	NCSX Work Approval	Form (WAF)	
WBS Num WBS Title: Job Numb Job Title: Job Manag	ber: 64 Bakeout Systems er: 6401 Bakeout Systems ger: Mike Kalish			
Description:	The WBS element consists of the effort to provessel and plasma facing components (PFCs there will be only minimal coverage of the intere of the PFCs is not required for the NCSX Fab	ovide heating). Prior to the erior with carb rication Proje	and cooling to the vacuu e initial auxiliary heating p oon tiles so bakeout capa ect.	m ohase, Ibility
Schedule:	See Attached			
Approvals:	Job Manager		Date	
	Responsible Line Manager		Date	
	Project Manager		Date	
	Engineering Department Head		Date	

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE I - DESIGN LABOR

W	BS Number: 64																												
W	BS Title: Bakeout Syster	ns																							1				
Jo	ob Number: 6401																												
Jo	ob Title: Bakeout System	S																											
Jo	ob Manager: Mike Kalish																												
	Ŭ																												
	r		,					2			, ,															1	1	1	
		1	1		1	1	1		1	1	1				1										+	+			
	1			TO BOAT		_			1				UDG																
		61	<u>10</u>	<u>YU/\$K</u>	61.716	61.714	169.7	160.7	150.5	100.50	100.44	HO	<u>URS</u>	1167	1 60 00	120.0	120.6	70.22											
		31	\$1,000	\$1,/10	\$1,/10	\$1,/10	108.7	108.7	150.5	128.35	108.44	/8.33	180.79	110./	108.88	138.0	138.0	18.33		l									
	TASK DESCRIPTION	41MS	48MS	37STK	35TRVL	310T	ORNLEM	ORNLDS N	EMEM	EMSM	EMSB	EMTB	EAEM	EASB	EEEM	EESM	EESB	EETB			B	asis of Estim	ae						
Des	sian				t	+																	1	1	+	1	1	1	
	Requirements definition				}				80										Engin	eering in	udgement b	ased on NS'	TY experience	1 •0		+	1	1	
	Requirements deminion								100					120					Engin	leering ju	idgement i	ased on NS	TX experience	<i>e</i> .	-				
	Preliminary Design & Review								160					120					Engin	eering ju	idgement f	ased on NS	I X experience	:e.					
	Final Design & Review								160					120					Engin	eering ju	idgement h	ased on NS	TX experience	:e.					
	EA or ORNL VV Analysis to confirm												160						Engin	eering ju	idgement b	ased on NS'	IX experience	e.					
	ACC Review								40			40																	
																										1	1		
																										1		1	
Pro	crurement & Fabrication/Installatic					1			1											1						1	1	1	1
	Procurement lead time and award				1				1																	1	1		
																	+							+		+		+	
	Piping and Equipment (See Piping Estimate T #											1830							See M	latarial T	ako Offe in	Table V Is	bor from M	one		+	+		
	1 ping and Equipment (See Fiping Estimate 1 #	¢5 000							+			1030							Bood	on Moor		Table V. la			+	+		+	
	460 VAC POwer Service	\$5,000										00							Dased	on Mea	ns								
	Local Controls	\$3,000										80							Based	on Mean	ns								
PT	P Testing								40			120														1			
																										1		1	
																										1			
	TOTALS	\$165,185	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	480	0	0	2150	160	240	0	0	0	0											
																												1	
31																							1			1			\$0
51																		1								1		-	\$ 0
					TOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	()	1	1		\$0
					1011																		+			+			ф0 ф0
L																										1	1		\$0
No	tes:	Notes:				T	Т										Т			ſ									
	1. Existing PPPI Air Compressor is not a	accental	ble, on	ly 22 C	CFM avai	ilable	at 100) nsi. F	stimat	e is ba	ised on	using	a Gast	Rege	erativ	e Blow	er						+	+	+	+	1		
	2 This actimate is based on a Cast Linu	or oper-	tine e	+ +h~ ~	ondition	e ehe		"Colc	Summer	2 10 De	ab	Joing		ge	v														
I	2. This estimate is based on a Gast blow	ei opera	aung a	it the C	onaition	IS SNO	wn in	Caic	Summ	ary li	สม																		
I	3. Estimate assumes once through air sy	/stem						l	I	I	1												l						
	4. Estimate assumes 3" 304 SS pipe will	be adeq	uate (may re	equire cl	hange	es by p	bermea	ability i	ssues)												<u> </u>			1	1		
1	5. Estimate assumes 150 C VV Bakeout	T			I T	T	Т										Т		1	ſ						1			
	6. Estimate assumes no VV cooling is re	quired					1												1	1			1			1	1		
	7. Sizing based on approx. 7 kw heat load	d			++														1				1	1	1	1	1		
	8 Estimate assumes no instrumentation	-																					1	1	-	1	1		
<u> </u>	0. Boy 1 added Dining Support Towers				<u> </u> −−− −																					1			
	a new radded Fiping Support Towers				<u>├</u>																			+		+	<u> </u>		
1	The work is a good to come Brooke and Ro		,						1																			and the second se	
	TO. NEV 2 Added Cerainic Breaks and Be	llows																											

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE II - Materials and Subcontracts

WBS Number: 64		
WBS Title: Bakeout Systems		
Job Number: 6401		
Job Title: Bakeout Systems		
Job Manager: Mike Kalish		
Materials and Subcontracts (M&S)		Basis of Estimate
M&S in Table I		

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE III - Fabrication/Assembly Installation

In-house Fabrication and	d Assen	nbly and	I Installa	ation				
Fabrication & Installation in Table								

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 64 WBS Title: Bakeout Systems Job Number: 6401 Job Title: Bakeout Systems Job Manager: Mike Kalish

Uncertainty of the Estin	mate				
				Uncertainty	
	High	Medium	Low	Range (%)	Comments/Other Considerations
Design Maturity			Х		This is a preconceptual design using a new (to PPPL) type of blower and heater.
				-20%/+40%	
					Due to the high thermal excursions, difficult permeability requirements and safety considerations, the
Design Complexity		х			design is considered medium complexity.

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX.

Residual Impacts									
					Cost Ir	npact	Schedule I	mpact	
		Likelihood of				-		-	
Job	Risk Description	Occurring	Mitigation Plan	Basis of estimate	Low	High	Low	High	

NONE

Notes:

- [1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur.
- High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occur
 [2] Cost impacts should be entered as man-hours (by demographic) and M&S direct cost under basis of estimate. Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impact
- Project control is reponsible for quantifying the low and high cost impacts based on the labor hours and M&S identified [3] The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path.

If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.

[4] Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e. VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)</p> WBS Number: 64 WBS Title: Bakeout Systems Job Number: 6401 Job Title: Bakeout Systems Job Manager: Mike Kalish

Estimate Backup



6401 HEAT TORCH Bakeout System REV3.xls

Piping Estimate page 1 of 1

5/21/2007 1:37 PM

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE V - Basis of Estimate

Calculations

	Michael Kalish 7/2/2004
THE FOLLOWING CALCULATIONS DETERMINE THE MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH THE INTERMAL PFC TUBING GIVEN THE PRESSURE DROP AND air PROPERTIES For air proof hypothematic	Now solve for the Reynolds # in terms of the friction factor.
Calculate the pressure drop through the PFC tubing. Adjust k and μ_h for Temperature	$\operatorname{Re}_{\mathbf{l}} := \frac{\operatorname{scor}}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\frac{3.7 \operatorname{exp}\left(\frac{-1.6129}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - \frac{e}{0}}{2} \right] \qquad \operatorname{Re}_{\mathbf{l}} = 1.086 \times 10^4 \qquad \text{for furthermitting}$
$k_{10} = .015 \frac{BTU}{hr \cdot h R} \frac{273 + T_{initet}}{273 + 20} \qquad c_{p, 10} = .241 \cdot \frac{BTU}{(lb, R)} \qquad \mu_{1h} = .38 \cdot 16 \cdot 10^{-\frac{6}{3}} \cdot b f \frac{Sec}{R^2}$	$R_{01} := \frac{64}{r} \qquad \qquad R_{01} = 1.619 \times 10^3 \qquad \text{for lattice flow}$
viscosity from CRC handbook or (Marks pg 3-36) $\mu_h=39.210^{-8}\frac{M}{R^2}\mu_h=0.0167centipolse$ changes with temp. but not pressure	Check that Re is turbutent if not use Re=64/f
$\rho_{h,at,atd} := .076 \frac{b}{h^3}$	Reference pg. 3-55 Marks to determine if flow is laminar or turbulent Generally turbulance begins at Re>2000
D Tinlet Toutlet T 273	$Re:=if(Re_{1}<2000,Re_{1},Re_{2}) \qquad \qquad Re=1.086\times10^{4} \qquad f=0.04$
$p = n_{0} p_{1} + n_{0} p_{1} = \frac{1}{2} p_{1} p_{1} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} + \frac{1}{2} p_{1} p_{1} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{1} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{2} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{2} p_{1} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{2} p_{2} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{2} p_{2} p_{2} p_{2} - \frac{1}{2} p_{2} p_{2$	$v_{\rm c} = \frac{\mu \cdot Re}{D_{\rm c}\rho} \qquad v = 65.295 \frac{ft}{sec} \qquad \mbox{The velocity of the fluid}$
rm rm_si_su_c (14.7) (Tavg_sys) ph = 0.098 - Tinlet = 200.0 Toutet = 150.0	$Q_{12} = v A$ $Q = 1.411 \frac{h^3}{min}$ $mf_{12} = Q_{12} p$ $mf = 2.303 \times 10^{-3} \frac{lb}{sec}$ $mf = 1.044 \frac{9m}{sec}$
$\epsilon_{CU} \coloneqq 10 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \text{ft} \text{for drawn copper tube} \qquad \epsilon_{pipe} \coloneqq 150 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \text{ft} \; \text{for seel pipe} \; \; (ref. 3-56 \; \text{Marks})$	$H_{f=} = \frac{v^2}{2}$ $H_{f} = 66.257 \text{ ft}$ $H_{f=0} \cdot g = 0.045 \text{ psi}$ $A = 0.052 \text{ in}^2$
$d_p = 0.257$ in $d_p = 0.653$ cm inner diameter of cooling passage br a round cross-section	2·g
L = 216.535 in hydraulic length of opening under evaluation $p_h = 1.568 \times 10^{-3} \frac{gm}{m^3}$	To find f.t for <u>turbulant flow</u> calculate the relative roughness, eld, and use along with the Re # to look up f on the graph (og. 3-55 Marks or pg. A-24 of the Crane tech, manual)
$\mu := \mu_h$ $\rho := \rho_h$ $\epsilon := \epsilon_{Ope}$ input Values $v := E$ or ρ $P_{avg} = 14.25$	mf·N = 1.592 × 10 ³ ^b / ₂ dt _{allow} = 50 K
Calculate the Reyrotors # using the Velocity and diameter. Use the hydraulic diameter if it is not a round cross-section (a) 2	hr
For a round pipe $d_p = 0.257$ in Area _p := $\left(\frac{v_p}{2}\right) \cdot \pi$	P _{cool} := m=cp-dt _{allow} P _{cool} :N = 10.117 kW mt = 1.044 × 10 - sec
$D := d_p$ A := Area p Input Hydraulic Diameter and Area A = 0.052 in ²	
Now calculate the flow through a pipe when only the head loss through the pipe and pipe size is known	
$D = 0.257 \text{ m} \rho = 5.665 \times 10^{-5} \frac{b}{m_{1}^{3}} \qquad p = 1.5 \text{ psi} \qquad \kappa = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ft} \mu = 3.916 \times 10^{-7} \frac{b \text{ fsec}}{m_{1}^{2}}$	
$f_{\mathbf{y}} := \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}}$	
$f_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c}{2} \log \left[\frac{c}{3\pi} + \frac{2.51}{D(r_{abc} - D)^{3}} \right]^{-2} This equation solves for f (tricton factor) when the flow rate is an increase but the head loss is known, check for laminar flow$	
$\begin{bmatrix} p^{-1} + \frac{2}{2} g^{-1} \eta^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad f = 0.04 \qquad \frac{\epsilon}{D} = 7.004 \times 10^{-3}$	
1 of 4	2 df 4

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE V - Basis of Estimate

Now solve for the Reynolds # in terms of the friction factor.	Indiate Nation 1
$Re_{l^{-}} = \frac{9.287}{\sqrt{t}} - \left(3.7 \exp(\frac{-115120}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - \frac{e}{0}\right)^{-1} Re_{l} = 1.086 \times 10^{4} \qquad \text{for turbulent flow}$	NPUTS N= 96.2 number parallel paths
$R_{0 1} \coloneqq \frac{64}{f} \qquad \qquad R_{0 } = 1.619 \times 10^3 \qquad \text{for laminar flow}$	T _{surface} = 150 T _{inlet} = 200 In deg C Tobover_in = 20 Touriet = Tsurface + 0004 Touriet = 150
Check that Re is turbulent if not use Re=64/f	P _{Sys} = 15 psi avg pressure in NCSX
Reference pg. 3-55 Marks to determine if flow is laminar or turbulent Generally turbulance begins at Re>2000	$p_{h} = 0.098 \frac{b}{h^{3}}$ $p_{h} = 1.568 \frac{4g}{m^{3}}$ $T_{inlet} \frac{9}{5} + 32 = 392$ $T_{outet} \frac{9}{5} + 32 = 302.001$
$Re:=if(Re_{1}<2000,Re_{1},Re_{1}) \qquad \qquad Re=1.086\times 10^{4} \qquad f=0.04$	dl _{allow} = (T _{infet} - T _{outlet}) K dl _{allow} = 89.999 R dl _{allow} = 50 K Temp. drop of helium across vessel
$v_{1=} \frac{\mu \cdot Re}{D_{P}}$ $v = 65.295 \frac{R}{sec}$ The velocity of the fluid	L = 5.5 m fotus length of tubing $d_p=0.257~\text{in}~d_p=0.853~\text{cm}$
$Q_{-1} = v A$ $Q_{-1} = 1.411 \frac{e^3}{min}$ $mf_{-1} = Q_{-p}$ $mf_{-2} = 2.303 \times 10^{-2} \frac{b}{sec}$ $mf_{-1} = 1.044 \frac{gm}{sec}$ $H_1 = \frac{v^2}{v}$ $H_2 = 66.257 \text{ ft}$ $H_2 \Rightarrow g_{-1} = 0.052 \text{ m}^2$	p = 1.5 poi dp across tubes OUTPUTS
Y Ind 11 for <u>tarbulet flow</u> calculate the relative roughness, eld, and use along with the Re # to look up f on the ph (og. 3-50 Marka or og. A-24 of the Chans tech, manual)	v = 65 $\frac{4}{\sec}$ Velocity of Helium P _{Burg} = 14 psi v = 19.952 $\frac{m}{\sec}$ Q N = 271 $\frac{8^2}{mn}$ Volume fourable of helium Q ₂₀₁₄ Bower = 349 $\frac{8^2}{mn}$ Pow at inist to Bower
$f N = 1.592 \times 10^3 \frac{lo}{hr}$ $dt_{allow} = 50 \text{ K}$	$\label{eq:relation} \Omega_c \rho \cdot N = 1.592 \times 10^3 \frac{b}{hr} \qquad \mbox{Mass Flow} \qquad \rho = 0.096 b h^3$
$\label{eq:cod} cod := mf c_{pr} d t_{allow} \qquad P_{cod} H = 10.117 kW \qquad mf = 1.044 \times 10^{-3} \frac{kg}{sec}$	P _{conv} N = 10 kW From M4t Dowedon heat transfer must equal emergy balance P _{cool} N = 10 kW From mc _y dt

2 of 4

4 of 4

NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE V - Basis of Estimate

