NCSX RFD Part I	Number: RFD 18-00	4	RFD Description: Change in Welding Requirements			
Initiator: Phil Reddell		Organiza	Organization: Vulcan			
List of Impacted Documents: (Specification, MIT/QA Plan, SOW, drawing, etc.) Drawing SE 186-352 (FIELD PERIOD ASSY FIXTURE 20 DEGREE WEDGE FIXTURE WELDMENT)						
Cost Impact: (If none NONE	, so state)					
Schedule Impact: (If none, so state): NONE						
Quality Impact: (If none, so state): NONE						
State Requirement Deviation is Requested For: (Specification, MIT/QA Plan, SOW, drawing, etc.) Currently this drawing has a note calling out either GMAW of GTAW.						
Full Description of the Deviation Requested: (Use continuation pages, e-mails, letter, sketches, etc. as needed and include amplifying information as appropriate to support deviation request.)						
On drawing SE186-352 wherever the weld process "GMAW or GTAW" appears replace with "GMAW or FCAW".						
Attachments: See except from e-mail from Phil Reddell of Vulcan to Larry Dudek dated April 1, 2008						
Initiator Signature: Pl	nil Reddell (Vulcan)		Date: April 1, 2008 (see attached e-mail)			

NCSX IRIFID Part III	Number: RFD 18-004		RFD Description: Change in Welding Requirements			
RLM(s): Design: N/A Manufacturing: L. Dudek		Organization: Design: N/A Manufacturing: PPPL				
Impact on Interfaces with Other WBS Elements/Items: (If none, so state)						
Design RLM Recommendations:		Manufacturing RLM Rcommendations:				
☐ Approve ☐ Do Not Approve						
Additional remarks:						
Should the impacted drawings be formally revised or should the "stamp" process outlined in NCSX Procedure PROC-007 be utilized and should the specification (or other documents) be updated?						
☐ No, a formal revision required to the drawing or specification is required						
⊠ "Stamp" process outlined in PROC-007 is authorized.						
☐ If the change is substantial, a revision to the impacted drawings will be required after the third RFD stamp marking a substantial revision is placed on the drawing.						
☐ This change is NOT substantial and no update to the drawing will ever be required => in this case the "3" RFD stamp process does NOT apply.						
Does this Change Impausing this Material:		Procured o	r Parts/Assemblies Already Assembled/Manufactured			
If "Yes", what is the recommended disposition of this material/part/assembly and what is the impact?						

NCSX RFID	Number: RFD 18-00	4 RFD Description	on: Change in Welding Requirements				
Part III							
RLM(s):		Organization:					
Design: N/A		Design: N/A					
Manufacturing: L. Dudek		Manufacturing: PPPL					
Manufacturing: L. Dudek		Manufacturing: FFFL					
Design RLM Signature: N/A Manufacturing RLM Signature:							
Project Disposition:							
 ✓ Approved. No ECP required.							

Attachment:

E-mail from Phil Reddell of Vulcan dated April 1, 2008:

I do however have another question. We would like to use the FCAW method for welding the 2" plate in the wedge pieces No. SE186-352. The drawing is calling out either GMAW of GTAW. Can we get a variance for this?

Thanks, Phil Reddell