding line, use 2-shift operation for the last 6 coils, and take modest credit for a learning curve. Closed. |
| 200406-11 | 3.2 & 4.2 Comment | The committee is also concerned about the increase in price of commodities. (3.2) Demand, and hence cost, for many con- struction commodities (e.g., steel, In- conel, copper, electrical equipment) has substantially increased. Availability of some of these items for NCSX could potentially become a schedule issue. (4.2) | 8 Templon, Strykowsky | Commodities issue, should it arise, will be addressed on a case- by-case basis. Will address as part of pro- curement planning. | Continuing to address as part of procure- ment planning. Materials price increases impacted the costs for the VVSA and MCWF procurements, but that risk is re- tired with fixed-price agreements. Avail- ability has not been an issue. Closed. |
| 200406-12 | 4.2 Comment 4.3 Recom- mendation 1 | Comment: Flattening the project BA profile (reducing FY 2005 funding by \$4.5M) has significantly limited man- agement flexibility to address the com- ponent fabrication, assembly, and test- ing issues that will inevitably arise. Recommendation: OFES should give high priority to sustaining the project's BA funding profile, especially in FY 2006. | 8 Neilson | Addressed in 200412-4 | The constraints imposed by flat funding in FY-05 were taken into account in the CD-2 baseline. Continuing attention addressed in 200412- 4. Closed |

| Item | Section Ref | Comment/ Recommendation | WBS / Responsibility | Action Needed | Status November, 2007 |
|-----------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 200406-13 | 4.3 Recommendation 2 | Update project baseline schedule to reflect actual MCWF and VVSA ven- dor delivery schedules as soon as they become known. | 12, 14, & 8 Goranson Williamson Strykowsky | Will update when ven- dor selected. | The schedule has been updated. Closed |
| 200406-14 | 5.2 Comment | The MCWF and VVSA procurements are planned as "best value" procure- ments. Care should be taken in specify- ing the detailed procurement process to assure that management has the flexi- bility to meet the intent of the best value process. | 8 Templon | Will pursue as part of RFP development and procurement process. | This was integrated into the RFP devel- opment process. The selection process satisfied both the letter and the intent of the best value process, without any diffi- culty. Closed |
| 200406-15 | 5.2 Comment | Management should ensure that the critical issues list stays current with project status and other events that could be important. For example, the nationwide shortage of basic materials and commodities could impact NCSX, but was not recognized in the critical issues list. | 8 Reiersen | Will keep the critical issues list up to date. Commodities issue, should it arise, will be addressed on a case- by-case basis. | Critical issues list has been updated to recognize the commodities issue and re- flect the issues currently facing the pro- ject. The primary concern in this area has been in the VVSA and MCWF procure- ments. Receipt of the fixed price bids for the production articles has largely retired this risk with respect to these major pro- curements. Closed |
| 200406-16 | 5.2 Comment | The project should develop a critical spares plan; including whether to buy a spare casting of each of the three types of mod coil winding structure. Such a spare could help minimize the time necessary to recover from a potential problem that might arise in fabrication or operations. | 8 Neilson | Develop a critical spares plan. | Have documented the plan for ensuring that spares needed to support startup will be available. Closed |
| 200406-17 | 5.3 Recom- mendation 1 | Consider instituting regular (e.g., monthly) project specific status telecons among PPPL/ORNL, PSO and OFES. | 8 Neilson Pitonak Nardella | DOE decided that Laboratory staff will be included in future monthly status brief- ings for OFES. | Laboratories' participation started with the June 30 monthly. Closed |
| 200406-18 | 5.3 Recom- mendation 2 | Pending successful outcome of the VVSA and MCWF procurement proc- ess, CD-3 approval should be granted. | Davies | Brief OFES on source selection decisions and project impacts. | CD-3 was approved on Sept. 16, 2004. Closed. |