NCSX June 2007 ETC
TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 211
WBS Title: Gas Fueling Systems
Job Number: 2101
Job Title: Fueling Systems
Job Manager: Bill Blanchard

Uncertainty of the Estimate

	High	Medium	Low	Uncertainty Range (%)	Comments/Other Considerations
Design Maturity			X		There have been no design reviews therefore the design is not fixed.
Design Complexity			X	-15%/+25%	Anticipated to only require standard components

Other Comments:

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on AACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX

Residual Impacts

Job	Risk Description	Likelihood of Occurring	Mitigation Plan	Basis of estimate	Cost Impact Low	Cost Impact High	Schedule Impact Low	Schedule Impact High
NONE								

Notes:
[1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur.
High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occu
[2] Cost impacts should be entered as man-hours (by demographic) and M&S direct cost under basis of estimate.
Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impac
Project control is reponsible for quantifying the low and high cost impacts based on the labor hours and M&S identifie
[3] The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path.
If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.
[4] Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e
VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikley (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%