NCSX Work Approval Form (WAF)						
Job Numb Job Title:	: Gas Fueling System					
Description:						
	NCSX will re-use the existing Gas Fueling Syste the Gas Fueling System will have 2 to 4 injector torr-liter/s of H_2 , D_2 , or He fueling. This initial co the easy changing of gas plenum volumes, or the selected vessel locations, as required by the exconsists of the effort to provide a gas fueling injects associated vacuum system. System control with control.	rs capable of providing a modest level of infiguration will have the flexibility to allow the adding of additional injectors, at perimental program. This WBS element ectors, the gas delivery line, and				
Schedule:	See Attached					
Approvals:						
	Job Manager	Date				
	Responsible Line Manager	Date				
	Project Manager	Date				

Engineering Department Head

Date

NCSX June 2007 ETC **TABLE I - DESIGN LABOR**

WBS Number: 21

WBS Title: Gas Fueling System

Job Number: 2101

Job Title: Fueling System Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

The proposed fueling system consists of a gas delivery from a single gas cylinder and a gas injection portion consisting of one piezo electric pulse valve, one manual interface valve located at one of the upper P12 port covers. The pulse valve will be operated by a valve driver controlled by the NCSX computer system (greater than 50 T-l/sec fueling rate).

	K\$					Hours					
Task ID		EMEM	EMSM	EMSB	EMTB	EASB	EEEM	EESM	EESB	EETB	
Title I and II Design											1
Pro-E models (avg)											a
assy dwgs											a
Detail drawings											9
installation dwg											F
designer oversight											
electrical schematic											ā
I&C schematic											ď
stress analysis											
thermal analysis											
special analysis (electromagnetics) Procurement Specifications											
preliminary and final design reviews											
Subtotal Title I & II Design	\$5K	120	0	96	64	32	0	96	0	0	ш,
Subtotal Title I & II Design	φυλ	120	J	30	04	52	J	30	J	J	
Title III		40									
Subtotal Title III	\$0K	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Basis of Estimate

This is a relatively simple system that utilizes some existing parts/components already at PPPL. Estimate based on prior experience on similar systems (e.g., NSTX), adjusted for the simplicity of this system. Includes some P&ID drawings, weld drawings, fabrication drawings, two reviews (PDR & FDR) and installation and test procedures. Input from experienced engineers/personnel familiar with specific parts of this scope was used for estimates. Includes overall design and oversight, design activities (dwgs, support and bracket design, overall configuration of the system) and purchasing of components.

M&S included function generator/valve driver (~\$3K) + miscellaneous

This effort includes fabrication/welding/assembly, installation, oversight, leak checking of the subsystems, procedures, and initial operation and testing.

NCSX June 2007 ETC **TABLE II - Materials and Subcontracts**

WBS Number: 211

WBS Title: Gas Fueling Systems

Job Number: 2101

Job Title: Fueling Systems Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

Materials and Subcontracts (M&S)
Description:

Basis of Estimate

See Table I

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE III - Fabrication and Installation

WBS Number: 211

WBS Title: Gas Fueling Systems

Job Number: 2101

Job Title: Fueling Systems Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

In-house Fabrication and Assembly and Installation

Included in Table I

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 211

WBS Title: Gas Fueling Systems

Job Number: 2101

Job Title: Fueling Systems Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

Uncertainty of the Estimate

Uncertainty . <u>Comments/Other Considerations</u>
Although may be similar to previous designs, some design features (not yet defined) Range (%) High Medium Low Design Maturity -10%/+15%

Design Complexity Anticipated to only require standard components

Other Comments:

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX

Residual Impacts								
		.ikelihood			Cost Imp	act Sc	hedule Im	pact
	-	of						
Job Risl	k Description (Mitigation Plan	Basis of estimate	Low	Hiah	Low	High

NONE

Notes:

- [1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur. High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occur [2] Cost impacts should be entered as man-hours (by demographic) and M&S direct cost under basis of estimate.
- Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impact Project control is reponsible for quantifying the low and high cost impacts based on the labor hours and M&S identified

- [4]
- The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path.

 If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.

 Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e

 VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)