

TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 172

WBS Title: Base Support Structures

Job Numbers: 1702 and 1752

Job Title: Base Support Structure Design (1702) and Base Support Structure Procurements (1752)

Job Manager: Fred Dahlgren

**Uncertainty of the Estimate**

| Job   | High | Medium | Low | Uncertainty | Comments/Other Considerations   |
|---|------|--------|-----|-------------|---|
|   |      |        |     | Range (%)   |   |
| <b>Job 1702</b>   |      |        |     | -10%/+15%   |   |
| Design Maturity   |      | X      |     |             | Design is near PDR, but nothing exotic<br>Standard parts and components |
| Design Complexity   |      |        | X   |             |   |
| <b>Job 1752</b>   |      |        |     | -10%/+15%   |   |
| Design Maturity   |      | X      |     |             | Design is near PDR, but nothing exotic<br>Standard parts and components |
| Design Complexity   |      |        | X   |             |   |
| Other Comments:   |      |        |     |             |   |
| Possibility that vendor will not deliver on time, however, significant float (~4 months exist off critical path) => other vendors could be identified.  |      |        |     |             |   |
| There is a finite likelihood of material costs increasing, but already assumed an escalation of ~5%/year for Inconel, HOWEVER, recent history indicates possibility of a much higher escalation (Table V) |      |        |     |             |   |

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX.

**Residual Impacts**

| Job                       | Risk Description | Likelihood of Occurring | Mitigation Plan | Basis of estimate | Cost Impact |      | Schedule Impact |      |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|------|
|                           |                  |                         |                 |                   | Low         | High | Low             | High |
| Jobs 1702 and 1752 - NONE |                  |                         |                 |                   |             |      |                 |      |

Notes:

- [1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur.  
High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occur
- [2] Cost impacts should be entered as man-hours (by demographic) and M&S direct cost under basis of estimate.  
Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impact  
Project control is responsible for quantifying the low and high cost impacts based on the labor hours and M&S identified
- [3] The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path.  
If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.
- [4] Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e.  
VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)