COIL FLEXIBILITY AND PROFILE ROBUSTNESS

Neil Pomphrey for NCSX Team

NCSX Physics Validation Review March 26-28, 2001 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

OUTLINE

To achieve the scientific goals of the NCSX mission, the NCSX device must be capable of supporting a wide range of variations in plasma configuration about the reference baseline equilibrium.

• We will demonstrate the flexibility of NCSX coils to support such configuration variations,

and

 Demonstrate the robustness of performance of NCSX plasmas for substantial variations about reference design values of the plasma current, beta, and profile shapes.

NCSX COIL SYSTEM

Modular coilset M1017

• 4 independent coil currents

(independent coils labelled 1, 2, 3, 4)

- **Auxiliary TF coils**
 - provide \pm 0.3 T at R=1.4 m

Axisymmetric PF coils

 provide at least 4 lowest order multipoles



COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS

STELLOPT – a VMEC based free-boundary optimizer which varies coil currents to target configurations with good physics:

- Stable to Kink and Ballooning modes
- Good quasi-axisymmetry (QA)

Essential code modules within STELLOPT are:

VMEC_(Hirshman) => Equilibrium,

TERPSICHORE_(Cooper) => Kink evaluation (incl. modes with n up to $n_{max} = 7$)

COBRA(Sanchez) => Ballooning evaluation,

NEO_(Kermbichler) => QA-ness measured by effective helical ripple, ε_h .

PLASMA PERFORMANCE AS β **AND** I_p **ARE VARIED**

Question:

- Can coil currents be found which produce stable plasmas with good QA as I_p and β are varied from their reference values?
- Are there stable paths from S1 states with $I_p = 0$ kA, $\beta = 0\%$ to S3 states with $I_p = 174$ kA, $\beta=4\%$, or states at full current with even higher β ?

Answer:

• YES! – Using reference P(s) and J.B(s) profiles, stable configurations with low ε_h are found over a wide region of the I_p - β plane, including a stable configuration at $\beta = 5\%$.

'REFERENCE PROFILES' FOR $I_p - \beta$ SCAN

(and about which variations will be made)



$I_p - \beta$ SCAN RESULTS ($B_T = 1.7 T$)

β[%]	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
lp[kA]						
43.5		$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = 2.11\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = 1.30\%$	ε _h =1.30%	$\epsilon_h = 0.86\%$	
87		$\epsilon_h = 1.56\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = 1.60\%$	ε _h =1.28%	$\epsilon_h = 0.86\%$	
130.5		$\epsilon_h=0.77\%$	$\lambda_{0}^{K} = -6.0e-5$ $\lambda_{1}^{K} = -2.7e-5$ $\epsilon_{h} = 0.84\%$	$\lambda_{0}^{K} = -8.8e-6$ $\lambda_{1}^{K} = -2.2e-5$ Eth = 1.14%	$\epsilon_h=0.67\%$	
174	$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = 0.79\%$	$\lambda_0^{K} = -1.9e-5$ $\lambda_1^{K} = -2.0e-5$ $\epsilon_h = 0.81\%$	$\lambda_{0}^{K} = -2.3e-5$ $\lambda_{1}^{K} = -2.3e-5$ $\epsilon_{h} = 1.43\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = 0.79\%$	ε _h =1.29%	ε _h =1.66%

- Blue boxes => Kink and Ballooning modes are stable at that I_p , β .
- Red boxes => Kink unstable with small λ^{K} , but ballooning stable.

NOTE: Config with $I_p = 174$ kA, $\beta = 5.0\%$ is stable!

(Stable plasmas with β up to 6.5% have been found for the M0907 coilset)

• SUMMARY OF $I_p - \beta$ SCAN RESULTS

- 14 of 18 optimized configurations with different I_p , β values were stable w.r.t. kink and ballooning modes.
- The remaining 4 configurations were stable to ballooning modes and had small kink eigenvalues. Re-optimization with adjusted weights will probably stabilize these cases.
- Each configuration is marginally stable at the given I_p , β (=>configurations with low β -limits are easily dialled).
- In all cases, good QA-ness was obtained, measured by effective ripple amplitudes, $\epsilon_h < 1.5\%$ at s = 0.5.
- A stable configuration at β = 5% was found with I_p = 174 kA and B_T = 1.7 T.
- Modular coil currents vary < $\pm 15\%$ over the I_p β plane. B_T^{Aux} varies < ± 0.10 T.

PLASMA PERFORMANCE AS PROFILES ARE VARIED

Question:

- What happens to plasma performance (β -limits, QA measure ϵ_h) when plasma profiles are varied about reference forms at fixed I_p, B_T?
- Is the reference configuration sitting atop a pinhead optimum so that as the profiles are varied the performance drops off a cliff?

Answer:

 NO! – We find configurations with a wide range of current and pressure profiles which have β-limits in excess of 3.0% and which have good QA.

CURRENT PROFILE VARIATION IN CORE REGION

Question:

 Using the reference p(s), and I_p = 174 kA, B_T = 1.7 T, for what range of J.B profiles can we find stable configurations with β ≥ 3.0%?

Answer:

- Stable range is $0 \le \alpha \le 0.5$.
- Stable profiles have $\varepsilon_h \le 1.3\%$ at s=0.5:

α	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
λ^{κ}_{0}	S	S	S	S	S	S	-7.3e-4	-9.1e-4
$\lambda^{\kappa}{}_{I}$	S	S	S	S	-1.5e-5	-2.8e-5	-5.1e-5	-8.0e-5
λ_B	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
\mathcal{E}_h [%]	1.29	1.16	0.90	0.88	1.32	1.27	1.13	1.05

CURRENT PROFILE VARIATION IN EDGE REGION

Question:

- What happens to plasma performance when J.B is made finite at the plasma edge?
- Use coil currents from the $I_p=174$ kA, $\beta=5.0\%$ configuration obtained in the I_p - β scan.

Answer:

- Keeping β=5.0% as a target for all configurations in the scan, the configurations remains stable even as J.B^{edge}/J.B^{max} is raised to 50%!
- Contrast with Tokamak behavior.
- H-mode profiles may be beneficial to NCSX.

M.I. Mikhailov, V.D. Shafranov "Stable Current Profile in a Stellarator with Shear", Nucl. Fusion **30**, 413 - 421 (1990).

PRESSURE PROFILE VARIATION

- By how much can we peak the p(s) and maintain stable configurations with good QA at β = 3.0%?
- Use reference J.B and the pressure profiles shown.
- Stable range of pressure profiles is $0 \le \gamma \le 0.8$. (with $\gamma = 1.0$ stable at $\beta = 2.5$ %).

γ	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
λ^{K}_{0}	S	S	S	S	S	-3.0e-4
$\lambda^{K_{1}}$	S	S	S	S	S	-5.4e-5
λ_B	0	0	0	0*	0*	0*
ɛ _h [%]	0.80	0.72	0.78	1.05	0.73	0.62

- Low ε_h values obtained in almost all cases.
- Max coil current variation ~ 50 kA (Modulars 3 and 4).

FLEXIBILITY TO CONTROL EXTERNAL TRANSFORM

- Will be an important control knob in the experiment
 - can be used to test importance of avoiding low order rational surfaces in the plasma region.
 - is a means of controlling discharge evolution.
- We demonstrate the capability of NCSX coils to effect substantial changes in the external field contribution to ι(s).
- Flexibility experiments shown here assume fixed B_T and I_p , and induce $\Delta \iota$ by causing appropriate changes in plasma shape.

FLEXIBILITY TO CHANGE $\iota(s)$ AT FIXED I_p, B_T

PLASMA BOUNDARY, $v = 1.00 * \pi$

I_p = 174 kA, B_T = 1.7 T
β = 0%

- raise/lower $\iota(s)$ at constant shear.
- $\Delta \iota = \pm 0.2$ is possible:
- ~50% of $\iota^{ref}(0)$, ~30% of $\iota^{ref}(1)$.
- For $\Delta t = +0.2$, coil currents vary ~120
 - -150 kA-t (all coils).
- Max $B_T^{Aux} \approx$ 0.1 T, for $\Delta \iota = +0.2$

FLEXIBILITY TO CONTROL SHEAR AT FIXED Ip, BT

- I_p = 174 kA, B_T = 1.7 T
 β = 0%
- raise/lower $\iota(1)$ at fixed $\iota(0) = 0.45$
- $\Delta \iota(1) = \pm 0.2$ is possible

 $(\iota^{ref}(1) = 0.65)$

• For $\Delta \iota(1) = \pm 0.2$, $\Delta I_c^{\text{Mod. 4}} \approx 80 \text{ kA-t}$

(others less)

• Max $B_T^{Aux} \approx$ + 0.4 T, for $\Delta \iota(1) = -0.2$

FLEXIBILITY TO VARY QA-NESS

- The ability to generate configurations with good QA is an essential requirement for NCSX.
- For a systematic exploration of the role of QA in improving the transport properties of stellarator plasmas it is necessary to have the ability to control the degree of QA-ness.

Question:

• Do we have QA control while maintaining plasma stability?

Answer:

• YES!

FLEXIBILITY TO VARY QA-NESS

-700

- 3 configurations, each with $I_p = 43.5 \text{ kA}, \beta=3.0\%$.
- Among configurations ε_h varies by a factor of 9 at s=0.25 (r/a≈0.5), and factor of 4 at s= 0.5.
- Each configuration is stable to kink and ballooning modes
- To obtain case with highest ε_h, the I_c for modular coil 4 was artificially fixed at –281 kA.
- Yet the kink and ballooning modes were able to be stabilized using just 3 coils!

FLEXIBILITY TO EXPLORE STABILITY BOUNDARIES AND 3D SHAPE STABILIZATION

- Each stable free-boundary configuration in the I_p β scan lies at a point of marginal stability w.r.t. kink and ballooning modes for the given profiles.
- To illustrate the type of experiment that can be run on NCSX aimed at understanding the physics that determines stability boundaries, consider two configurations from the I_p β scan:

C1
$$I_p = 43.5 \text{ kA}, \beta = 1.0\%$$
 and $I_p = 43.5 \text{ kA}, \beta = 3.0\%$

EXAMPLE OF 3D SHAPE STABILIZATION

REMOVE AMBIGUITY OF ROLE OF $\iota(1) \approx 0.5$

0 L 0

0.2

0.4

0.6

s

0.8

MODULAR COIL CURRENTS FOR THE 3D SHAPE STABILIZATION 'EXPERIMENTS'

• Max ΔI_c variation = 10% => controllable.

SUMMARY

- The performance (β -limits, QA-ness measure ϵ_h) of NCSX plasmas is robust w.r.t. substantial variations of I_p and profile shapes about reference design values.
- The NCSX coil system has considerable flexibility to support the wide variety of experiments required by the NCSX mission.

SUPPLEMENT-1: EFFECT OF β and Ip QUENCHES

Black: Ip = 174 kA, β = 4.2% Red: Ip = 174 kA, β = 0.0% Green: Ip = 0 kA, β = 4.2% Max. Inward radial shifts: $\Delta R_{min} = 2.2 \text{ cm}$ $\Delta R_{min} = 4.7 \text{ cm}$

24

SUPPLEMENT-2:EFFECT OF PRESSURE PEDESTAL ON STABILITY

-The road to H-mode profiles.

• "Test" pressure profile with finite edge gradient is stable at $\beta = 4.0\%$.

 $I_p = 174 \text{ kA}, B_T = 1.7 \text{ T}$

Used this current profile (50% edge current density)