## <u>DESIGN REVIEW DOCUMENTATION – RESULTS</u>

Title: NCSX QA Plan Peer Review WP#: N/A				(ENG-032)		
Type of Review: ☐ Peer ☐ CDR	2 P	DR	☐ FDR			
Cog Individual: <u>Judy Malsbury</u>	y Date	of Rev	view: <u>11/1/02</u>			
Review Board Members:	Invited at	tende	es:	Other Attendees:		
Chairperson Bob Simmons	QA Fran	k Mal	inowski	Jerry Levine		
Brad Nels on, ORNL	Mike Will	iams		Rich Hawryluk		
Wayne Reiersen	Jim Lyon,	ORN	L			
Judy Malsbury	dy Malsbury Bill Brooke, ORNL		NL			
	Hutch Ne	ilson				
Regulatory Compliance						
Items Reviewed: Appropriate requirements identified Development plans and schedules Regulatory compliance including USQD and Disposition of CHITS from previous reviews Cost objectives Other review objectives addressed (attachment 4 of ENG-033)  SUMMARY OF RESULTS: The Plan with minor changes is acceptable.	I NEPA I I	at.	Unsat.	N/A		
The Plan with minor changes is acceptable. 3 CHITs generated.						
<b>Disposition:</b> [check one]						
Acceptable						
Acceptable pending resolution of concerns- CHITS identified above must be resolved prior to installation.						
Incomplete - Additional design work is required prior to another design review.						
Chairperson Signature:			_Date:	: 11/1/02		

**Distribution**: Review Board Members, Operations Center, Cognizant Design Engineer, System Engineer(s), Attendees, QA, ES&H

	WP #	(ENG-032)			
PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT	CHIT # <u>1</u>				
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM NCSX QA Plan		□ PEER     □			
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER <u>Judy Malsbury</u> DATE OF REVIEW <u>1</u> :	<u>1/1/02</u>	☐ CDR ☐ PDR ☐ FDR			
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)					
□ REQUIREMENTS       □ HARDWARE         □ ANALYSIS       □ CONFIGURATION         □ PERFORMANCE       □ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY	☐ SAFET☐ COST/S☐ QUALIT	SCHEDULE			
COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDATION					
How will PPPL Design Work meet or exceed requirements of ENG-032? Issue is application of WP form in design process. Rich is worried about audit findings, etc reinventing the wheel.					
ORIGINATOR	R <u>Neilson</u>				
NAME/ORGANIZ	ZATION <u>NCSX</u>				
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION  (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, provide technical reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)					
Hutch to review ENG-032 for applicability to design.					
☐ CONCUR ☐ DISAGREE ☑ OTHER CHAIRPERSON R. T. Simm	nons date: 11/	1/02			
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:					
ENG-032, in the Applicability section, states the following: "It {the Work Planning Form} is not directly applicable to work performed during the conceptual or design phases," However, NCSX plans to incorporate the key issues identified by the WP form in the Systems Engineering Management Plan and Confirmation Management Process. No changes to the QA Plan are required.					
SIGNATURE Judy Malsbury	y DATE: <u>2/25/0</u>	<u>)3</u>			
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW					
☐ APPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE	DATE:				
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.					
	ATE:				

		WP #	(ENG-032)			
PPPL DESIGN	N REVIEW CHI	T CHIT # 2				
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM	NCSX QA Plan		⊠ PEER □ CDR			
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER Judy Ma	alsbury DATE OF REV	IEW <u>11/1/02</u>	☐ CDR ☐ PDR ☐ FDR			
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)						
☐ ANALYSIS ☐ CON	DWARE IFIGURATION IABILITY/MAINTAINABII	□ c	AFETY OST/SCHEDULE WALITY			
COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDA	TION					
Engineering standards - need a side-by-side review of PPPL/ORNL Engineering Standards. Need closure with PPPL and ORNL participants.						
	ORIGII	NATOR <u>Reierser</u>	<u>1</u>			
	NAME/C	RGANIZATION <u>NCS</u>	<u>X</u>			
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION  (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is not adopted, provide technical reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)						
Wayne to do.						
CONCUR     DISAGREE     OTHER	CHAIRPERSON R.T.	Simmons date	e: 11/1/02			
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:						
	SIGNATURE	DATE:				
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW	GIGIN/TIGINE	<i>D</i> /(IL:				
☐ APPROVE COG DISPOSITION ☐ DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION	SIGNATURE	DATE:				
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.						
	SIGNATURE	DATE:				

	WP # (ENG-032)				
PPPL DESIGN REVIEW CHIT	CHIT # <u>3</u>				
	_				
COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM/SYSTEM NCSX QA Plan	□ PEER     □ CDR				
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER <u>Judy Malsbury</u> DATE OF REVIEW <u>1</u>					
SUBJECT: (CHECK AS APPLICABLE)					
□ REQUIREMENTS       □ HARDWARE         □ ANALYSIS       □ CONFIGURATION         □ PERFORMANCE       □ RELIABILITY/MAINTAINABILITY	☐ SAFETY ☐ COST/SCHEDULE ☑ QUALITY				
COMMENT/CONCERN/RECOMMENDATION					
ORNL procedures are being expanded from calibration to the more general "field procedures." No field work is planned now. If ORNL field work does become needed, adequate time to ensure review will be needed.					
ORIGINATO	R <u>Malinowski</u>				
NAME/ORGANI	IZATION <u>QA</u>				
REVIEW BOARD COMMENT/RECOMMENDATION (Address technical, cost, and schedule impacts as appropriate. If CHIT is reason - do not simply state "out-of-scope or N/A" without explaining.)	not adopted, provide technical				
⊠ CONCUR □ DISAGREE					
OTHER CHAIRPERSON R. T. Simr	mons DATE: 11/1/02				
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSE/DISPOSITION:					
Project management agreed to provide advanced notice, if needed.					
signature Judy Malsbur	y DATE: <u>11/1/02</u>				
RESPONSIBLE RLM REVIEW	· <del></del>				
APPROVE COG DISPOSITION					
☐ DISAPPROVE COG DISPOSITION SIGNATURE DATE	<u> </u>				
COGNIZANT DESIGN ENGINEER CLOSE-OUT Sign when action required by disposition is complete.					
SIGNATURE	DATE:				