

NCSX June 2007 ETC
TABLE IV - Uncertainty of Estimate and Residual Risk Assessment

WBS Number: 36
WBS Title: Edge & Divertor Diagnostic Systems
Job Number: 3601
Job Title: Edge & Divertor Diagnostic Systems
Job Manager: Brent Stratton

Uncertainty of the Estimate

	<u>High</u>	<u>Medium</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Uncertainty of Estimate (%)</u>	<u>Comments/Other Considerations</u>
Design Maturity	X				Standard design based on previous PPPL devices
Design Complexity			X	-5%/+10%	Very simple design used before
Other Comments:-					Time for leak checking welds not included in this estimate

Note: High/Medium/Low uncertainty assessment from Job Manager. Uncertainty range based on ACEI recommended practice 18R-97 as amended for NCSX.

Residual Impacts

Job	Risk Description	Likelihood of Occurring	Mitigation Plan	Basis of estimate	Cost Impact		Schedule Impact	
					Low	High	Low	High
NONE								

Notes:

- [1] Low cost and schedule impacts are considered the minimum (0-percentile) impacts should the event occur. High cost and schedule impacts are considered the maximum (100-percentile) impacts should the event occur
- [2] Cost impacts should be entered as man-hours (by demographic) and M&S direct cost under basis of estimate. Cost impacts should NOT include standing army costs which are separately calculated from the schedule impact. Project control is responsible for quantifying the low and high cost impacts based on the labor hours and M&S identified
- [3] The schedule impacts should be entered as the min and max impacts on the critical path. If there is no critical path impact then the schedule entries should be zero.
- [4] Likelihood of occurrence should be entered consistent with our risk classification methodology, i.e. VL= Very Likely (P>80%), L=Likely (80%>P>40%), U=Unlikely (40%>P>10%), VU=Very Unlikely (P<10%), NC=Non-credible (P<1%)