NCSX June 2007 ETC TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 211 WBS Title: Gas Fueling Systems Job Number: 2101 Job Title: Fueling Systems Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

Uncertainty of the I	Estimate									
.	High Medium Low Range (%) Comments/Other Considerations Design Maturity X Although may be similar to previous designs, some design features (not yet defined) Design Complexity X Although may be similar to only require standard components Other Comments: X Anticipated to only require standard components									
Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX										
Residual Impacts	Risk Descri	ption	Likeliho of Occurri		Basis of estimate	Cost Im	ipact High	Schedule Low	Impact High	
NONE										
High cost and scl [2] Cost impacts sho Cost impacts sho Project control is	nedule impa uld be enter uld NOT inc reponsible	icts are cons red as man-h clude standin for quantifyi	idered the maximu nours (by demograp ng army costs whicl ng the low and high	(0-percentile) impacts should in n (100-percentile) impacts shou hic) and M&S direct cost under are separately calculated from cost impacts based on the lab impacts on the critical path	uld the event occur basis of estimate. h the schedule impact					

[3]

If there is no critical path impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path. If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero. Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%) [4]